### Annex I

# ACTIVITIES IMPLEMENTED JOINTLY REVISED UNIFORM REPORTING FORMAT (URF 01)

### A. Governmental acceptance, approval or endorsement

- Date of this report: 07/03/2006
- This report is a (<u>please underline</u>):
  - First report
  - **Interim report** (second report 2006)
  - Final report
- Please indicate here which sections were modified since the last report *A*, *D.1*, *E.4*, *E.5*, *E.5.1*, *E.5.2*, *H*

### **B.** Summary of AIJ project

### **B.1** Title of project

Ukmerge. Boiler conversion to burn wood waste project in Joint Stock Company "Vienybe" boiler house.

### **B.2** Participants

Please describe briefly the role(s) of the main participating organization(s) and provide detailed contact information in annex 1:

- The donor country is Sweden, represented by a governmental institution Swedish Energy Agency (STEM).
- The host country primary institution responsible for the Framework Convention on Climate Change and all other climate related issues is The Ministry of Environment.
- The host country local organisation, which owns and operates the facility, where investments were made, is Joint Stock Company "Vienybe".
- The technical assistance during project implementation and follow-up activities was provided by STEM consultants from Swedish company ÅF-International.
- Projects performance data collection and reporting activities are carried out by Lithuanian Energy Institute.

### **B.3** Activity summary

### B.3.1 General description

Ukmerge is a small town with 30 000 inhabitants approximately 70 km north of Vilnius. Joint Stock Company "Vienybe" is a privately owned metal industry company in Ukmerge. The company's heating plant is the supplier of heat to its own facilities only. There were plans to deliver heat to other industries

and an adjacent residential area at the project start but unfortunately those plans have not been realised. The plant has five gas or mazut fired boilers, three DKVR 10, one DKVR 20 and one DE 16 boiler. Annual energy production was estimated about 50 000 MWh at the initial stage of the project.

### B.3.2 Type of activity

Please use project type descriptors contained in annex 2.

Sector	Activity
Energy	Fuel-switching to renewable (from natural gas to biofuels)

### B.3.3 Location (e.g. city, region, state):

Ukmerge town, Lithuania

### B.3.4 Stage of activity (*Please underline the appropriate option*):

- Pre-feasibility study completed
- Feasibility study completed
- In start-up or construction phase

  (e.g. ensuring financing, construction of site, purchase of land, installation of new equipment)

### B.3.4 Stage of activity (continued)

### • <u>In operation</u>

(e.g. new windmill plant is connected, converted boiler reconnected, etc. and real, measurable and long-term GHG emission reductions or removals by sinks are generated)

Completed

(AIJ project activity no longer generates GHG reductions or removals by sinks or has been terminated)

Suspended

(Please indicate date when AIJ project activity is expected to resume, and give brief explanation of reasons for suspension (up to half a page)):

### B.3.5 Lifetime of AIJ project activity:

• Approval date: 31/03/1995 (Letter of Intent)

(Date at which the AIJ project activity was mutually approved by designated national authorities of **all** Parties involved.)

• Starting date: April 1996 (In operation from)

(Date at which real, measurable and long-term GHG reductions or removals by sinks will begin or began to be generated.)

• Ending date (expected): 30/09/2005 (loan expire date)

(Date at which AIJ project activity is expected to no longer generate GHG reductions or removals by sinks.)

• Ending date (actual): -

(Date at which AIJ project no longer generated GHG reductions or removals by sinks or was terminated.)

- Ending of the operational life of the project if different from the ending date of the AIJ project activity:
  - Expected technical lifetime is 15 years which means that the plant is expected to be in operation till 2010.
- Reasons for the choice of lifetime dates (Describe briefly (up to half a page)):

The lifetime criteria have been arranged in different groups depending on type of implemented activities. This classification assumes a level of operation and maintenance, which is normal in western countries.

### Heat production plants (bio fuel)

25 years	New installation of all main equipment parts (fuel handling system, firing
	equipment and boiler) and modernisation of secondary equipment.
15 years	Conversion of existing boiler but new installation fuel handling system and
	firing equipment. Modernisation of secondary equipment.
10 years	Limited installation of new equipment (only one part of the three main parts,
	normally the firing equipment). Modernisation of other equipment.

### Heat distribution systems and sub-stations

25 years	Pre-fabricated pipes and installations using certified contractors and supervisor according to EN norms and applicable district heating practise
15 years	Pre-fabricated pipes and installations without using certified contractors and
	supervisor
10 years	Modernisation of existing pipes.

### **Energy efficiency in buildings**

25 years	Additional insulation roofs walls etc. with Scandinavian technology.
	New installed heating systems.
15 years	Renovation and balancing of heating systems including thermostat valves.
10 years	Weather stripping windows, doors etc.

<sup>\*</sup> if a combination of measures is done a reasonable lifetime for the project have to be calculated.

### **B.4** Determination of the baseline

- B.4.1 Date of completing the baseline determination: 1997 (first report)
- B.4.2 Carried out by (name): STEM/ÅF-International (Please provide detailed contact information in annex 1)
- B.4.3 Type of baseline methodology applied and described in detail in section E.1 (*Please underline the appropriate option(s)*)
- Project-specific by:
  - I. Simulating a likely situation that would have existed without the project
  - II. Taking an actual reference case project
  - III. Other (*Please specify (insert lines as needed)*):
- Multi-project by using (please specify briefly):

B.4.4 Describe the scope of the project boundary (*Please summarize briefly the related information provided in section E. 2*):

The activity is heat production and this includes emissions from on-site combustion of fossil fuels and bio fuels. The project and baseline heat production activity is assumed to be equal. This means that the emission reduction from the project is based on the difference in fossil fuels consumption before and after the implementation of the project activity.

12/07/2006

B.4.5 Describe the degree of aggregation of the multi-project baseline (*Please summarize briefly the related information provided in section E. 1*):

### C. General compatibility with and supportiveness of national economic development and socioeconomic and environment priorities and strategies

Describe briefly, to the extent that information is available (up to one page) and refer to documents, decisions and laws, as appropriate:

The project meets the following objectives in the National Energy Strategy (2002), National Energy Efficiency Programme (2001), Law of Energy (2002), Law on Electricity (2000), Law on Biofuel (2000), Forestry and Forest Industry Development Programme (2002), Resolution No. 7 "On renewable and waste energy prices", Law on Pollution Taxes(1999):

- efficient and sustainable use of energy resources;
- to provide favourable conditions for developing the production of biofuels, to make efforts for increasing the share of renewable energy sources in the primary energy balance from present 8% to 12% by 2010;
- -to reduce adverse effects of energy on the environment
- to reduce the energy intensity
- to reduce dependence on fuel imports
- to create new working places
- to involve the local companies into production of equipment for new firing technology
- to create and improve energy infrastructure based on indigenous energy resources
- to utilise the existing energy production capacity efficiently
- to reduce of fossil fuel consumption which results in emission reduction and currency saving
- to attract foreign investments for projects which ensure better use natural resources as well as environmental improvement;
- to develop co-operation between Baltic, Nordic and other Central European countries

### D. Environmental, economic and social and cultural impacts

### D.1 Environmental impact (positive and/or negative)

• Annual emissions reduction:

Projected 2005 8 984 ton CO<sub>2</sub> 1397 ton CO<sub>2</sub> 8 086\* 1 257 10 ton SO<sub>2</sub> 2 ton SO<sub>2</sub> 1 ton NO<sub>x</sub> 0 ton NO<sub>x</sub>

- Lower pollution in town
- Improved sivilculture

### D.2 Economic impact (positive and/or negative)

• Decreased fuel costs approx. 3.5 USD/MWh which results in currency saving.

### D.3 Social and cultural impact (positive and/or negative)

Improved working conditions, increased motivation

- Improved working conditions, increased motivation
- Higher employment (new fuel and service companies)
- More stable heat price for consumers

# E. Calculation of real, measurable and long-term environmental benefits related to the mitigation of climatic change, that would not have occurred otherwise

### **E.1** Assumptions and characteristics of the baseline

E.1.1 Assumptions of the baseline (Describe (up to 1 page)):

The project based on status quo baseline. It is assumed that energy production of the renewable power plant installed as AIJ project is the same as using fossil fuel before the project. The factors used for baseline did not change over time. These factors are:

- Baseline fuel natural gas;
- Baseline efficiency of the fossil fuel boilers;
- Total heat production of the boiler plant before boiler conversion.

The numerical data are presented in section E.1.4.

E.1.2 Describe the baseline (Please describe the baseline as well as leakage effects (up to 1 page)):

The project baseline is status quo baseline (total boiler plant heat production and emissions in the period before the conversion to renewable fuel). The most important factor in calculation baseline emission is the annual efficiency of fossil fuel boilers. Baseline efficiency of the fossil fuel boilers has been derived from available heat production data, boiler house energy balance, technical specifications or expert judgement.

There was not assumed any indirect effects outside project boundary and leakages in the baseline emission calculation.

The project baseline boundary includes direct on-site (boiler plant) emissions, i.e. emissions from on-site combustion of fossil fuels. Emissions related e.g. to the transport of fuels to the project site are not included.

E.1.3 Reasons for selecting a baseline and its methodology (*Describe (up to 1 page)*):

The project specific baseline as status quo case has been initially selected to start reporting on AIJ with the future plans to re-evaluate chosen baseline at pre-determined intervals in order to account for developments in the heating sector and indirect effects.

E.1.4 Calculation of values reported in 'Baseline scenario' in table E.5.1 column (A):

 ${\rm CO_2}$  emissions values are calculated according to the IPCC Guidelines (1996) and EC Commission decision of 29/01/2004 establishing guidelines for the monitoring and reporting of greenhouse gas emissions pursuant to Directive 2003/87/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. Carbon Emission Factors (CEF) is used to calculate  ${\rm CO_2}$  emitted during fuel combustion.  ${\rm CO_2}$  emissions ( ${\rm M_{CO2}}$ ) are calculated according to the formula, as follows:

 $M_{CO2} = (Q_f \times K_c \times 3.6 \times 44 \times q_c)/\eta_b \times 12 \times 1000)$  tons/year,

were,

Q<sub>fb</sub>- boiler(s) heat production, MWh/year,

K<sub>c</sub> - fraction of carbon oxidised,

q<sub>c</sub> - carbon emission factor, tC/TJ,

 $\eta_b$  - annual efficiency of boiler(s), i.e. baseline efficiency of fossil fuel boilers.

Documentation box (Please provide numerical data referred to in this section):

The following data are used for calculation of the baseline scenario CO<sub>2</sub> emission reductions:

Total heat production of the boiler plant before boiler conversion – 50000 MWh/year Baseline efficiency of the fossil fuel boilers – 85%

Carbon emission factor for natural gas – 15,3 tC/TJ

Fraction of carbon oxidised – 0,995

### E.2 Assumptions and characteristics of the project scenario

E.3.1 Assumptions for the AIJ project activity and its boundary

The activity is energy production and this includes emissions from on-site combustion of fossil fuels and bio fuels. These emissions are under control of the boiler house staff. The project and baseline heat production activity is assumed to be equal.

E.3.2 Describe the project scenario

(Please describe the project scenario as well as effects occurring outside the project boundary (up to 1 page)):

The plant has five gas or mazut-fired boilers, 3 DKVR 10, one DKVR 20 and one DE 16 boiler. Annual energy production is about 50 000 MWh. One of the DKVR 10 boilers has been converted to wood chips firing with an esti-mated power output of 6 MW and an annual heat production of around 38000 MWh.

- E.3.3 Please explain why the AIJ project activity would not have taken place anyway (*Describe (up to 1 page)*):
- E.3.4 Calculation of values reported in 'Project scenario' in table E.5.1, column (B)

According to the section E.1.4 the following is used to calculate  $CO_2$  emission:

 $M_{CO2} = (Q_{fp} \times K_c \times 3.6 \times 44 \times q_c)/\eta_b \times 12 \times 1000)$  tons/year,

were,

Q<sub>fp</sub>- boiler(s) energy production, MWh/year,

K<sub>c</sub> - fraction of carbon oxidised,

q<sub>c</sub> - carbon emission factor, tC/TJ,

 $\eta_b$  - annual efficiency of boiler(s), i.e. baseline efficiency of fossil fuel boilers.

Boiler(s) heat production  $Q_{fp}$  is calculated as:

$$Q_{fb} = Q_{fb} - Q_w (50000 - 38000 = 12000 \text{ MWh/year})$$

Documentation box (*Please provide numerical data referred to in this section*):

The following data are used for calculation of the baseline scenario CO<sub>2</sub> emission reductions:

Projected heat production on wood fuel boiler (Q<sub>w</sub>) – 38000 MWh/year

Baseline efficiency of the fossil fuel boilers – 85%

Carbon emission factor for natural gas – 15,3 tC/TJ

Fraction of carbon oxidised - 0,995

### E.3 Revision of the baseline for the project

- E.3.1 Baseline revisions are planned (please <u>underline</u>): <u>Yes</u>/ No *If yes, please complete the remainder of section E.3.* 
  - E.3.2 Revisions are planned at regular intervals (please underline): Yes/No
  - If yes, please specify date of first planned revision and the length of the intervals:
  - If no, please explain revision schedule (up to half a page):

The new data are planned to introduce in the baseline scenario. The time schedule is not yet specified.

- E.3.3 Information on revisions
- If a baseline (and/or the project scenario) revision is covered by this report, describe briefly the nature of this revision, including parameters changed in the revision as well as the calculation of the new set of values in the column 'Baseline scenario' in a revision of table E.5.1, column (A): (up to one page)
- Date of last baseline revision: (DD/MM/YYYY)
- Date of next baseline revision: (DD/MM/YYYY)

Documentation box (Please provide numerical data referred to in this section):

### **E.4** Scope and performance of the actual project

Provide actual project data (E.5.2. Column B) and the calculations of the actual real, measurable and long-term emission reductions and/or removals as measured against the relevant (original/revised) baseline scenario values

Documentation bo	ox (Please	provide	numerical	' data referred	to in this section):

The following data are used for calculation of the actual  $CO_2$  emission reductions:

Year	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Heat production,										
MWh/year	12130	11960	11800	10310	8650	11397	8700	8850	7425	5908

Other data used for calculations are presented in sections E.1 and E.2 Actual heat production on bio fuels, MWh/y

# E.5 Tables on real, measurable and long-term GHG emission reductions or removals by sinks (in CO2 equivalent)

<u>Projected</u> real, measurable and long-term GHG emission reductions or removals by sinks

Projected real, measurable and long-term GHG emission reductions or removals by sinks over the lifetime of the AIJ activity (Please underline and fill, as appropriate: This is the initial table or this is revision \_\_ of this table) (in metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent<sup>a</sup>) E.5.1

Insert rows as needed

	B	Baseline	Baseline scenario <sup>b</sup>	ے ا		Project	Project scenario <sup>b</sup>	b	Projected real, measurable and long-term GHG emission reductions (-) or removals by sinks (+) ((B)-(A))	ojected real, measurable a long-term GHG emission ductions (-) or removals l sinks (+)	leasural G emiss remov (+)	ble and sion als by
Year	$CO_2$	$\mathrm{CH_4}^{\mathrm{a}}$	$ m N_2O^a$	Other <sup>a</sup>	$CO_2$	$ m CH_4^a$	$ m N_2O^a$	$\operatorname{Other}^a$	$CO_2$	$ m CH_4$	$N_2O$	Other
1996	11821				2837				-8984 -8086*			
1997	11821				2837				-8984 -8086*			
1998	11821				2837				-8984 -8086*			
1999	11821				2837				-8984 -8086*			
2000	11821				2837				-8984 -8086*			
2001	11821				2837				-8984 -8086*			
2002	11821				2837				-8984 -8086*			
2003	11821				2837				-8984 -8086*			
2004	11821				2837				-8984 -8086*			
2005	11821				2837				-8984 -8086*			
:												
2010	11821				2837				-8984 -8086*			

TOTAL	177315		42555	-134760 -121290*	
14.0		•			

a Please convert values into global warming potentials, referring to annex 3 for conversion factors.

<sup>b</sup> Including effects occurring outside the project boundary (leakage) as described in sections E.1.4, and E.2.4, as applicable

CO<sub>2</sub> emission presented in the Table E.5.1. and E.5.2. Almost all recommendations and remarks from DNV "Multi-Project Verification of 31 \*Discounting values from DNV "Multi-Project Verification of 31 Swedish-Baltic AIJ Projects (2001)" report were used in calculation of the Swedish-Baltic AIJ Projects (2001)" report are involved in these calculations.

Corrected CO<sub>2</sub> emission due to relative discounting value, tons/year:

 $M^*_{CO2} = M_{CO2} \times (1-n_{dis}/100)$ 

were,

 $M_{CO2} - CO_2$  emission, tons/year,

n<sub>dis</sub> – relative discounting value of the CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction, (for Vienybe project -10%)

Actual real, measurable and long-term GHG emission reductions or removals by sinks of the AIJ activity E.5.2 <u>Actual</u> real, measurable and long-term GHG emission reductions or removals by sinks (in metric tons of  ${\rm CO}_2$  equivalent<sup>a</sup>)

Please insert values assessed ex post i.e. after measurement. Insert rows as needed.

Baseline scenario <sup>b c</sup> Actual project <sup>b c</sup>	<u> </u>	Baseline scenari	scenariob	, 3		Actual	Actual project <sup>b c</sup>	3	Actual	real. m	Actual real, measurable and	le and	Values
	1	7)	(4)				(B)		long-t	erm G	long-term GHG emission	ssion	indicated are
									reductions (-) or removals by	ons (-) c	r remo	vals by	assessed
										((B)-(A))	(4))		independently (Yes/No)
Year	$CO_2$	$CH_4^a$	$N_2O^a$	Other <sup>a</sup>	$CO_2$	CH <sub>4</sub> <sup>a</sup>	$N_2O^a$	Other <sup>a</sup>	CO2	$\mathrm{CH}_4$	$N_2O$	Other	
					•				-2867				Yes
1996	2867				0				-2580*				V
1997	2827				0				-2544*				I GS
	<u> </u>								-2789				Yes
1998	2789				0				-2510*				
0	- I				(				-2437				Yes
1999	2437				0				-2193*				
2000	2045				0				-2045 -1841*				
					1				-2694				
2001	2694				0				-2425*				
COCC	2067				c				-2057				
7007	7607				>				-1631				
2003	2092				0				-1883*				
2007	1755				c				-1755				
1004	3				•				-1397				
2005	1397				0				-1257*				
									-19808				
Σ	22960				0				-17827*				
2010 $\overline{\Sigma}$	2296				0								

		40
		0
	-34440	*96608-

<sup>a</sup> Please convert values into global warming potentials, referring to annex 3 for conversion factors.

<sup>b</sup> Including effects occurring outside the project boundary (leakage) as described in sections E.1.4, E.2.4, E.3.4 and E.4, as applicable.

<sup>c</sup> Values that differ from those in table E.5.1 should be marked in **bold**.

t<sub>1.t</sub> – leftover time to the end of AIJ project activity, in years

CO<sub>2</sub> emission presented in the Table E.5.1. and E.5.2. Almost all recommendations and remarks from DNV "Multi-Project Verification of 31 \*Discounting values from DNV "Multi-Project Verification of 31 Swedish-Baltic AIJ Projects (2001)" report were used in calculation of the Swedish-Baltic AIJ Projects (2001)" report are involved in these calculations.

Corrected CO<sub>2</sub> emission due to relative discounting value, tons/year:

 $M^*_{CO2} = M_{CO2} \times (1-n_{dis}/100)$ 

were,

 $M_{CO2} - CO_2$  emission, tons/year,

n<sub>dis</sub> – relative discounting value of the CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction, (for Vienybe project -10%)

### **E.6** Mutually agreed assessment procedures

If the AIJ activity provides for mutually agreed assessment procedures, please fill subsections E.6.1 or *E.6.2*, as applicable.

- E.6.1 Assessment procedures that use all or one of the following steps:
- E.6.1.1 Initial independent assessment of the project activity:
- Has the project design been subject to such an assessment? (Please underline): Yes/No
- If yes, what organization(s) is/are involved: (Please indicate the type of organization(s) (consultancy, accredited certification body, government body, university, etc.) and provide their detailed contact information in annex 1 to this report).

Only a set of principles for the selection and verification of projects was worked out by the experts of the implementing agency STEM in Sweden. The host country governmental representatives have had a rather modest role in launching the projects.

### E.6.1.2 Monitoring

- Does the project have a monitoring plan? (Please underline): Yes / No
- Summarize briefly the key elements of the monitoring plan (i.e. which parameters are being monitored, with what frequency, providing sampling intensities if appropriate, *methods and equipment; associated uncertainties, etc.) (not more than 1 page):*

STEM has continued its assistance in monitoring and reporting the projects in the host countries. Experts from STEM, as well as the Swedish consultancy company ÅF-International provided guidance in methodology. For the regular follow-up activities a special format has been developed to collect performance data from each plant for each heating season. The monitoring activities have continued by local experts for preparing Swedish AIJ reports.

The following monthly data are collected and monitored:

- ✓ Heat production on bio fuels;
- ✓ Heat production on fossil fuels;
- ✓ Total heat production of the boiler house;✓ Bio fuels consumption;
- ✓ Fossil fuels consumption.
- Is the monitoring conducted by project proponents? (Please underline): Yes / No
- If no, which organization(s) is/are involved: (Kindly indicate the type of organization(s) (consultancy, accredited certification body, government body, university, etc.) and provide their detailed contact information in annex 1 to this report).

### E.6.1.3 Independent assessment of the project performance

- Is the activity subject to such an assessment? (Please underline): Yes / No
- If no, is such an assessment intended? (Please underline): Yes / No
- If ves, what organization(s) is/are involved: (Please indicate the type of organization(s) (consultancy, accredited certification body, government body, university, etc.), and provide their detailed contact information in annex 1 to this report. Indicate the frequency of the assessments, how many assessments have taken

place to date, and whether the assessment report(s) is/are publicly available if requested).

Projects are followed and evaluated from technical and economic points of view by local experts in 1996 special measurements (emissions) programs and performance tests were carried out of boiler conversion projects. These tests were carried out by Swedish specialists (ÅF-International) with assistance of local staff in the boiler houses.

Det Norske Veritas (DNV) performed Multi-Project verification of Swedish AIJ projects in Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania. "Multi-Project Verification of 31 Swedish-Baltic AIJ Projects" report presented in 2001.

Summarize briefly the key elements of the assessment activities: (Please describe issues such as criteria used; the project design; project implementation; key project parameters being verified; the frequency of assessment/surveillance; sampling approach applied by the assessing organization) (up to one page):

Key elements of the assessment activities of performance tests and environmental measurements carried out by Swedish specialists (ÅF-International):

- ✓ Performance tests (heat output, input losses and efficiency of the converted
- ✓ Environmental measurements (instantaneous and annual emissions (dust, NO<sub>x</sub>, S,  $CO, CO_2);$
- ✓ Analysis of the operational problems;

Key elements of the assessment activities of Multi-Project verification of Swedish AIJ projects in Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania:

- ✓ Assessment and analysis of reported data;✓ Verification of emission reduction;
- ✓ Assessment of projects specific baselines;
- ✓ Discounting for uncertainties.

### E.6.1.4 Provision of written statement by an independent entity regarding the performance of the project activity

(Please note that such a statement is not a formal requirement under the AIJ pilot phase (see also the note at the beginning of section E.6). If the project has made provision for such a statement, please indicate the name of the independent body and attach a copy of the written statement(s)).

E.6.2 Other form of mutually agreed assessment procedure (please specify):

Ministry of Environment is a central Lithuanian authority responsible on reporting of JI-projects. This authority assigns a local organisation Lithuanian Energy Institute, which is involved in to the evaluation of the climate effects of this project and takes the main responsibility to continue measuring, results collecting for AIJ-reporting.

### E. 7 **Cost (to the extent possible)**

- E.7.1 The cost information is (*Please underline*):
- Provided below
- Not provided because the data are (*Please underline*):

- Not yet available
- Classified as confidential

### E.7.2 AIJ project activity costs

Country		Vienybe BC	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2005
			0	1	2	3	4	5	9
	Investmen t	1. Loan/debt to STEM	465100	528200	507500	518511	524048	523439	3515285
		2. Added costs	36700	26400	12300	11011	5537	10213	0
		3.Technical assistance	64000	0	0	0	0	0	0
	AIJ/JI	4. Follow up	0	8500	2200	2267	2139	1265	2852
A. Sweden	costs	5. Reporting costs	0	851	0	744	301	282	810
		6. Administration	40000	0	0	0	0	0	0
		7. Difference in interest	4%	21128	20300	20740	20962	20938	140615
		8.Accum. costs for AIJ/JI	104000	134479	156979	180731	204133	226618	230280
		9.Total costs	605800	662679	664479	699241	728181	750057	
	Investmen t	Investment/Instalment	0	0	33000	0	0	10822	0
2. Lithuania	AIJ/JI	2. Reporting costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	costs	3. Other costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		4. Accum. costs for AIJ/JI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		5. Total costs	0	0	33000	33000	33000	43822	
1 USD=	10	SEK							

# F. Financial additionality

Bearing in mind that the financing of AIJ shall be **additional** to financial obligations of Parties included in Annex II to the Convention within the framework of the financial mechanism, as well as to current official development assistance (ODA) flows (decision 5/CP.1):

Please list sources and the purpose:

Source and purpose of the AIJ project activity funding  Including pre-feasibility phase  (One line for each source)	Amount (in thousand US\$)
Loan from NUTEK*	500.25
Grant from NUTEK* for technical assistance	70.0

1 USD = 10,00 SEK

<sup>\*</sup> From 1 January, 1998, the new Swedish National Energy Administration was established – from 1 January 2002 the name in English has been changed to the Swedish Energy Agency - has taken over the responsibility for the Programme for an Environmentally Adapted Energy System in the Baltic region and Eastern Europe (EAES Programme) from NUTEK (Swedish National Board for Industrial and Technical Development.

G. Contribution to capacity building, transfer of environmentally sound technologies and know-how to other Parties, particularly developing country Parties, to enable them to implement the provisions of the Convention. In this process, the developed country Parties shall support the development and enhancement of endogenous capacities and technologies of developing country Parties

### G.1 Identification of environmentally sound technology and know-how

- Name of manufacturer: HOTAB AB (main contractor of the combustion equipment)
- Place of manufacture (country): Sweden
- Model names and numbers of equipment (where appropriate):
- Any other relevant key specific technology characteristics:

The project comprises conversion of a DKVR 10-13 gas fired boiler to biofuels firing through installation of a pre-furnace. Automatic fuel storage and flue-gas cleaning are included in the project. The estimated power output after conversion is 6 MW.

A new pre-furnace for burning wood chips and a new DE-25 steam boiler have been installed. The DE boiler has both an ordinary economiser for preheating the feed-water and an extra economiser that heats the district heating water. The latter economiser may cool the flue gases below water dew point and thus using a part of condensation energy in flue gases. New boiler has an estimated power output of 8 MW.

Boiler type: DKVR 10-13 (steam)

Boiler output: 6 MW

Pre-furnace: Free standing with moving inclined grates

Flue gas cleaning: Multicyclone

Fuel type: Wood chips, sawdust,

bark, 35-55% RH

Previous fuel: Natural gas

• Where applicable, name and location of provider and nature of training:

In-site training of local staff on operation and maintenance of the installed equipment provided by main supplier according to the Contract

### **G.2** Characteristics of environmentally sound technology

The technology is *(underline the option)*:

- At a research and development stage
- Being tested or demonstrated in similar conditions outside the host country
- At the initial stage of introduction into the world market
- At the initial stage of introduction into the host market
- Commercially available and deployed in the world market (or this option ?)
- Commercially available and deployed in the host market
- Not characterized by the above options. *Please describe*:
- G.3 Impact of the AIJ project on capacity-building and transfer of environmentally sound technology and know-how (up to two pages):

Systematically, the capacity building and transfer of know-how have involved the following activities over time and have taken place through:

# i) Technology transfer through STEM technical specialist and co-operation between foreign supplier and local partner during the implementation of the project:

- Technical support of STEM specialist to the local project leader and municipality;
- The following up group for testing and adjustment of converted boilers has been formed in Lithuanian Energy Institute. Personnel of Heat-Equipment Research and Testing Laboratory is carrying out following up procedures keeping a close relation with and ÅF International AB company as the main supervisor of conversion projects supported by STEM.
- The local company "Kazlu Rudos Metalas" with participation of Swedish company "Hotab" mainly performed the execution of these biofuel conversion projects.
- Knowledge in managing and planning of industrial projects;
- Transferring of environmental issues to the local parties;
- Transferring of knowledge in operation and maintenance issue;
- Operation and maintenance software was introduced to the plant-owners.

### ii) Conferences, seminars, documentation and training:

- Personal from boiler plant has been invited to different seminars and workshops and several
  presentations about company experience have made, documentation for training has been
  handed over;
- There were arranged seminars through close cooperation between STEM and Lithuanian partners:
  - ✓ Environmentally Friendly Energy Systems in the Baltic and Eastern Europe Countries/ (Seminar), Kaunas, 21-22 March, 1995 (prepared information by topics in Lithuanian over than 150 pages);
  - ✓ Environmentally Adapted Energy Systems in the Baltic Region and Eastern Europe/ (Seminar), Birzai, 19-20 November, 1998;
  - ✓ Environmentally-Adapted Local Energy Systems /(Seminar and book presentation), Druskininkai, 21 November, 1998.
  - ✓ Translation and preparation of safe operation regulations for wood waste burning boiler houses. This job was supported by STEM.

# iii) Stimulate "net-working" for the exchange of experience between plant owners with similar problems, e g "bio-clubs":

- Association "Bioenergy and energy saving" has been founded at the end of 1997 to co-ordinate
  the attempts of wood firing equipment producers, wood wastes suppliers and owners of boiler
  plants;
- The boiler plant has been visited by specialist from another boiler plants (incl. Russia, Baltic Countries). The local staff has an exchange of experience with the staff from another boiler plants and has been active in "bio-club";

### **Endogenous capacity supported or enhanced:**

Endogenous capacity Name of organisation <sup>1)</sup>	Development (DEV) / enhancement (ENH)	Describe briefly
AB "Kazlu Rudos Metalas"	DEV	New biofuel firing technology was developed. Experience in installation and maintenance of modern western

AB "Kazlu Rudos Metalas"	DEV	technology.  The new modern equipment for production pellets from wood dust were developed as demand for other
AB "Umega"	DEV	wood fuel kinds increased The straw burning technology was started to spread as continuation of successfully realized biofuel
AB "Kazlu Rudos Metalas" AB "Singaras" AB "Menranga" AB "Germeta"	ENH	conversion projects.  Many smaller boiler conversion projects were successfully finished in Lithuania by local companies AB "Kazlu Rudos Metalas", AB "Singaras", AB "Elhamers" during past five years. The implementation of these projects was initiated by the successfully realized STEM projects.

### H. Additional comments

Complete as appropriate:

- **1) Any practical experience gained:** The boiler house staff actively participated in wood fired boiler rebuilding.
- **2) Technical difficulties:** In 2001 problems with high content of CO in the flue gases still remain and especially in the case when wood fuel with moister more than 40% was used. From 2001 no serious problems have occurred.
- **3) Effects encountered:** Execution of biofuel conversion projects according to STEM JI programme caused the activity in the following fields:
- production of pre-furnaces and small wood fired boilers by local companies;
- new policy formation in respect of cleaning the forests and using the wood wastes or preparing of wood fuel by the forestry industry;
- research and testing of new equipment, training the local personnel and etc.
- The experiences from EAES Programme projects have formed the basis for a new policy as respect to increasing renewable energy sources in the total energy balance of Lithuania.
- **4) Impacts encountered:** At the start of boiler operation the fuel price has proven to be lower because sawmill-waste resources were higher than expected.
- **5) Other obstacles encountered:** In 2000 the heat production decreased due to the higher average ambient temperature in 2000 (October-December) heating season, energy saving measures and conversion steam boiler to hot water boiler.

Due to the lower average ambient temperature during heating season (October-December, 2001) and better economical situation in the enterprise heat production increased 25-30%.

The heat production further decreased in 2004 and 2005 due to continuous implementation of energy saving measures (installation new windows and isolating workshops and administration buildings).

6) Other: There is a possibility to increase the load for the boiler house in the future. In 2000 a research project was started with Det Norske Veritas with the aim to develop methods for simplifying the verification of JI-projects based on the experiences from the Swedish boiler conversion projects in the Baltic countries. The project has been finished in 2001.

## Annex 1 to the revised uniform reporting format (URF 01)

### PARTICIPANTS' CONTACT INFORMATION

Please provide contact information for <u>each</u> organization. Add rows as required (by copying and

pasting)

Name	Address <sup>a</sup>	Voice/Fax/E-mail	
. ,	Organization(s) b: Swedish Energy Agency Function(s) within activityc: Financing/Project development		
Officer responsible:	The System Analysis Department, Climate Change Division Kungsgatan 43 BOX 310 S-63104 Eskilstuna SWEDEN http://www.stem.se	Tel:+46 16 544 20 81 Fax: +46 16 544 22 64 E-mail: bengt.bostrom@stem.se	
Contact person, if different from above: Gudrun Knutsson	Head of Section, Climate Investment Programme	Tel: +46 16 544 20 72 Fax:+46 16 544 22 54 E-mail:	
Guarun Khatsson		Gudrun.Knutsson@stem.se	

Name	Address <sup>a</sup>	Voice/Fax/E-mail
Organization(s) b: Ministry o	f Environment of the Republic	of Lithuania
Function(s) within activity <sup>c</sup> :	Designated national authority/re	eporter
Officer responsible:	Environmental Quality	<b>Tel:</b> +370-52 66 36 61
_	Department	Fax: +370-52-66 36 63
	Jaksto 4	E-mail: kanceliarija@am.lt
	LT-01105 Vilnius	
	Lithuania	
	http://www.am.lt	
Contact person, if different	Director of Environmental	Tel: +370-52-66 35 09
from above:	Quality Dept.	Fax: + 370-52-66 36 63
Arunas Cepele		E-mail: a.cepele@am.lt

Name	Address <sup>a</sup>	Voice/Fax/E-mail	
Organization(s) b: Joint Stock	Organization(s) b: Joint Stock Company "Vienybe"		
Function(s) within activity <sup>c</sup> : Project owner/borrower			
Officer responsible:	Kauno 120	<b>Tel:</b> +370 340 60 563	
-	LT-20115 Ukmerge	Fax: +370 340 60 566	
	Lithuania	E-mail: - vienybe@vienybe.lt	
Contact person, if different	Director	<b>Tel:</b> +370 340 60 566	
from above:		Fax: +370 340 60 566	
Arturas Gribaciauskas		E-mail: - vienybe@vienybe.lt	

Name	Address <sup>a</sup>	Voice/Fax/E-mail	
Organization(s) b: ÅF-Interna	Organization(s) b: ÅF-International AB (Malmö)		
Function(s) within activity <sup>c</sup> : Technical assistance			
Officer responsible:	Stensjögatan 3 S-21765 Malmö Sweden http://www.af.se	Tel: +46 40 37 50 00 Fax: +46 40 13 03 69 E-mail:	
Contact person, if different	Project leader	<b>Tel:</b> +46 40 37 50 97	
from above:		<b>Fax:</b> +46 40 13 03 69	
Ulf Lindgren		E-mail: <u>Ulf.lindgren@af.se</u>	

Name	Address <sup>a</sup>	Voice/Fax/E-mail
Organization(s) <sup>b</sup> : Lithuanian Energy Institute Function(s) within activity <sup>c</sup> : Local reporter		
Officer responsible:	Heat-Equipment Research and Testing Laboratory Breslaujos 3 LT-44403 Kaunas Lithuania http://www.lei.lt	<b>Tel:</b> +370 37 40 18 63 <b>Fax:</b> +370 37 35 12 71 <b>E-mail:</b> testlab@isag.lei.lt
Contact person, if different from above: Nerijus Pedisius	Consultant	<b>Tel:</b> +370 37 40 18 63 <b>Fax:</b> +370 37 35 12 71 <b>E-mail:</b> nerijus@isag.lei.lt

Name	Address <sup>a</sup>	Voice/Fax/E-mail	
Organization(s) b: Det Norske	Veritas AS		
Function(s) within activity <sup>c</sup> : In	Function(s) within activity: Independent assessment of project performance		
Officer responsible:	DNV development section	<b>Tel:</b> +47 67 57 99 00	
	Veritasveien 13	Fax: +47 67 57 74 74	
	N-1322 Hovik	E-mail: -	
	Norway		
	http://www.dnv.com		
Contact person, if different	Expert	<b>Tel:</b> +47 67 57 95 10	
from above:		Fax: +47 67 57 99 11	
Jesse B. Uzzell		E-mail: Jesse.Uzzell@dnv.com	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Address should include: department; street; postal code; city; country and the Internet address of the organization (if available).

b Organization includes: institutions, ministries, government agency closely following the

1 unetion within delivity. Please use the following edicionies.	
Function	Description of function
Project development	Designing/developing the AIJ project and/or submitting the AIJ project proposal

activity, companies, non-governmental organizations, etc. involved in the activity.

<sup>c</sup> Function within activity: please use the following categories:

Project operator	Implementing and administering the AIJ project activities
Government regulation/oversight	Ensuring compliance of the project with laws and regulations
Technical assistance	Providing scientific or other technical guidance or support for the purposes of project development and/or project administration, implementation, training and education activities
Financing	Serving as a source of funding for the AIJ project
Initial independent assessment of project activity	Assessing whether the project activity meets a given set of criteria
Monitoring	Monitoring the environmental and/or socio-economic results of the project in accordance with a monitoring protocol
Independent assessment of project performance	Assessing the performance (environmental and/or socio- economic) achieved by a project against pre-set criteria
Providing independent written statement on performance	Providing written assurance that a performance is achieved and/or a set of criteria is met by an activity
Designated national authority	Entity authorized to officially accept, approve or endorse the AIJ project
Other (please specify)	

<sup>(\*</sup> During the years 1993-1997 NUTEK (Swedish National Board for Industrial and Technical Development) has been responsible for the Programme for an Environmentally Adapted Energy System in the Baltic region and Eastern Europe (EAES Programme). Since 1 January 1998 the EAES Programme was managed by the Swedish National Energy Administration, which was renamed to the Swedish Energy Agency on 1 January 2002.)