18 November 2020, 21st meeting of the UNFCCC Technology Executive Committee

International collaborative climate technology RD&D initiaves

Good practices and lessons learned

Dian Phylipsen (SQ Consult), Heleen de Coninck (Eindhoven University of Technology), Ambuj Sagar & Abhishek Malhotra (IIT Delhi)



Objective and scope of analysis

Objective:

To understand what lessons can be learned from existing international RD&D collaborations relevant to the technology framework in the Paris Agreement and identify a set of good practices.

Scope:

'International collaborative climate technology RD&D initiatives':

- Initiatives in which different countries or regions jointly conduct (or fund)
- RD&D activities, i.e. Research (TRL1) to Demonstration (TRL7) of
- Climate technology for mitigation and adaptation (IPCC definition)



Approach

A mapping of existing initiatives in a three-step process:





Selected case studies

Name of initiative	Mitigation/ Adaptation	Technology cycle stage	Type of collaboration	Sector/ Tech focus	Geography		Size
					Geographical scope	Region	
Indo-US JCERDC	Mitigation	R&D	Bilateral; Network of consortia	Energy	country, N-S	US, India	Small
Mission Innovation	Mitigation	R&D to demonstration	Multilateral; Platform	Energy	Global, N-N, N-S, S-S, Triangular	All	Large
ІЕА ТСР	Mitigation	R&D to commercialization	Plurilateral Platform	Energy	Global, N-N, N-S, S-S, Triangular	All	Large
Dewfora	Adaptation	Prototype, demonstration	Plurilateral Consortium	Water- drought management	Regional; N-S	Africa, Europe	Small
CGIAR	Mitigation, adaption (not climate-specific)	R&D to commercialization	Plurilateral Network	Agriculture	International, N-N, S-S, N-S	All	Large
JIRI	Mitigation, adaptation (not climate specific)	R&D financing	Plurilateral Platform	Cross-cutting	International/Regio nal; N-S, S-S	Europe, LAC, SIDS	Small
CYTED	Mitigation, adaptation (not climate specific)	R&D to commercialization	Multilateral Platform	Cross-cutting	International/Regio nal, country; N-S, S-S	Spain, Portugal, LAC	Large
AFACI	Adaptation (not climate specific)	R&D to commercialization	Multilateral; Network	Agriculture	Regional, S-S, Triangular	Asia-Pacific	Small

Identified good practices

- 1. High-level political buy-in, operationalized in structural implementtation processes
- 2. Joint ownership and funding, and equal partnership between developed and developing country participants
- 3. Broad participation and stakeholder engagement from the beginning
- 4. Alignment with national priorities, needs and capabilities
- 5. Alignment of the initiative's design with the technology and its context
- 6. Suitable governance and management processes of initiatives
- 7. Structured evaluation and continual adjustment
- 8. Design for long-term sustainability
- Combine technological hardware RD&D with 'soft- and orgware' activities



Observations and lesson learned

- Of the large number of initiatives, only a limited number is engaged in actual **funding or implementation of RD&D** (or `hardware')
 - Of these, relatively few cover climate change **adaptation**
- The bulk of initiatives are public sector-led
 - Private sector involvement in the early stages of the technology cycle is limited, focusing more on the demonstration, incubation, commercialisation and diffusion phases
- Only few initiatives undertake regular independent, publicly available evaluations that are transparently reflected in organisations and allow others to learn as well
- In light of the PA goals of international collaborative RD&D initiatives, local presence and capacity building in developing countries appears to be a crucial part of effective developing country participation on an equal footing



Recommendations

Core recommendations for further international RD&D initiatives are:

- **Strengthen assessment and learning** of successful collaborative RD&D initiatives, so that lessons learned are transparent and independently established.
- Facilitate flexible and evolving participation of countries in line with national needs and capacities, taking into account large differences.
- Pay particular attention to the "how" of private sectorparticipation. Relevant private sector actors (and other stakeholders) are often involved too late to still incorporate their needs.
- More hardware technological RD&D is needed as many initiatives are focused only on dialogue, coordination or information sharing and capacity building. This needs to be consistently accompanied by `soft- and orgware' activities.
- Strengthen local capacity building to enable equal and more productive partnerships, enhancing effectiveness of RD&D collaborations.



For further information:



Dian Phylipsen SQ Consult BV +31 6 55 80 46 19 <u>d.phylipsen@sqconsult.com</u>

Heleen de Coninck Eindhoven University of Technology <u>h.c.de.coninck@tue.nl</u>

