Agenda item 5 (b) vii

Follow up key messages and relevant recommendations of the updated evaluation report of the Poznan strategic programme TEC/2019/19/7

Technology Executive Committee, 19th meeting Bonn, Germany, 16–19 September 2019



Mr. Suil Kang Member TEC taskforce on climate technology financing

Objective of the presentation

Present proposal by TEC taskforce on how TEC could address the key messages and relevant recommendations contained in the updated evaluation report of the Poznan strategic programme

TEC taskforce members

Mr. Suil Kang, Mr. Naoki Mori, Mr. Michael Rantil, Mr. Erwin Rose, Mr. Javier Torres (BINGO), Mr. Colin McQuistan (ENGO)



Background

- SBI 43 invited the TEC to update the evaluation report of the Poznan strategic programme on technology transfer (PSP), with the aim of enhancing the effectiveness of the Technology Mechanism.
- In doing so, the SBI invited the TEC to draw upon the experiences gained and lessons learned from: (i) the PSP climate technology transfer and finance centres and (ii) pilot projects of the fourth replenishment of the Global Environment Facility.
- In response to this request, the TEC submitted the updated evaluation report of the PSP to SBI 50 (June 2019), for its consideration.



Background

- Following Parties consideration at SBI 50:
 - SBI 50 welcomed the information on the experience and lessons learned identified in the updated PSP evaluation report and encouraged relevant stakeholders to consider best practices and lessons learned, including challenges, regarding each regional centre.
 - SBI 50 invited the CTCN and the TEC to include in their joint annual report for 2019 information on how they will address the key messages and relevant recommendations contained in the updated evaluation report of the PSP.
 - SBI 50 also agreed to continue to consider the recommendations contained in the updated evaluation report at SBI 51 (Dec. 2019).



Approach

- TEC recommendations contained in the updated PSP evaluation report were developed with a view to enhance the effectiveness of the Technology Mechanism
- As such, the recommendations were directed to various stakeholders engaged in the PSP, including the GEF, the regional climate technology and finance centres supported under the PSP, the CTCN and the TEC.
- In preparing its proposal on how to address the key messages and relevant recommendations contained in the updated PSP evaluation report, the TEC task force focused on the recommendations explicitly addressed to the TEC.
- These recommendations and the potential activities arising from them were than compared with activities already planned by the TEC as part of its draft workplan for 2019–22 with a view to identify potential overlaps.



Analysis of the key messages and relevant recommendations contained in the updated PSP evaluation report

- Based upon the updated PSP evaluation, the TEC provided 7 recommendations. Majority of these recommendations were addressed to the CTCN, the GEF and the regional climate technology and finance centres supported under the PSP.
- One recommendation was explicitly addressed to TEC (recommendation g)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5/
Recommendation	Targeting
(a) Encourages the GEF, the CTCN and the regional centres to consider the experience and the lessons learned detailed in this report;	GEF, CTCN, regional centres
(b) Encourages further learning and sharing of experience between the centres and the CTCN and with Parties and NDEs;	CTCN, regional centres, Parties and NDEs
(c) Encourages the GEF to consider options for continuing the role of regional centres and the CTCN in scaling up the level of investment in climate technologies;	GEF
(d) Encourages the GEF to explore how it can continue to support the CTCN in providing enhanced TA;	GEF
(e) Encourages the GEF, in consultation with the CTCN and regional centres, to consider options for enhancing its cooperation with the CTCN on the activities undertaken by regional centres;	GEF, CTCN, regional centres
(f) Recommends that a dialogue be organized between the GEF, regional centres and the CTCN to identify lessons learned and options for continuing the work of the centres;	GEF, CTCN, regional centres
(g) Notes the need to enhance understanding of and further analyse some elements highlighted in the key messages, which it could take into consideration when developing its future workplans.	TEC

Analysis of the key messages and relevant recommendations contained in the updated PSP evaluation report

- Based upon the updated PSP evaluation, the TEC provided 14 key messages.
- Some of these key messages highlighted the need for additional analytical work to enhance understanding on specific elements, such as project origination modalities, technical assistance instruments, project design and implementation and intermediate metrics (key messages (e), (k), (l) and (m)).



Key message	Element	Possible link with activities in the draft TEC workplan	Proposal
(e) Better understanding of the implications and limitations of different project origination modalities and their effect on accelerating the adoption of new climate technologies and scaling up investment, and on addressing regional and national priorities and country-drivenness, is needed;	Project origination modalities	Analyse data related to GCF/GEF projects/programmes portfolio with climate technology components (thematic area on support - activity 5)	Integrate this element in this activity of the draft TEC workplan
(k) Experience from the pilot projects and regional centres shows that TA instruments, including pre-feasibility studies, technology assessments and road maps, are essential as early-stage support for scaling up investment. Some analytical tools were also used to support decision- making on technologies. An analysis is needed of the different instruments and how and at what stage they can be utilized to support countries and projects;	Technical Assistance instruments	Prepare concept note on innovative financing and investment options at different stages of the technology cycle (including private sector) (thematic area on support – activity 6)	Integrate this element in this activity of the draft TEC workplan
(I) Experience also highlights the need to better understand which technology transfer models and mechanisms and good practices should inform project design and implementation;	Project design and implementation	Analyse data related to GCF/GEF projects/programmes portfolio with climate technology components (thematic area on support - activity 5)	Integrate this element in this activity of the draft TEC workplan
(m) Intermediate metrics are needed that can capture and measure the value of knowledge created, spillovers and de- risking future investment as well as of building a climate innovation system;	Intermediate metrics	Prepare concept note on innovative financing and investment options at different stages of the technology cycle (including private sector) (thematic area on support – activity 6)	Integrate this element in this activity of the draft TEC workplan



Proposal to address the key messages and relevant recommendations contained in the updated PSP evaluation report

- How could the analytical work emerging from the key messages be addressed by TEC?
- Many of the elements highlighted in the key messages that may require additional analytical work have close linkages with activities already planned by the TEC as part of its draft workplan for 2019–22. These elements could be integrated within the scope of these activities for efficiency reasons, rather than adding new activities.
- 2. One of the recommendations emerging from the updated PSP evaluation proposes that a dialogue be organized between the GEF, the regional centres and the CTCN to identify lessons learned and options for continuing the work of the centres. In this context, the TEC could also play an active role in supporting the organization of such dialogue in collaboration with the CTCN, GEF and the regional centres by sharing the findings from the updated PSP evaluation.



TEC consideration

The TEC is invited to consider the proposal of the task force on climate technology financing and agree on how to address the key messages and relevant recommendations contained in the updated PSP evaluation report.



Thank you!



Mr. Suil Kang Member TEC taskforce on climate technology financing