

List of adaptation experts – Outcomes from the breakout group

The sub-group had discussion with participation of Juan, Naser, and a variety of observers facilitated by Zemouri and Naoya.

1. The sub-group share views on the scope of its work that:
 - a) The draft criteria is potentially very useful for the AC;
 - b) In order to finalize the criteria, it is essential to have a clear image on the actual purpose of the list;
 - c) It would be better for us to take a moment before finalizing the criteria;
 - d) Some of the existing list of experts are quite relevant and they should be analyzed on how to improve the format of our list;
2. The sub-group shared views on the nature of the experts to be listed:
 - a) The experts would help the AC conducts its works through providing technical knowledge to the AC but not being entitled any authority to decide something for the sake of the AC;
 - b) The lists would also be used by the AC to provide information on experts upon requests by Parties;
 - c) The experts to be listed should firstly be collected from the UNFCCC inner circle who are conducting relevant actives including national communication on the adaptation, NAPAs, then secondly from the outer circle of the UNFCCC.
3. At the same time, the sub-group also discussed some ways to improve the draft criteria that include:
 - a) The lists should have categories of experts, for examples sectors to be engaged, capacity including engineer, scientist, financial, administration, practitioner, etc.;
 - b) The requests of the diversity of the regions and languages should be used to include a wide range of experts but not to exclude any experts.
4. The sub-group recommends that the plenary should take the next step to finalize the criteria when the AC has defined its purpose of the list.