Annex I

ACTIVITIES IMPLEMENTED JOINTLY

REVISED UNIFORM REPORTING FORMAT (URF 01)

A. Governmental acceptance, approval or endorsement

- Date of this report: 31/03/2006
- This report is a (*please underline*):
 - First report
 - Interim report
 - Final report
- Please indicate here which sections were modified since the last report (e.g. B.2, E.2.4, F.2): Report is newly completed on the Revised Uniform Reporting Format.

B. Summary of AIJ project

B.1 Title of project:

Aluksne (II), District Heating Renovation Project

B.2 Participants:

- The donor country is Sweden, represented by a governmental institution Swedish Energy Agency (STEM).
- The host country local organisation, which owns or operates the facility, where investment is made is Aluksne Town Council
- The host country primary institution responsible for the Framework Convention on Climate Change and all other climate related issues is The Ministry of Environment of the Latvia
- The technical assistance during project implementation and follow-up activities were provided by STEM consultants (ÅF-International).

Projects performance data collection and reporting activities are carried out by SIA "Ekodoma" Please describe briefly the role(s) of the main participating organization(s) and provide detailed contact information in annex 1:

B.3 Activity summary

B.3.1 General description:

Aluksne is a town where NUTEK/STEM has earlier financed a boiler conversion project. There was one more oil-fired boiler plant with 4-pipe systems network with high energy costs. The capacity of converted boiler is sufficient to cover energy needs in both networks.

B.3.2 Type of activity:

District Heating rehabilitation project

B.3.3 Location (e.g. city, region, state):

Aluksne town, Gulbene district, LATVIA

B.3.4 Stage of activity (*Please underline the appropriate option*):

- Pre-feasibility study completed
- Feasibility study completed
- In start-up or construction phase

(e.g. ensuring financing, construction of site, purchase of land, installation of new equipment)

B.3.4 Stage of activity (continued)

• In operation

(e.g. new windmill plant is connected, converted boiler reconnected, etc. and real, measurable and long-term GHG emission reductions or removals by sinks are generated)

• <u>Completed</u>

(AIJ project activity no longer generates GHG reductions or removals by sinks or has been terminated)

Suspended

(Please indicate date when AIJ project activity is expected to resume, and give brief explanation of reasons for suspension (up to half a page)):

B.3.5 Lifetime of AIJ project activity:

Approval date:

(Date at which the AIJ project activity was mutually approved by designated national authorities of **all** Parties involved.)

• Starting date: April 25, 1996 (Loan agreement)

(Date at which real, measurable and long-term GHG reductions or removals by sinks will begin or began to be generated.)

• Ending date (expected): Loan expire date March 31, 2006

(Date at which AIJ project activity is expected to no longer generate GHG reductions or removals by sinks.)

• Ending date (actual): in operation

(Date at which AIJ project no longer generated GHG reductions or removals by sinks or was terminated.)

- Ending of the operational life of the project if different from the ending date of the AIJ project activity: Expected technical lifetime is 15 years which means that the plant is expected to be in operation till 2012.
- Reasons for the choice of lifetime dates (Describe briefly (up to half a page)):

The lifetime criteria have been arranged in different groups depending on type of implemented activities. This classification assumes a level of operation and maintenance, which is normal in western countries.

Heat production plants (bio fuel)

Aluksne 2006

Page 3

25 years	New installation of all main equipment parts (fuel handling system, firing equipment and boiler) and modernisation of secondary equipment.
15 years	Conversion of existing boiler but new installation fuel handling system and firing equipment. Modernisation of secondary equipment.
10 years	Limited installation of new equipment (only one part of the three main parts, normally the firing equipment). Modernisation of other equipment.

Heat distribution systems and sub-stations

25 years	Pre-fabricated pipes and installations using certified contractors and supervisor
	according to EN norms and applicable district heating practise
15 years	Pre-fabricated pipes and installations without using certified contractors and
	supervisor
10 years	Modernisation of existing pipes.

Energy efficiency in buildings

25 years	Additional insulation roofs walls etc. with Scandinavian technology.
	New installed heating systems.
15 years	Renovation and balancing of heating systems including thermostat valves.
10 years	Weather stripping windows, doors etc.

^{*} if a combination of measures is done a reasonable lifetime for the project have to be calculated.

B.4 Determination of the baseline

- B.4.1 Date of completing the baseline determination: 1997 year.
- B.4.2 Carried out by (name): STEM/ÅF-International (Please provide detailed contact information in annex 1)
- B.4.3 Type of baseline methodology applied and described in detail in section E.1 (*Please underline the appropriate option(s)*)
- Project-specific by:
 - I. Simulating a likely situation that would have existed without the project
 - II. Taking an actual reference case project
 - III. Other (*Please specify (insert lines as needed*)):
- Multi-project by using (please specify briefly):
- B.4.4 Describe the scope of the project boundary (*Please summarize briefly the related information provided in section E. 2*):

The new pipeline has been laid to make it possible to close down one oil fired boiler- plant. The existing 4-pipe system has been replaced with a 2-pipe-system. Substations with heat exchangers have been installed

B.4.5 Describe the degree of aggregation of the multi-project baseline (*Please summarize briefly the related information provided in section E. 1*):

Multi-project baselines have recently been used as a synonym to "benchmark baselines". Benchmark baselines are GHG emissions intensities developed for an entire sector in a country or region based upon

historical data and trends. These GHG emissions intensities can be expressed in a unit of production, such as CO₂ per tonne of iron or CO₂ per MWh electricity. Benchmark baselines are also called "top-down" baselines because the data which is used is typically aggregated and extrapolated without taking into account individual facility conditions or assumptions. In this document, DNV uses the term "multi-project baselines" to describe the mean baselines emissions intensity which is calculated from the case study data.

C. General compatibility with and supportiveness of national economic development and socioeconomic and environment priorities and strategies

Describe briefly, to the extent that information is available (up to one page) and refer to documents, decisions and laws, as appropriate:

The Swedish side considers that the project meets the following objectives in the Latvian Energy Law:

- Efficient use of energy resources;
 - Creation and usage of energy efficient technologies, fuel/energy consuming and diagnostic
 equipment, construction and insulation materials; energy flow metering and control devices,
 automated energy consumption control systems;

Latvia became a Party of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UN FCCC) in 1992.

In accordance with Kyoto Protocol to the UN FCCC on 10 December 1997, Latvia individually or jointly should ensure, that its aggregate anthropogenic CO₂ equivalent emissions of CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, HFCs, PFCs and SF₆ in 2008 - 2012 should be 8% below the 1990 level

D. Environmental, economic and social and cultural impacts

D.1 Environmental impact (positive and/or negative)

The environmental impact for the project activity is mainly positive Annual emissions reduction:

- \circ 3 085 ton CO₂
- o 8.8 ton SO₂
- \circ 3.5 ton NO_x
- D.2 Economic impact (positive and/or negative)

Decreased energy prices about 3 USD/MWh

D.3 Social and cultural impact (positive and/or negative)

More stable energy supply.

E. Calculation of real, measurable and long-term environmental benefits related to the mitigation of climatic change, that would not have occurred otherwise

E.1 Assumptions and characteristics of the baseline

E.1.1 Assumptions of the baseline (Describe (up to 1 page)):

The project based status quo baseline had been assumed to be static for the project. The key parameters for the used baseline are:

- Baseline fuel coal;
- Baseline efficiency of the fossil fuel boilers is 73%;
- Total heat production of the boiler plant before boiler conversion 12000 MWh

It has been assumed that these parameters used for baseline will not change during whole period. The numerical data are presented in section E.1.4.

E.1.2 Describe the baseline

(Please describe the baseline as well as leakage effects (up to 1 page)): Present data reflect emission reductions using status quo (emissions in the period before the conversion to renewable fuel) for the baseline case. Calculations, according to the top-down method, for emissions from different types of projects in the baseline case are being made. The changed calculation method will result in a change in emission reduction. The new data will be introduced as soon as available.

E.1.3 Reasons for selecting a baseline and its methodology (*Describe (up to 1 page)*):

Description of the scenario, including methodologies applied:

Emission reductions are calculated using the IPCC Guidelines, using the Carbon Emission Factor (CEF) for different types of fuel, using actual system efficiency. For boiler conversion, the decrease in emissions is calculated in relation to the amount of fossil fuel replaced (status quo). For energy efficiency project the decrease in emissions reflects the amount of fuel that is saved through the project. In the case that the system uses renewable fuels, the reduction is calculated comparing the amount of fossil fuels that was used before the conversion to renewable fuels.

The comparison below is based upon that the base-line scenario represents a status quo solution.

E.1.4 Calculation of values reported in 'Baseline scenario' in table E.5.1 column (A):

Emission reductions are calculated using the IPCC Guidelines, using the Carbon Emission Factor (CEF) for different types of fuel, using actual system efficiency. For boiler conversion, the decrease in emissions is calculated in relation to the amount of fossil fuel replaced (status quo). For energy efficiency project the decrease in emissions reflects the amount of fuel that is saved through the project. In the case that the system uses renewable fuels, the reduction is calculated comparing the amount of fossil fuels that was used before the conversion to renewable fuels.

Calculation of values reported in 'Baseline scenario' in table E.5.1 column (A):

 CO_2 emissions values are calculated according the IPCC guidelines (1966). Carbon Emission Factor (CEF) are used to CO2 emitted during fuel combustion. Calculate CO_2 emission (M_{co2}). Formula for this calculation as follows:

$$M_{CO2}\!\!=Q_{fb^*}\,q_{co2}\,{*}100/\eta_b$$

Were,

Q_{fb}- boiler(s) heat production, MWh/year,

K_c - fraction of carbon oxidised,

q_c - carbon emission factor, tC/TJ,

 η_b - annual efficiency of boiler(s), i.e. baseline efficiency of fossil fuel boilers.

Documentation box (*Please provide numerical data referred to in this section*):

The following data are used for calculation of the baseline scenario CO₂ emission reductions:

Total heat production of the boiler plant before boiler conversion – 2800 MWh/y Baseline efficiency of the fossil fuel boilers – 65% Carbon emission factor for heavy fuel oil – 0.331 CO₂/MWh

E.2 Assumptions and characteristics of the project scenario

E.3.1 Assumptions for the AIJ project activity and its boundary

The project activity is heat production and this includes reduction because Substations with heat exchangers have been installed and pipeline has been instated.

E.3.2 Describe the project scenario

The new pipeline has been laid to make it possible to close down one oil fired boiler- plant. The existing 4-pipe system has been replaced with a 2-pipe-system. Substations with heat exchangers have been installed

(Please describe the project scenario as well as effects occurring outside the project boundary (up to 1 page)):

E.3.3 Please explain why the AIJ project activity would not have taken place anyway (*Describe (up to 1 page)*):

As a party to the Climate Convention, Latvia has started to facilitate the transformation toward an ecologically sustainable energy system as subject to the conditions of the Convention. Several factors have been restrained implementation AIJ projects:

- Lack of investment capital for renewable energy sources and energy efficiency projects, allowing financing at reasonable costs as long-term loans at reasonable interest rates;
- Lack of sufficient institutional responsibility for implementation AIJ projects;
- A weak local tradition using wood waste from industry and from forest as a fuel in the boiler plants and applying an up to date technology for energy saving. The local technology for the wood fuels firing has largely been missing;
- Lack of wood fuels firing know-how.

During the implementation of the EAES Programme in Latvia these barriers have been over-come by transfer reliable wood fuels firing technology and know-how

E.3.4 Calculation of values reported in 'Project scenario' in table E.5.1, column (B) According to the section E.1.4 the following is used to calculate CO₂ emission

$$M_{CO2} = Q_{fb*} q_{co2}/\eta_b$$

Were,

Q_{fb}- boiler(s) heat production, MWh/year,

K_c - fraction of carbon oxidised,

q_c - carbon emission factor, tC/TJ,

 η_b - annual efficiency of boiler(s), i.e. baseline efficiency of fossil fuel boilers.

Documentation box (*Please provide numerical data referred to in this section*):

The following data are used for calculation of the baseline scenario CO₂ emission reductions:

Total heat production of the boiler plant before boiler conversion -28000 MWh/y Baseline efficiency of the fossil fuel boilers -65% Carbon emission factor for heavy fuel oil -0.331 MWh

E.3 Revision of the baseline for the project

- E.3.1 Baseline revisions are planned (please <u>underline</u>): <u>Yes/ No If yes, please complete the remainder of section E.3.</u>
- E.3.2 Revisions are planned at regular intervals (please underline): Yes/No
- If yes, please specify date of first planned revision and the length of the intervals:
- If no, please explain revision schedule (up to half a page):

The new data are planned to introduce in the baseline scenario. The time schedule is not yet specified.

- E.3.3 Information on revisions
- If a baseline (and/or the project scenario) revision is covered by this report, describe briefly the nature of this revision, including parameters changed in the revision as well as the calculation of the new set of values in the column 'Baseline scenario' in a revision of table E.5.1, column (A): (up to one page)
- Date of last baseline revision: (DD/MM/YYYY)
- Date of next baseline revision: (DD/MM/YYYY)

Documentation box (Please provide numerical data referred to in this section):

E.4 Scope and performance of the actual project

Provide actual project data (E.5.2. Column B) and the calculations of the actual real, measurable and long-term emission reductions and/or removals as measured against the relevant (original/revised) baseline scenario values

Year	Year 1 = 1997	Year 2 = 1998	Year 3 =1999	Year 4 =2000	Year 5 =2001	Year 6 =2002	Year 7 =2003	Year 8 =2004	Year 9 =2005	•••	Year 15
Energy saivings (MWh/y)	5760	4330	3860	3180	1031*	983,7	983,7	1046	972		4283*

- Average figure for previous full years of operation
- ** Saved energy for the year 2001 has been calculated. These data needs specific investigation in the nearest future.
- *** For the calculations of CO_2 emissions have been used the data from the Multi-project Verification report of Swedish AIJ projects prepared by Det Norsk Veritas. The boiler efficiency coefficient has been taken 0,65.

E.5 Tables on real, measurable and long-term GHG emission reductions or removals by sinks (in CO2 equivalent)

<u>Projected</u> real, measurable and long-term GHG emission reductions or removals by sinks E.5.1

Projected real, measurable and long-term GHG emission reductions or removals by sinks over the lifetime of the AIJ activity (*Please underline and fill, as appropriate*: This is the initial table or this is revision __ of this table) (in metric tons of CO₂ equivalent^a)

babaan su swor trash

<i>Insert rows as needed</i>	s neeaea											
		Baseline	Baseline scenario ^b	•		Project	Project scenariob	٩	Project	Projected real, measurable and	measura HC amia	ble and
		2	(V)			ٺ	(a		reduc	reductions (-) or removals by	or remov	als by
										sink ((B)	$\frac{\text{sinks (+)}}{((B)-(A))}$	•
Year	CO_2	$\mathrm{CH_4}^\mathrm{a}$	N_2O^a	Other	CO_2	CH_4^a	N_2O^a	Other ^a	CO_2	CH_4	N_2O	Other
1997	3085				0				-3085			
1998	3085				0				-3085			
1999	3085				0				-3085			
2000	3085				0				-3085			
2001	3085				0				-3085			
2002	3085				0				-3085			
2003	3085				0				-3085			
2004	3085				0				-3085			
2005	3085				0				-3085			
:												
2011	3085				0				-3085			
TOTAL												

^b Including effects occurring outside the project boundary (leakage) as described in sections E.1.4, and E.2.4, as applicable ^a Please convert values into global warming potentials, referring to annex 3 for conversion factors.

E.5.2 <u>Actual</u> real, measurable and long-term GHG emission reductions or removals by sinks

Actual real, measurable and long-term GHG emission reductions or removals by sinks of the AIJ activity (in metric tons of CO_2 equivalent^a)

Please insert values assessed ex post i.e. after measurement. Insert rows as needed

Baseline scenario ^{b c} Actual project ^{b c} (B)		Baseline scenari (A)	scenario ^{b e}	, ,		Actual 1	Actual project ^{b c}		Actual long-t reduction	real, n term G ons (-) a sink	Actual real, measurable and long-term GHG emission reductions (-) or removals by sinks (+) ((B)-(A))	ssion vals by	Values indicated are assessed independently (Yes/No)
Year	CO_2	$\mathrm{CH_4}^{\mathrm{a}}$	N_2O^a	Other	CO_2	CH_4^a	N_2O^a	Other ^a	CO_2	CH_4	N_2O	Other	
1997	3 160*				0				-3160*				
1998	2 400				0				-2400				
1999	2 140				0				-2140				
2000	1 770				0				-1770				
2001	427***				0				-427				
2002	407				0				-407				
2003	448				0				-443				
2004	477				0				-477				
2005	443				0				-773				
2011	1297*			1	0				-1297				
TOTAL	11 672				0				-11 672				

Please convert values into global warming potentials, referring to annex 3 for conversion factors.

^b Including effects occurring outside the project boundary (leakage) as described in sections E.1.4, E.2.4, E.3.4 and E.4, as applicable. c Values that differ from those in table E.5.1 should be marked in **bold**.

^{* -} Average figure for previous full years of operation

^{** -} Saved energy for the year 2001 has been calculated. These data needs specific investigation in the nearest future.

^{*** -} For the calculations of CO₂ emissions have been used the data from the Multi-project Verification report of Swedish AIJ projects prepared by Det Norsk Veritas. The boiler efficiency coefficient has been taken 0,65.

E.6 Mutually agreed assessment procedures

If the AIJ activity provides for mutually agreed assessment procedures, please fill subsections E.6.1 or E.6.2, as applicable.

E.6.1 Assessment procedures that use all or one of the following steps:

E.6.1.1 Initial independent assessment of the project activity:

- Has the project design been subject to such an assessment?
 (Please <u>underline</u>): Yes/No
- If yes, what organization(s) is/are involved: (Please indicate the type of organization(s) (consultancy, accredited certification body, government body, university, etc.) and provide their detailed contact information in annex 1 to this report).

E.6.1.2 Monitoring

- Does the project have a monitoring plan? (*Please underline*): Yes/No
- Summarize briefly the key elements of the monitoring plan (i.e. which parameters are being monitored, with what frequency, providing sampling intensities if appropriate, methods and equipment; associated uncertainties, etc.) (not more than 1 page):

STEM has continued its assistance in monitoring and reporting the projects in the host countries. Experts from STEM, as well as the Swedish consultancy company ÅF-International provided guidance in methodology. For the regular follow-up activities a special format has been developed to collect performance data from each plant for each heating season. The monitoring activities have continued by local experts for preparing Swedish AIJ reports.

The following monthly data are collected and monitored:

- Heat production on bio fuels:
- Heat production on fossil fuels;
- o Total heat production of the boiler house;
- o Bio fuels consumption;
- o Fossil fuels consumption.
 - Is the monitoring conducted by project proponents? (*Please underline*): Yes / No
 - If no, which organization(s) is/are involved: (Kindly indicate the type of organization(s) (consultancy, accredited certification body, government body, university, etc.) and provide their detailed contact information in annex 1 to this report).

E.6.1.3 Independent assessment of the project performance

- Is the activity subject to such an assessment? (*Please underline*): Yes / No
- If no, is such an assessment intended? (Please underline): Yes / No
- If yes, what organization(s) is/are involved: (Please indicate the type of organization(s) (consultancy, accredited certification body, government body, university, etc.), and provide their detailed contact information in annex 1 to this report. Indicate the frequency of the assessments, how many assessments have taken place to date, and whether the assessment report(s) is/are publicly available if requested).
- Summarize briefly the key elements of the assessment activities: (Please describe issues such as criteria used; the project design; project implementation; key project

parameters being verified; the frequency of assessment/surveillance; sampling approach applied by the assessing organization) (up to one page):

E.6.1.4 Provision of written statement by an independent entity regarding the performance of the project activity

(Please note that such a statement is not a formal requirement under the AIJ pilot phase (see also the note at the beginning of section E.6). If the project has made provision for such a statement, please indicate the name of the independent body and attach a copy of the written statement(s)).

E.6.2 Other form of mutually agreed assessment procedure (please specify):

E. 7 Cost (to the extent possible)

- E.7.1 The cost information is (*Please underline*):
- Provided below
- Not provided because the data are (*Please underline*):
 - Not yet available
 - Classified as confidential

E.7.2 AIJ project activity costs

Country		Aluksne II, AS Simone JSC	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2005
			0	1	2	3	4	9
	Investmen t	1. Loan/debt to STEM	273100	324400	336500	354039	313476	313476
ĺ		2. Added costs	37000	14300	12100	17539	13807	0
Í		3.Technical assistance	49000	0	0	0	0	0
ĺ	AIJ/JI	4. Follow up	0	2200	2267	2139	1265	0
A. Sweden	costs	5. Reporting costs	851	0	744	301	282	0
		6. Administration	47000	0	0	0	0	0
		7. Difference in interest	4%	12976	13460	14162	12539	12539
		8.Accum. costs for AIJ/JI	96851	112027	128498	145100	159187	171726
		9.Total costs	406951	436427	464998	499139	472663	485202
	Investmen t	1. Investment/amortization	0	0	0	0	54370	0
Latvia	AIJ/JI	2. Reporting costs	0	0	0	0	0	0
		3. Other osts	0	0	0	0	0	0
	costs	4. Accum. costs for AIJ/JI	0	0	0	0	0	0
		5. Total costs	0	0	0	0	54370	54370
1 USD=	10	SEK						

F. Financial additionality

Bearing in mind that the financing of AIJ shall be **additional** to financial obligations of Parties included in Annex II to the Convention within the framework of the financial mechanism, as well as to current official development assistance (ODA) flows (decision 5/CP.1):

Please list sources and the purpose:

SWEDEN - LATVIA 2003 – 03 - 31 Page 13 Aluksne 2006

Category of funding (For each source one line)	Amount (US dollars)
Loan from NUTEK/STEM	400 000 USD
Grant from NUTEK/STEM for technical assistance	65 076 USD

 $^{1 \}text{ USD} = 7.5 \text{ SEK}$

G. Contribution to capacity building, transfer of environmentally sound technologies and know-how to other Parties, particularly developing country Parties, to enable them to implement the provisions of the Convention. In this process, the developed country Parties shall support the development and enhancement of endogenous capacities and technologies of developing country Parties

G.1 Identification of environmentally sound technology and know-how

- Name of manufacturer: Sweden
- Place of manufacture (country):
- Model names and numbers of equipment (where appropriate):
- Any other relevant key specific technology characteristics:
- Where applicable, name and location of provider and nature of training:

G.2 Characteristics of environmentally sound technology

The technology is (underline the option):

- At a research and development stage
- Being tested or demonstrated in similar conditions outside the host country
- At the initial stage of introduction into the world market
- At the initial stage of introduction into the host market
- Commercially available and deployed in the world market
- Commercially available and deployed in the host market
- Not characterized by the above options. *Please describe*:

G.3 Impact of the AIJ project on capacity-building and transfer of environmentally sound technology and know-how (up to two pages):

H. Additional comments

Complete as appropriate:

1) Any practical experience gained:

By installation of a new heating network it was possible to close down an old oil-fired boiler house, 4-pipe system was replaced by 2-pipe system, thereby considerably reducing the heat losses from the pipes.

2) Technical difficulties: No

^{*} From 1 January, 1998, the new Swedish National Energy Administration was established – from 1 January 2002 the name in English has been changed to the Swedish Energy Agency - has taken over the responsibility for the Programme for an Environmentally Adapted Energy System in the Baltic region and Eastern Europe (EAES Programme) from NUTEK (Swedish National Board for Industrial and Technical Development.

3) Effects encountered:

The project realization gave:

- ◆ Reduced primary energy consumption;
- ♦ Improved environment;
- ♦ More stable heat energy supply;
- ♦ Cooperation between Baltic and Nordic countries;
- ◆ Involvement of local companies for project implementation;

Annex 1 to the revised uniform reporting format (URF 01)

PARTICIPANTS' CONTACT INFORMATION

Please provide contact information for <u>each</u> organization. Add rows as required (by copying and pasting)

Name	Address ^a	Voice/Fax/E-mail
Organization(s) b: Swedish En	ergy Agency ^{(*}	I
Function(s) within activity ^c : I	inancing/Project development	
Officer responsible:	The System Analysis Department, Climate Change Division Kungsgatan 43 BOX 310 S-63104 Eskilstuna SWEDEN http://www.stem.se	Tel: +46 16 544 20 81 Fax: +46 16 544 22 64 E-mail: bengt.bostrom@stem.se
Contact person, if different	Head of Section, Climate	Tel: +46 16 544 20 72
from above:	Investment Programme	Fax:+46 16 544 22 54
Gudrun Knutsson		E-mail:
		Gudrun.Knutsson@stem.se

Name	Address ^a	Voice/Fax/E-mail
Organization(s) b: Ministry of	the Environment of the Republi	c of Latvia
Function(s) within activity^c: L	esignated national authority/repo	rter
Officer responsible:	Climate and Renewable Energy Department Peldu str. 25; LV 1494, Riga;	Tel.: 371-7026508 Fax: 371-7820442 Ingrida.apene@vidm.gov.lv
Contact person, if different from above: Apene Ingrida	Senior official	Tel.: 371-7026508 Fax: 371-7820442 Ingrida.apene@vidm.gov.lv

Name	Address ^a	Voice/Fax/E-mail
Organization(s) b: Aluksne Tox	vn Council	
Function(s) within activity^c: P	roject owner/borrower	
Officer responsible:	11 Darza Street;	Tel: +371 43 24 350
-	LV 4300;	Fax: +371 43 21 793
	Aluksne, Latvia	E-mail:
Contact person, if different	AS "Simone"	Voice: +371 43 21 793
from above:		Fax: +371 43 21 793
Zālīts Guntis		E-mail:

^a Address should include: department; street; postal code; city; country and the Internet address of the organization (if available).

^b Organization includes: institutions, ministries, government agency closely following the activity, companies, non-governmental organizations, etc. involved in the activity.

^c Function within activity: please use the following categories:

Function	Description of function
Project development	Designing/developing the AIJ project and/or submitting the AIJ project proposal
Project operator	Implementing and administering the AIJ project activities
Government regulation/oversight	Ensuring compliance of the project with laws and regulations
Technical assistance	Providing scientific or other technical guidance or support for the purposes of project development and/or project administration, implementation, training and education activities
Financing	Serving as a source of funding for the AIJ project
Initial independent assessment of project activity	Assessing whether the project activity meets a given set of criteria
Monitoring	Monitoring the environmental and/or socio-economic results of the project in accordance with a monitoring protocol
Independent assessment of project performance	Assessing the performance (environmental and/or socio- economic) achieved by a project against pre-set criteria
Providing independent written statement on performance	Providing written assurance that a performance is achieved and/or a set of criteria is met by an activity
Designated national authority	Entity authorized to officially accept, approve or endorse the AIJ project
Other (please specify)	

Annex 2 to the revised uniform reporting format (URF 01)

PROJECT TYPE DESCRIPTORS

To describe the type of project activity, please specify the sector(s) <u>and</u> activity(ies). Use a combination from the first column (sector) and one option from the second column (activity):

Sector	Activity
Energy	Fuel-switching, renewable energy generation, alternative energy generation, improving energy efficiency, reduction of fugitive emissions from fuels, other (please specify)
Industrial processes	Material substitution, process or equipment change, waste treatment, recovery or recycling, other (please specify)
(Excluding GHG emissions	
from energy production)	
Solvent and other product use	Material substitution, process or equipment change, waste treatment, recovery or recycling, other (please specify)

Agriculture	Livestock productivity management, livestock manure	
	management, crop management, crop-switching, fertilizer	
	management, fertilizer substitution, other (please specify)	
Land-use change and forestry	Afforestation, reforestation, forest preservation, agroforestry,	
	silviculture (forest management), fire management, sustainable	
	harvesting, reduced impact logging, manufacture of durable	
	wood products, other (please specify) ^a	
Transport		
Waste	Solid-waste management, landfill methane recovery, waste-	
	water management, other (please specify)	
Other	Please make a proposal for the sector and activities	

Note: One AIJ project activity may cover several project types.

^a Parties may wish to further revise these activity categories in the light of results of methodological work on land use, land-use change and forestry.

Annex 3 to the revised uniform reporting format (URF 01)

1995 IPCC GLOBAL WARMING POTENTIAL (GWP) VALUES^a BASED ON THE EFFECTS OF GREENHOUSE GASES OVER A 100-YEAR TIME HORIZON

Greenhouse gas	Chemical formula	1995 IPCC GWP
Carbon dioxide	CO ₂	1
Methane	CH ₄	21
Nitrous oxide	N ₂ O	310
Hydrofluorocarbons (HFC	Cs)	
HFC-23	CHF ₃	11700
HFC-32	CH ₂ F ₂	650
HFC-41	CH ₃ F	150
HFC-43-10mee	$C_5H_2F_{10}$	1300
HFC-125	C ₂ HF ₅	2800
HFC-134	C ₂ H ₂ F ₄ (CHF ₂ CHF ₂)	1000
HFC-134a	C ₂ H ₂ F ₄ (CH ₂ FCF ₃)	1300
HFC-143	$C_2H_3F_3$ (CHF ₂ CH ₂ F)	300
HFC-143a	C ₂ H ₃ F ₃ (CF ₃ CH ₃)	3800
HFC-152a	$C_2H_4F_2$ (CH_3CHF_2)	140
HFC-227ea	C ₃ HF ₇	2900
HFC-236fa	$C_3H_2F_6$	6300
HFC-245ca	$C_3H_3F_5$	560
Perfluorocarbons		1
Perfluoromethane	CF ₄	6500
Perfluoroethane	C_2F_6	9200
Perfluoropropane	C ₃ F ₈	7000
Perfluorobutane	C_4F_{10}	7000
Perfluorocyclobutane	c-C ₄ F ₈	8700
Perfluoropentane	C ₅ F ₁₂	7500
Perfluorohexane	$C_{6}F_{14}$	7400
Sulphur hexafluoride	SF ₆	23900

^a As provided by the IPCC in its Second Assessment Report. Please refer to conclusions of the SBSTA at its fourth session (FCCC/SBSTA/1996/20) and decision 2/CP.3 (FCCC/CP/1997/7/Add.1).

Annex 4 to the revised uniform reporting format (URF 01)

For the text of the decision adopting the revised URF and requesting Parties to use this format see the report of the eighth sessions of the Conference of the Parties.

Decision 5/CP.1

Activities implemented jointly under the pilot phase

The Conference of the Parties,

Recalling that, in accordance with Article 4.2(d) of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, the Conference is required to take decisions regarding criteria for joint implementation as indicated in Article 4.2(a),

Noting that the largest share of historical and current global emissions of greenhouse gases has originated in developed countries, that per capita emissions in developing countries are still relatively low and that the share of global emissions originating in developing countries will grow to meet their social and development needs,

Acknowledging that the global nature of climate change calls for the widest possible cooperation by all countries and their participation in an effective and appropriate international response, in accordance with their common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities and their social and economic conditions,

Recognizing that,

- (a) According to the provisions of the Convention, the commitments under Article 4.2(a) to adopt national policies and to take corresponding measures on the mitigation of climate change apply only to Parties included in Annex I to the Convention (Annex I Parties), and that Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention (non-Annex I Parties) have no such commitments,
- (b) Activities implemented jointly between Annex I Parties and non-Annex I Parties will not be seen as fulfilment of current commitments of Annex I Parties under Article 4.2(b) of the Convention; but they could contribute to the achievement of the objective of the Convention and to the fulfilment of commitments of Annex II Parties under Article 4.5 of the Convention,
- (c) Activities implemented jointly under the Convention are supplemental, and should only be treated as a subsidiary means of achieving the objective of the Convention,
- (d) Activities implemented jointly in no way modify the commitments of each Party under the Convention,

1. *Decides*:

- (a) To establish a pilot phase for activities implemented jointly among Annex I Parties and, on a voluntary basis, with non-Annex I Parties that so request;
- (b) That activities implemented jointly should be compatible with and supportive of national environment and development priorities and strategies, contribute to cost-effectiveness in achieving global benefits and could be conducted in a comprehensive manner covering all relevant sources, sinks and reservoirs of greenhouse gases;
- (c) That all activities implemented jointly under this pilot phase require prior acceptance, approval or endorsement by the Governments of the Parties participating in these activities;
- (d) That activities implemented jointly should bring about real, measurable and long-term environmental benefits related to the mitigation of climate change that would not have occurred in the absence of such activities;
- (e) That the financing of activities implemented jointly shall be additional to the financial obligations of Parties included in Annex II to the Convention within the framework of the financial mechanism as well as to current official development assistance (ODA) flows;
- (f) That no credits shall accrue to any Party as a result of greenhouse gas emissions reduced or sequestered during the pilot phase from activities implemented jointly;
 - 2. Further decides that during the pilot phase:
- (a) The Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice will, in coordination with the Subsidiary Body for Implementation, establish a framework for reporting, in a transparent, well-defined and credible fashion, on the possible global benefits and the national economic, social and environmental impacts as well as any practical experience gained or technical difficulties encountered in activities implemented jointly under the pilot phase;
- (b) The Parties involved are encouraged to report to the Conference of the Parties through the secretariat using the framework thus established. This reporting shall be distinct from the national communications of Parties;
- (c) The Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice and the Subsidiary Body for Implementation, with the assistance of the secretariat are requested to prepare a synthesis report for consideration by the Conference of the Parties,

3. Further decides:

- (a) That the Conference of the Parties shall, at its annual session, review the progress of the pilot phase on the basis of the synthesis report with a view to taking appropriate decisions on the continuation of the pilot phase;
- (b) In so doing, the Conference of the Parties shall take into consideration the need for a comprehensive review of the pilot phase in order to take a conclusive decision on the pilot phase and the progression beyond that, no later than the end of the present decade.

10th plenary meeting

7 April 1995

. - - - -