

United Nations Climate Change Secretariat

Nations Unies

Secrétariat sur les changements climatiques

UNFCCC ITL Administrator

Standard Independent Assessment Report Assessment Report Part 2 - Substance

Reference: IAR/2014/PRT/2/2

Version number: 2.0 **State:** Final

Prepared by: Kate Ryan / RSA New Zealand Date: June 13, 2014

Reviewed by: Chris Collins / SRA International, Inc.

Approved by: Markwin Pieters/UNFCCC

Circulation list

Name/Role	Organization	Info/Action
Kate Ryan / Lead Assessor	New Zealand Environmental	Info
	Protection Authority	
Heidi McKenna / SIAR Coordinator	SRA International, Inc.	Info
	Consultant	
Eduardo Santos / RSA Portugal	Portuguese Environment	Action
	Agency	
	• •	

Document change record

Version	Date	Description
0.1	15/05/2014	Initial Draft
1.0	16/05/2014	Reviewed draft ready to send to Party
1.1	10/06/2014	Draft with Party's response incorporated
2.0	13/06/2014	Final

Summary

Ref Nr	Description	Value	Comments
P2.0.1	Party name	Portugal	
P2.0.2	Reporting period	2013	
P2.0.3	Submission under review	Files submitted: -[NIR] NIR_Global_20140415.pdf	Information from the ITL Administrator:
		-[NIR ANNEXES] AnnexA.pdf	-[SEFCR]] SEF_PT_2014_1_12-9-47 9- 1-2014CR.xls
		AnnexB.pdf AnnexH test results EU_rev.pdf	-[RRITL] SIAR-
		-[SEF] SEF_PT_2014_1_12-9-47 9-1- 2014.xls	Reports_2013_PT_v.1
		-[RESPONSE] Consultation_form_P1&2_jsimoesPT. docx	
		-[RREG] SIAR_Reports_PT_template_R2_R5_ 2013.xls	
P2.0.4	Previous annual review report reference	The 2013 ARR is not yet published, for purposes of this assessment we will use FCCC/ARR/2012/PRT (15/07/2013)	

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	4
1.1. Overall assessment	4
1.2. Summary of findings	5
2. Identification of Problems	6
3. Identification of Significant Changes	10
4. Recommendations	13
4.1. Previous Expert Review Team recommendations	s13
4.2. Recommendations to address identified problem	ns14

1. Introduction

The SIAR Part 2 report assesses the substance of a Party's annual submission with regard to its national registry. Each section contains questions related to the specific items to be assessed.

1.1. Overall assessment

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment
P2.1.1	Is the information submitted by Party, in relation to its national registry, complete?	[x]Yes []No
P2.1.2	Problem found with Party's national registry?	[] Yes [x] No
P2.1.3	Any unresolved problem with Party's national registry?	[] Yes [x] No
P2.1.4	Problems identified with the significant changes to the Party's national registry?	[] Yes [x] No
P2.1.5	National registry related recommendations from previous annual review were fully addressed?	[x]Yes []No
P2.1.6	Is there any recommendation that needs to be addressed by the Party?	[x]Yes []No
		P2.4.2.4

1.2. Summary of findings

Ref Nr	Summary of findings	
P2.2.1	1. The information on Kyoto Protocol units has been reported in accordance with section I.E of the annex to decision 15/Cl accurate. The national registry continues to fulfill the requirements related to its reporting and accounting of information on Ky units, transaction procedures, conformance to the technical standards, security, data integrity and recovery measures.	
	2. Party has reported information on its accounting of Kyoto Protocol units in the required SEF tables, as required by decisions 15 14/CMP.1. The SIAR assessor reviewed the findings included in the findings in the SIAR on the SEF and the SEF comparison SIAR was forwarded to the ERT prior to the review, pursuant to decision 16/CP.10.	
	3. Information on the accounting of Kyoto units has been prepared and reported in accordance with section I E of the annex to dec 15/CMP.1, and reported in accordance with decision 14/CMP.1 using the SEF tables.	ision
	4. The SIAR assessor finds that the national registry continues to perform the functions set out in the annex to decision 13/CM annex to decision 5/CMP.1, and continues to adhere to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems in with relevant Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties (CMP) to the Kyoto Protocol decisions.	
	5. Party has reported its commitment period reserve in its 2013 annual submission.	
	6. The national registry has fulfilled the requirements regarding the public availability of information in accordance with section annex to decisions 13/CMP.1. The SIAR assessor recommends that the publicly available information be up to date.	n II.E of the
	ecommendations ecommendations	
	None	

2. Identification of Problems

The purpose of this section is to identify any problems with the national registry based on the Party's annual submission and transaction log records that may affect the performance of the functions of the national registry pursuant to paragraph 88 of the annex to decision 22/CMP.1.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(a)	Assessed in SIAR Part 1.	
	The information is complete and submitted in accordance with section I.E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	Kept here for completeness	
P2.2.2	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(b)	Problem Identified?	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL
	The information relating to issuance, cancellations, retirement, transfers, acquisitions, replacement and carry-over is consistent with information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transactions log;	[]Yes [x]No	records.
P2.2.3	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(c)	Problem Identified?	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL
	The information relating to transfers and acquisitions between national registries is consistent with the information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transaction log, and with information reported by the other Parties involved in the transactions;	[]Yes [x]No	records.
P2.2.4	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(d) The information relating to acquisitions of CERs, tCERs, and ICERs from the CDM registry is consistent with the information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transaction log, and with the clean development mechanism (CDM) registry;	Problem Identified? [] Yes [x] No	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
P2.2.5	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(e) ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs have been issued, acquired, transferred, cancelled, retired, or carried over to the subsequent or from the previous commitment period in accordance with the annex to decision 13/CMP.1;	Problem Identified? [] Yes [x] No	No discrepancies occurred for the Party and no problem has been identified with regard to its transaction procedures related to ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs.
P2.2.6	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(f) tCERs and ICERs have been issued, acquired, transferred, cancelled, retired and replaced, in accordance with the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 and the annex to decision 5/CMP.1;	Problem Identified? [] Yes [x] No	No discrepancies occurred for the Party and no problem has been identified with regard to its transaction procedures related to tCERs and ICERs.
P2.2.7	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(g) The information reported under paragraph 11 (a) of section I.E. in the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 on the quantities of units in accounts at the beginning of the year is consistent with information submitted the previous year, taking into account any corrections made to such information, on the quantities of units in accounts at the end of the previous year;	Problem Identified? [] Yes [x] No	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records and with information submitted in the year prior to the reported year.
P2.2.8	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(h) The required level of the commitment period reserve, as reported, is calculated in accordance with paragraph 6 of the annex to decision 18/CP.7;	Only assessed by the Expert Review Team. Kept here for completeness	
P2.2.9	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(i) The assigned amount is calculated to avoid double accounting in accordance with paragraph 9 of the annex to decision 16/CMP.1;	Only assessed by the Expert Review Team. Kept here for completeness	

	Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
P2.2	2.10	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j) A discrepancy has been identified by the transaction log relating to transactions initiated by the Party, and if so the expert review team shall:	Has the discrepancy been identified by the transaction log? [] Yes [x] No	No discrepancies occurred for the Party
lber)	P2.2.10.1	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(i) Verify that the discrepancy has occurred and been correctly identified by the transaction log;	Has the discrepancy been identified by the transaction log? [] Yes [] No [x]N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party
discrepancy type (include Type Number)	P2.2.10.2	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(ii) Assess whether the same type of discrepancy has occurred previously for that Party;	Has the same type of discrepancy occurred previously for that Party? [] Yes [] No [x]N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party
	P2.2.10.3	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(iii) Assess whether the transaction was completed or terminated;	Was the transaction completed or terminated? [] Yes [] No [x]N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party
crepancy ty	P2.2.10.4	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(iv) Has the Party corrected the problem that caused the discrepancy?	Problem that caused the discrepancy corrected? [] Yes [] No [x]N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party
Repeat for each dis	P2.2.10.5	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(v) Assess whether the problem that caused the discrepancy relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting of Kyoto Protocol units, issuance, holding, transfer, acquisition, cancellation and retirement of ERUs, CERs, tCERS, ICERs, AAUs and RMUs, the replacement of tCERs and ICERs, and the carryover of ERUs, CERs and AAUs	Discrepancy relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting? [] Yes [] No [x]N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party

	Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment	
P2.2	2.11	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)	Any tCERs or ICERs subject	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.	
		Any record of non-replacement has been sent to the Party by the transaction log in relation to tCERs or ICERs held by the Party,	to non-replacement held by Party? [] Yes [x] No		
		and if so the expert review team shall:			
	P2.2.11.1	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(i)	Has the transaction log identified the non-	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.	
je.		Verify that the non-replacement has occurred and been correctly identified by the transaction log;	replacement? [] Yes [] No [x]N/A		
qur	P2.2.11.2	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(ii)	Has this type of non-	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.	
(incl Type number		Assess whether non-replacement has occurred previously for that Party;	replacement previously occurred for that Party? [] Yes [] No [x]N/A		
incl	P2.2.11.3			No non-replacements occurred for the Party.	
		Assess whether the replacement was subsequently undertaken;	subsequently undertaken? [] Yes [] No [x]N/A		
nen	P2.2.11.4	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(iv)	Has the Party corrected the	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.	
n-replacer		Examine the cause of the non-replacement and whether the Party has corrected the problem that caused the non-replacement;			
nor	P2.2.11.5	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(v)	Non-replacement relates to	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.	
Repeat for each non-replacement type		Assess whether the problem that caused the non-replacement relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting of Kyoto Protocol units, holding, transfer, acquisition, cancellation, and retirement of ERUs, CERs, tCERs, ICERs, AAUs and RMUs, and the replacement of tCERs and ICERs, and if so, initiate a thorough review of the registry system in accordance with part V of these guidelines.	the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting? [] Yes [] No [x]N/A		

3. Identification of Significant Changes

The purpose of this section is to identify any **significant changes** in the national registry reported by the Party that may affect the performance of the functions contained in the annex to decision 13/CMP.1, the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 and the adherence to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems in accordance with relevant COP/MOP decisions.

If a change to a Party's national registry has been identified under paragraph 22 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 then information relating to this change should be submitted by the Party in accordance with paragraph 32 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1. This section assesses the submitted changes reported by Party in accordance with paragraph 32 of decision 15/CMP.1, and the further guidance elaborated in the Independent Assessment Report common operational procedure.

		Has the Party reported a	Problem Identified with	
Ref Nr	Requirement	change?	the Change?	Comment
P2.3.1	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(a) The name and contact information of the registry administrator designated by the Party to maintain the national registry	Not a significant change, left here for completeness		
P2.3.2	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(b) The names of the other Parties with which the Party cooperates by maintaining their national registries in a consolidated system	[]Yes [x]No	[]Yes []No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.
P2.3.3	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(c) A description of the database structure and capacity of the national registry.	[]Yes [x]No	[]Yes []No	Changes were reported by the Party for this item, however the changes were limited and only affected EU ETS functionality and therefore are not deemed significant.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Has the Party reported a change?	Problem Identified with the Change?	Comment
P2.3.4	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(d) A description of how the national registry conforms to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems for the purpose of ensuring the accurate, transparent and efficient exchange of data between national registries, the clean development mechanism registry and the transaction log (decision 19/CP.7, paragraph 1)	[]Yes [x]No	[]Yes []No	Changes were reported by the Party for this item, however the changes were limited and only affected EU ETS functionality and therefore are not deemed significant.
P2.3.5	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(e) A description of the procedures employed in the national registry to minimize discrepancies in the issuance, transfer, acquisition, cancellation and retirement of ERUs, CERs, tCERs, ICERs, AAUs and/or RMUs, and replacement of tCERs and ICERs, and of the steps taken to terminate transactions where a discrepancy is notified and to correct problems in the event of a failure to terminate the transactions	[]Yes [x]No	[]Yes []No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.
P2.3.6	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(f) An overview of security measures employed in the national registry to prevent unauthorized manipulations and to prevent operator error and of how these measures are kept up to date	[]Yes [x]No	[]Yes []No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.

		Has the Party reported a	Problem Identified with	<u>_</u>
Ref Nr	Requirement	change?	the Change?	Comment
P2.3.7	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(g)	Not a significant		
	A list of the information publicly accessible by means of the user interface to the national registry	change, left here for completeness		
P2.3.8	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(h)	Not a significant		
	The Internet address of the interface to its national registry	change, left here for completeness		
P2.3.9	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(i)			No changes occurred for the Party for this item.
	A description of measures taken to safeguard, maintain and recover data in order to ensure the integrity of data storage and the recovery of registry services in the event of a disaster	[]Yes [x]No	[]Yes []No	
P2.3.10	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(j) The results of any test procedures that might be available or developed with the aim of testing the performance, procedures and security measures of the national registry undertaken pursuant to the provisions of decision 19/CP.7 relating to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems.	[x]Yes []No	[]Yes [x]No	In [NIR] chapter 13, page 651, the Party states that both regression testing and tests on the new functionality were successfully carried out prior to release of the version to Production. The site acceptance test was carried out by quality assurance consultants on behalf of and assisted by the European Commission; the report is attached as [Annex H].

4. Recommendations

4.1. Previous Expert Review Team recommendations

This section assesses Party's response to the previous annual review recommendations.

Ref Nr	Recommendation from previous Annual Review report (with ref)	Has Party acted on recommendation?	Comment
P2.4.1.1	The assessor notes that Portugal is not fully reporting changes in the national registry related to change of test results and change of database structure. The assessor recommends that Portugal provides this information related to the most current implemented version of the consolidated registry software (IAR_2013_PRT_2_2, P2.4.2.1)	[x]Yes []No	The Party included additional information in response to the 2013 SIAR in the [NIR].
P2.4.1.2	The assessor recommends that following major changes, the party provide a data model which contains all DES required entities complete with descriptions in its annual NIR (IAR_2013_PRT_2_2, P2.4.2.2).	[x]Yes []No	The Party included additional information in response to the 2013 SIAR in the [NIR].
P2.4.1.3	The assessor strongly recommends that the Party test each release thoroughly against the DES as part of each major release cycle and provide the results of such tests in its annual NIR (IAR_2013_PRT_2_2, P2.4.2.3).	[x]Yes []No	The Party included additional information in response to the 2013 SIAR in the [NIR].
P2.4.1.4	The assessor notes that Portugal is relying upon public information per 13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 44, with specific reference to paragraph [45][46][47][48], which is not under the party's direct control. The assessor recommends that Portugal include public information directly on the website of the national registry or via a link from the registry website to another website controlled by the Party (IAR_2013_PRT_2_2, P2.4.2.3).	[x]Yes []No	The Party addressed the recommendations to include public information directly on a website controlled by the Party in [NIR] chapter 13, page 652.

4.2. Recommendations to address identified problems

If a problem has been identified earlier in section 2 and 3 or a previous recommendation listed in section 4.1 has not been taken into account, then this section of the report lists a recommendation for each problem to be brought to the attention to the Expert Review Team.

Ref Nr	Recommendation Ref	Recommendation description	Comment
P2.4.2.1	P.1.2.6, P.1.2.8, P.1.2.10	The assessor recommends that the Party explicitly include this information with its initial submission in future.	The assessor notes that the Party reports in its consultation form [RESPONSE] and Report R2 in the accompanying file [RREG] on the non-occurrence of reversal of storage notifications, non-certification notifications and non-replacements pursuant to paragraphs 13 - 15 in section I.E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.
P2.4.2.2	P.1.2.12	The assessor recommends that the Party explicitly reports this information in its NIR in future submissions.	The assessor notes that the Party reports in its consultation form [RESPONSE] and Report R5 in the accompanying file [RREG] that its national registry does not hold units that are not valid for use towards compliance with commitments under Article 3, paragraph 1, pursuant to paragraph 43 (b) of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1.
P2.4.2.3	P1.3.7	The assessor recommends that the Party explicitly reports this information in its NIR in future submissions.	The assessor notes that the Party reported that there had been a change to the list of publicly accessible information in its consultation form [RESPONSE].
P2.4.2.4	P1.4.1	The assessor recommends that the publicly available information be up to date (i.e. updated as close to real time as possible, but at least updated on a monthly basis).	The assessor notes Party states in its consultation form [RESPONSE] that from June 2014 the publicly available information will be available using new functionality offered by the Union Registry that will have more regularly updated data.