Mitigation under 1b.1 of the Bali Action Plan

Presentation by AOSIS



Context for Mitigation Action Under Paragraph 1 of the Bali Action Plan

- Ultimate Objective of the Convention
- Convention Article 3.3 Precautionary Principle
 - The avoidance of further negative impacts on small island developing States must be one of the key benchmarks
- Lowest assessed IPCC AR4 scenario 2°C too high for SIDS
- Level of Ambition will determine the level of damage incurred



SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION/ANALYSIS SINCE AR4:

- Probabilities of achieving 2°C at 450 ppm CO2-eq
 - Stabilisation at 450 ppm CO2-eq. carries a 50% or greater risk of exceeding 2°C
- Sea level rise likely to be higher and faster than projected in AR4
 - IPCC AR4 projected a sea level rise of 18 to 59 cm by 2100
 - New projections indicates that 50-140 cm increase above 1990 is more likely by 2100
- The acceleration of climate change and its adverse impacts:
 - IPCC AR4 projected loss of a loss in Arctic sea ice in September of roughly 2.5% per decade
 - Observed decline at a rate of 7.8% per decade
- New low stabilisation mitigations scenarios with emission pathways that are consistent with the precautionary approach below 2°C are feasible.



REQUIRED EMISSION REDUCTIONS

Objectives

- Stabilization of GHG concentrations at well below 350 ppm CO2-eq.
- Global average surface temperature increase well below 1.5° C above pre-industrial levels

- Global emission pathway - high end of AR4

- Global CO₂ emissions must *peak by 2015*.
- Global CO₂ reductions of *greater than 85%* are required by 2050.

-Annex I pathway - high end of AR4

- Must reduce their group GHG emissions by *more than 40%* of their 1990 levels by 2020.
- Collectively must reduce their GHG emissions by more than 95% of their 1990 levels by 2050



COMPARABLE EFFORTS

Comparable effort should include:

- (i) setting an ambitious economy-wide target for emission reductions with the same base year established under the Kyoto Protocol;
- (ii) timeframes for emissions reduction should be the same for Kyoto Protocol Parties;
- (iii) third party review of inventories;
- (iv) comparable compliance requirements;



COMPARABLE EFFORTS

- Any arrangement for quantified emission limitation reduction objectives established under 1(b)(i) should not create a mechanism for Annex I Parties to the Kyoto Protocol to leave their obligations under the KP and take up new obligations under 1(b)(i)
- A base year for committing to quantified emission limitation reduction objectives under 1(b)(i) should be the same for the base year established under the KP;
- Consideration would be given to having fungibility between trading units established under the KP and 1(b)(i) subject to similar rules relating to measurable, reportable and verifiable being applied.
- Measures established under 1(b)(i) should not supersede or undermine the Kyoto Protocol.



NO ISLAND LEFT BEHIND !!!!!

