

NAP-GSP

NATIONAL ADAPTATION PLAN GLOBAL SUPPORT PROGRAMME

Support for non-LDCs Project Development Status



UNDP/UNEP NAP GLOBAL SUPPORT PROGRAMME:
SUPPORTING non-LDCs TO ADVANCE NATIONAL ADAPTATION PLANS



*Empowered lives.
Resilient nations.*

The project

- **Title:** *“Assisting non-LDCs with country-driven processes to advance National Adaptation Plans”*
- **Objective:** “to strengthen institutional and technical capacities for iterative development of comprehensive NAPs in non-LDCs
- **Budget:** 4.5 M USD from GEF/ SCCF
- **Duration:** 3 years
- **Partners:** UNDP, UNEP, GEF, IFAD, UNITAR, FAO, WHO, GWP.
- **Status:** PPG under implementation.
 - PIF approval from GEF Council in March 2014
- **Start-up:** January 2015

Project Rationale

- This SCCF project is a response to this call for a dedicated process to formulate NAPs.
- Rooted in the UNFCCC process driven by the non –LDC developing country Parties, thus the project reflects their needs and priorities:
 - **COP-16** (Cancun): process started to enable LDCs to formulate and implement National Adaptation Plans (NAPs).
 - An invitation was made at Warsaw at **COP-19** to the United Nations agencies to consider support mechanisms to assist non-LDCs to advance their NAP processes
 - Developing countries have expressed this need for additional support from a GSP in the same manner that LDCs are currently doing through the LDCF-funded NAP GSP.

Consultations thus far...

African States	Colombia	Ghana	Panama
Alliance of Small Island States (AOSIS)	Cook Islands	Grenada	Philippines
Armenia	Costa Rica	Honduras	Samoa
Bangladesh	Cuba	Indonesia	Serbia
Belize	Dominican Republic	Jamaica	Senegal
Bhutan	Eastern European States	Kenya	South Africa
Bolivia	Ecuador	Macedonia	Sri Lanka
Chile	El Salvador	Malaysia	Thailand
Mongolia	Federated States of Micronesia	Maldives	Trinidad & Tobago
Nicaragua	G-77 and China	Marshall Islands	Uganda
Nigeria	Georgia	Mexico	Viet Nam
Pakistan		Palau	

*LDCs were also consulted as a means of learning from related experience

The below word cloud is a visual representation of the common themes from stakeholder consultations...



Lessons learned

from implementation of NAP GSP for LDCs

- **Partnership** is key to the success of the NAP GSP
- Most LDCs agree that NAP is both a **process** and a **document**
- The **connection between the NAPA and NAP process** is an important concern for countries. In particular for those who have challenges with NAPA implementation
 - (in non-LDCs, the relevant connections can be made to National Communications process)
- Country experience with NAPA provides an opportunity, not starting from scratch
 - (in non-LDCs, National Communications provide this opportunity)
- **Climate finance** for NAP is a **priority** for most countries including finance for implementation
- **Institutional coordination** is a challenge for all countries. Exchange of experiences can promote learning
- **Broadening the NAP process beyond environment ministries** to integrate with planning and budgeting processes and national development strategies is a long term process

LDCs call for simplified supplements to the LEG NAP technical guidelines rather than new tools

Emerging Issues

based on NAP GSP for LDCs experience

- Countries eager to move from planning to implementation
- Countries requesting additional technical support both in-country and regional level trainings to advance NAPs
- Demand for technical support to advance the NAP process from LDCs is growing. The NAP-GSP has received requests from 26 countries to date
- More targeted and sustained one-one-one support is required based on specific institutional and national context in LDCs
- Regional thematic workshops provide an avenue for technical training as well as South-South exchange

Links to Draft Plan of Action of NAP Task Force

- Activity 1: inputs on technical support provided and related updates.
- Activity 4: inputs and support to identify strategic efforts for addressing gaps and needs by participating in the meeting.
- Activity 5: provide inputs into the document based on lessons learnt while providing technical support to the LDCs.
- Activity 8: in this activity, the work-plan notes that a “GSP for non-LDCs is being considered under the GEF, similar to the one for LDCs, this could be the platform for such training?” The NAP GSP team concurs that this can be a platform for the regional training in future, if the GSP is endorsed by the GEF council.
- Activity 9: can participate and provide inputs through virtual/desk work on development of qualitative metrics for NAPs.
- Activity 13: can identify and provide information on complementary initiatives in developing countries, helping reduce parallel processes and duplication.

Current Logframe

Component 1: Institutional support to develop national-level roadmaps (Overseen by UNDP)

Outcome 1: Non-LDC developing countries are capacitated to advance medium to long-term adaptation planning processes in the context of their national development strategies and budgets.

Output 1.1. Information and processes that are of relevance to the NAP process in the country are taken stock and key gaps to integrate climate change into medium to long-term planning processes are identified

Output 1.2. Technical assistance provided to countries to strengthen/establish appropriate institutional, coordination and financial arrangements to support NAP process

Output 1.3. National support provided towards developing country-specific NAP guidance documents and advancing the NAP process, including elements for monitoring the progress of their implementation.

Component 2: Training on relevant tools and methods to support effective climate planning (Overseen UNEP)

Outcome 2: Technical capacity to support key steps of the National Adaptation Plan process is developed and relevant tools and methods are accessible to all non-LDC developing countries.

Output 2.1. Tools, methods and guidelines to advance the NAP process are developed and/or adapted for non-LDCs in partnership with other agencies and organizations.

Output 2.2. National technicians trained through sub-regional or thematic workshops in the use of tools and methods to advance the NAP process including budgeting for medium- to long-term adaptation.

Output 2.3. Web-based training materials developed on the application of tools, methods and guidelines as non-LDCs commence their respective NAP processes.

Component 3: Knowledge Dissemination to Enhance International and Regional Cooperation (Overseen by UNEP and UNDP)

Outcome 3: Exchange of lessons and knowledge through South-South and North-South cooperation to enhance international and regional cooperation to formulate and advance NAP process.

Output 3.1. Systems established/further developed for information and knowledge on advancing NAP processes to mainstream adaptation into medium-to long term development planning (Overseen by UNEP).

Output 3.2. South-South and North-South transfer of technical and process-oriented information on experiences and lessons relevant to medium to long-term national, sectoral and local plans and planning and budgeting processes are captured, synthesized and made available to all non-LDC developing countries (Overseen by UNDP).

Results of Consultations and Lessons Learned have been taken into account at the activity level. These include:

- **Focus on finance and economics in the technical training activities (Outcome 1)**
 - Conducting project and sectoral level cost-benefit analysis to identify economically-efficient and sustainable adaptation options
 - Innovative financial schemes (e.g. issuance of green bonds for adaptation for municipalities)
 - Designing/developing bankable projects
- **Building upon existing regional and sub-regional knowledge and information platforms (Outcome 2&3)**
 - e.g. REGATTA, WARN CC, AAKNet, APAN, Clima South, Clima East
- **Focus on targeted training (outcome 1 & 2)**
 - Sectorial / ecosystem focus
- **Facilitating private sector engagement (Outcome 3)**
 - Pilot platform for public-private partnership, share lessons learned

Program institutional arrangements

UNFCCC process

CoP, AC

Project Board:

UNEP, UNDP, AC, GEFsec, UNFCCC sec, CGE, Reps
from regional grouping, NAP partners (WHO, UNITAR,
IFAD, FAO...)

Technical Support Unit:

Lead Technical Specialist, Technical,
Specialist, Communication specialist
Project assistant .

Timeline for Remaining Project Development Activities

September

Further Consultations

October

Draft Project Document
Reviewed and Finalized

November

Submission for SCCF
Approval