NAMA approvers' webinar

October 2013

Jules Williams, Associate Programme Officer

UNFCCC secretariat, Mitigation, Data and Analysis

- Registry intro for new users

- Update on NAMA registry content and development

- Secretariat's feedback on registry entries recorded so far

- Questions and discussion

- The NAMA registry: A platform to help countries prepare and implement individual NAMAs:

  a) Record information on NAMAs and support available

  b) Facilitating matching of NAMAs with support

  c) Recognize other NAMAs

- Important points:

  a) Participation is voluntary.

  b) Not a requirement to receive or provide support.

  c) Not an obligation to provide support or a guarantee that support will be provided.

  d) Not a system for formally reporting on proposed actions or their results.

  e) Those submitting information are responsible for accuracy

- All non-Annex 1 Parties are eligible to receive one set of NAMA approver access rights to the registry

- NAMA Approvers have full control NAMAs for their Party

- Access rights can also be devolved domestically to "NAMA developers" (e.g. Government Ministries).

- NAMA developers can create entries subject to the approval of the NAMA approver

- Core tasks of NAMA approvers

    a) Creating and editing NAMA entries in the registry

    b) Reviewing NAMAs submitted by NAMA developers for their country and deciding whether they will be allowed to be published in the registry

    c) Recording that support has been provided to NAMAs

    d) Confirming that providers of support have correctly identified NAMAs that have been supported

- Key responsibility = Ensuring accuracy and adequacy of registry content for your Party

- The prototype phase of the registry is now over.

- The final web based version has been released and is publicly available.

- The secretariat has incorporated feedback from parties during the prototype phase

- There will be further opportunities to improve the registry

- NAMA registry statistics as at 1 September 2013:

  a) 40 NAMA Entries by 10 non-Annex I parties

  b) 4 for recognition, 24 seeking support for imp, 12 seeking support for prep

  c) 4 entries on support

  d) 69 registered NAMA approvers

Registry participation : NAMA approver access rights by region

Registry participation (Registry entries)

Values shown in chart bars (NAI Parties without NAMA entries / with NAMA entries):
- Africa: 51 / 2
- Asia: 51 / 3
- Eastern Europe: 8 / 1
- Latin America and the Caribbean: 29 / 4
- Total NAI Parties: 142 / 10
- SIDS: 36 / 3
- LDCs: 46 / 2

Legend:
- NAI Parties without NAMA entries in the registry
- NAI Parties with NAMA entries in the registry

- Further detail on registry content will be presented at COP 19

a) Report on the registry to be presented under item 11(f) of the provisional agenda

b) Side event on 14 November

Completeness of entries

- It is critical to give enough specificity for providers of support to understand:

  a) The activities the NAMA involves

  b) Quantity and nature of support sought

  c) How support sought will be used

- However, it is possible to overinvest in information. There needs to be room for discussion/negotiation with support parties.

Financial support sought

a) Different instruments serve different purposes:
   • Grants are typically smaller and for NAMA preparation/pilot scale implementation.
   • The large majority of climate finance is in the form of loan and equity arrangements.

NAMA preparation

b) Some types of funding are not suitable for the preparation phase (e.g. equity funding).
c) The cost of preparing NAMAs is not expected to be in the order of millions of dollars.

Emission reductions

a) Be careful of units. Emission reductions in the registry are either in *million tonnes* or *million tonnes per year*.

b) Timeframes are useful context for absolute reduction amounts

Duplicate entries

a) If submitting an entry for seeking support for *implementation*, do not submit an entry on *preparation*

Costs

a) Incremental costs are the extra costs against business as usual. They cannot exceed total costs.

b) Understanding costs is important to providers of support. Be sure to include enough supporting information to explain how costs have been derived.

"Bankability"

a) Providers of support cite "bankability" of NAMAs as an important factor. The meaning of this will depend on the provider of support.

Keeping registry entries up to date

a)   Some providers of support have had difficulty contacting NAMA owners

b)   The point of contact for a NAMA entry should have capability to engage with potential providers of support

- Financial vs. technological vs. capacity building support

a) These categories of support are separate.
b) For example if 1,000,000 USD is sought for capacity building then this should be entered under the capacity building section only.

E Cost		
F Support required for the implementation the mitigation action		
F.1.1	Amount of Financial support	127500000

F.2.1	Amount of Technological support	127500000

F.3.1	Amount of capacity building support	$ (Dollars)
		Conversion to USD: 0

- Questions?

- Discussion

  a) Which aspects of the registry that need further explanation?

  b) What specific pieces of technical support or information would registry users would like?

  c) What specific capacity building activities do registry users need?