

## Submission from Pakistan

### **Item No. 7:**

#### **Implementation of all the elements of decision 1/CP.17, (a) Matters related to paragraphs 2 to 6 (ADP)**

#### **Response:**

Pakistan recognizes the importance of Ad hoc Working Group on the Durban Platform for Enhanced Action (AWG-DP) for launching a process to develop a new Protocol, with legal binding instrument applicable to all parties under the Convention by 2020. Pakistan is of the view that future work of the AWG-DP should be guided by the cardinal principles of the Convention i.e. common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities (CBDR); equity and transparency.

From Pakistan's perspective, the new instrument should enable meeting the 2 degree Celsius target and promote a rule based multilateral. To this end, we believe that:

The principles and provisions of the Convention remain paramount. We look forward their application. In our view the term "applicable to all parties" cannot and should not override the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities and the notion of equity. We would in our future deliberations improve upon the differentiated structure and the understanding of the national circumstances.

There is a need to draw lessons from the existing regime (Kyoto Protocol). To this end, we believe that differentiated incentives would be required for both developed and developing countries in order to overcome the ambition gap; lessons from the market based mechanism; and finally whether or not compliance mechanism worked and what needs to be done to improve the compliance.

On Work Stream I dealing with paragraphs 2 – 6, Pakistan firmly believes that the term applicable to all parties in paragraph 2 does not override the fundamental principle of Common but Differentiated Responsibilities and Respective Capabilities. Consequently, Pakistan believes that at the very commencement of our work, we initiate discussion on:

a. How the term applicable to all parties could be translated into the new agreement. One of the items for discussion should be relationship between the principles of the Convention contained in Article 3 and the proposed instrument or outcome referred to under paragraph 2 of decision 1/CP.17. The central issues and principles warranting discussion include:

- i) Common but Differentiated Responsibility and Respective Capability
- ii) Equity
- iii) Historical responsibilities
- iv) Economic and scientific measure of "particularly vulnerable" to the adverse impacts of climate change
- v) Measure which would not constitute a means of arbitrary or unjustifiable discrimination or a disguised restriction on international trade.

b. Parties should initially be asked to outline their visions for the new instrument/agreed outcome, which should include discussion on drawing lessons from the implementation of Kyoto Protocol.