

The NAMA registry

Roles and access rights

Mexico City, Mexico, 13 December 2013




Jules Williams, Associate Programme Officer

UNFCCC secretariat - Mitigation, Data and Analysis Programme


- Types of access rights
- Obtaining and managing access rights
- NAMA developers vs. NAMA approvers
- Considerations when deciding on registry roles
- Questions for discussion.



Context



Context



Types of access rights

NAMA Approver

- Create/edit NAMA entries
- Approve entries from developers
- Confirm receipt of support

NAMA Developer

- Create/edit NAMA entries
- Confirm receipt of support

Support editor

- Create entries on support available
- Confirm provision of support


Public

- Browse & search all content





Obtaining and managing access rights

- NAMA Approver access rights are obtained from the secretariat
- Granted on request of the National Focal Point
- Note: NFP does not need to be involved in operating the registry
- If changing to a new person or entity, request must come from NFP



- NAMA developers can create and edit entries but everything is subject to the approval of the NAMA approver.
- The registry provides the ability for approvers to review and send entries back to NAMA developers with comments.





- Key responsibility of NAMA approvers is for quality of registry entries for your Party.
- Quality issues NAMA approvers should look for:
 - a) Completeness of entries: Important to explain activities involved and the quantity, nature and basis of support sought
 - b) Appropriateness of financial support selected in registry. Does it fit with NAMA stage, scale, donor norms?
 - c) Emission reductions. Registry uses millions of tonnes.



-
- d) Duplicate entries. Registry should contain either an entry on preparation or implementation but not both
 - e) Duplication of support requirements. Categories of financial, technological and capacity building are separate.
 - f) Incremental costs. Are the extra costs against business as usual. They cannot exceed total costs.
 - g) Maintaining entries. Entries will need to be updated to reflect changes in contact people, clarifications and reflect support provided.




- Key responsibility of NAMA approvers is for quality of registry entries for your Party
- This does not mean that all entries must be created by the NAMA approver!
- In the longer term, working with the registry is likely to involve modifying/updating NAMA entries rather than creating them.



- Who is in best position to update/correct entries in response to queries
- The registry is a communication tool.
- The process of “approving” a NAMA entry in the registry is not the same as the process of developing and deciding pursue a NAMA
- Fit with your overall national approach to NAMA development



Considerations when assigning registry roles



Questions

- a) How would you describe the responsibilities of a NAMA Approver?
- b) Who could perform this role within the country?
- c) What skills and tools are required?
- d) How could the “NAMA developer” feature of the registry be utilized to facilitate submission of NAMAs?
- e) What are the risks deriving from the operation of the registry (for the NAMA approver, other actors, and the country)?
- f) What more do Parties need from the secretariat to enable you to successfully use the registry?



To gain access to create entries and for queries:

NAMA-registry@unfccc.int

To view the registry visit:

http://unfccc.int/cooperation_support/nama/items/7476.php

