

Assessing investment and financial needs for adaptation in the Agriculture and Biodiversity sectors: experiences in Latin America

UNFCCC technical workshop on costs and benefits of adaptation options Madrid, Spain 22-24 June 2010

Background

- □ UNDP global project "Capacity Development for Policy Makers to Address Climate Change" was launched in May 2008.
- ☐ Instituto Torcuato Di Tella (ITDT) is one of the 5 Regional Centers that provide methodological trainings and technical backstopping to countries participating in the project.

_	
	The UNDP Project
	☐ Assessment approach ☐ On-going efforts: Agriculture and Biodiversity sectors
	☐ Lessons learned

THE UNDP PROJECT

UNDP Project
□ National I&FF assessment
19 development countries participating:
Latin America & the Caribbean (10): Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Honduras, Nicaragua, Paraguay, Peru, St. Lucia and Uruguay.
Africa (6): Algeria, Gambia, Liberia, Namibia, Niger and Togo.
□ Asia (3): Bangladesh, Nepal and Turkmenistan.
 Regional Centers of Excellence
☐ Africa:
Pan-African START Secretariat (PASS) (Tanzania)
ENDA Tiers Monde (Senegal)
☐ Asia:
 Oxford Consulting Partners (OCP) (UK)
The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI)
□ Latin America and the Caribbean:
 Instituto Torcuato Di Tella (ITDT) (Argentina)

ASSESSMENT APPROACH

Assessment approach

- Methodology guidance including a methodology guidebook developed:
 - Countries select up to 3 key sectors and consider adaptation and/or mitigation options for each one.
 - They estimate the additional IF (physical assets) and FF (programmatic measures) that are needed to implement these measures.
 - I&FF are estimated for a baseline scenario (continuation of current policies and plans) and an adaptation/mitigation scenario (additional measures to address climate change).
 - The difference between these flows represents the sectorspecific adaptation/mitigation I&FF needs.
- Benefits are assessed only qualitatively (it is neither a CBA nor a CEA).

UNDP IEFF Methodology: 9 steps

- 1. Establish key parameters of the assesment:
 - Sector scope (subsectors)
 - Identify key adaptation/mitigation measures
- 2. Compile historical I&FF and other data to project scenarios
- 3. Define Baseline Scenario
- 4. Derive I&FF for Baseline Scenario
- 5. Define Adaptation/Mitigation Scenario
- 6. Derive I&FF for Adaptation/Mitigation Scenario
- 7. Subtract flows to estimate changes in I&FF needed to implement adaptation/mitigation options
- 8. Evaluate policy implications
- 9. Synthesize results in a report

ON-GOING EFFORTS: AGRICULTURE AND BIODIVERSITY SECTORS

Agriculture Sector

- Selected by 5 countries: Colombia, Ecuador (Food Security), Paraguay, Perú and Uruguay
- □ Sector scope (preliminary definitions):
 - Main crops
 - Main agricultural areas
 - Family/entrepreneurial farming
 - Animal production
- ☐ Type of preliminary adaptation measures considered:
 - · Changes in crop varieties and management
 - Agroforestry systems
 - Pest, disease and fire management
 - Early warning systems
 - Research and development (of new crops, etc.)
 - Institutional programmes (education, assistance)
 - Land-use planning

Biodiversity Sector Selected by 1 country: Costa Rica Sector scope (preliminary definitions): Land ecosystems Sea-coastal ecosystems Continental water ecosystems Knowledge generation Forest fires ☐ Type of preliminary adaptation measures considered: Strengthening of the protected areas system (land and water) Increase control of land-use change and illegal tree felling Conservation and restoration of ecosystems Species protection Monitoring of climate change effects and biodiversity Improve fire protection systems Education programmes

Rationale for choosing adaptation measures Vulnerability assessments: countries selected sectors according to their socio-economic importance and potencial vulnerability to climate change impacts. National development priorities: Climate change is considered in the context of national and sectoral planning (impact assessments, NAPAs, National Communications). Ad-hoc evaluation: some countries are planning CBAs aimed at prioritizing measures according to their benefits. Measure-selection process: Preliminary list prepared according to available national information. Measures discussed with stakeholders in Inter-Ministerial Dialogues. Government takes final decision.

I&FF assessment
☐ 1 country is at the final stage of the I&FF assessment (Costa Rica).
In the other participating countries the analysis is either under way or in an initial phase.
☐ Other assessments are expected to be finished by December 2010.

LESSONS LEARNED

Good practices and achievements

□ Good practices identified

Cooperation among ministries: discussion of priorities and information provision

■ Main achievements

- Climate change planning is being introduced in the development agenda of Latin American countries.
- Institutional arrangements or new institutions to address climate change are being developed (eg., inter-ministerial committees).
- National technical capacity to assess costs of adaptation options is being strengthened.

Difficulties

□ Difficulties encountered

- Information gaps: missing or incomplete data
- Uncertainty: how to deal with uncertainty and incorporate multiple scenarios (difficulties in projecting the range of needed adaptation options).
- How to prioritize policies and measures.

Web-based knowledge platform: http://www.undpcc.org/