Agenda item 4.c.ii

Enabling environment to enhance replicability and scalability of technologies for sustainable transport

Technology Executive Committee, 25th meeting and TEC-CTCN Joint session 6–9 September 2022 Bonn, Germany



Jonn Axsen, Simon Fraser University

Technical paper on

Deep decarbonization technologies for sustainable road mobility

Prepared for the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)

Technology Executive Committee (TEC)

By: Dr. Jonn Axsen

September 6, 2022



25th meeting of the Technology Executive Committee and TEC-CTCN Joint session

Context:

International Energy Agency's Net Zero Emissions scenario (NZE) summarizes the rapid transformations needed for **road transport**, including:

- 100% zero-emissions vehicle sales by 2035, mostly **electric vehicles** for light-duty vehicles
- Rapid advancement in green hydrogen, to fuel 30% of heavy-duty vehicles by 2050
- Rapid progress in **advanced biofuels** (low-carbon and sustainable)
- **Behaviour change**: 20-50% reduction in private vehicle use



Context:

International Energy Agency's Net Zero Emissions scenario (NZE) summarizes the rapid transformations needed for **road transport**, including:



IEA. All rights reserved.

Sales of battery electric, plug-in hybrid and fuel cell electric vehicles soar globally



Research Objectives:

- Provide an overview of the technologies and their state of play, including information on their technology readiness and potential climate change mitigation impacts;
- Summarize key barriers and opportunities relating to social, institutional, economic and business aspects of their development and effective deployment; and
- Identify and evaluate innovative policy options, opportunities and challenges for policymakers to effectively support the deployment of these technologies.



Method:

Technology Readiness Level (TRL) from NASA and IEA:

Broad stage	TRL	Narrow stage
Conceptual/research phase	1	Initial idea
	2	Application formulated
	3	Concept needs validation
Small prototype	4	Early prototype
Large prototype	5	Large prototype (validated in relevant
		environment)
	6	Full prototype at scale
Demonstration/Deployment	7	Pre-commercial demonstration
	8	First-of-a-kind commercial (<0.1% sales)
	9	Commercial operation in relevant
		environment (0.1% to 1% sales)
Early Adoption	10	Integration needed at scale (1-10% sales)
Mature	11	Proof of stability: predictable growth (>10%)



Methods:

Literature review (+220 references), including key documents from:

- International Energy Agency (IEA)
- International Council for Clean Transportation (ICCT)

GHG emissions, note differences between

- Tailpipe emissions: generally not used here
- Well-to-wheel (WTW) emissions: considers lifecycle impact of fuel production (electricity generation) and fuel usage.
- Full lifecycle analysis (LCA): considers WTW fuel emissions, plus manufacturing and disposal of vehicle



Results overview:

Technology	Sub-type	TRL	2021 penetration	Carbon impacts	Role in IEA NZE 2050	
					Scenario	
Plug-in electric vehicle	Light-duty	10-11	Many countries: 1-15%	NA/EU: 60-77% cuts	2030: 60% of global sales	
			Norway: 86%	China/India: 19-56% cuts	2050: 90%	
	Heavy-duty	8-11	Heavy trucks: ~0.1%	34-98% cuts	2030: 17% of global sales	
			Buses: 5-60%		2050: 68%	
Hydrogen fuel-cell	Light-duty	8	<0.1% sales	Grey H2: 26-40% cuts	2050: ~10% of global sales	
vehicles				Green H2: 76-80% cuts		
	Heavy-duty	8	<0.1% sales	Green H2: 65-97% cuts	2050: ~30% of global sales	
Advanced biofuels	Ethanol	7-8	3% of gasoline,	Up to 81% cuts	Advanced ethanol increases	
			<0.1% is advanced		to 28% of ethanol by 2030	
	Biodiesel	9	16% is advanced	85-92% cuts	Advanced biofuels meet 14%	
					of transport energy by 2050	
Shared mobility	Ride-hailing	9-11	~3% US adults are	Unclear	"Behaviour" shift?	
			regular users		2050: 20-50% less private	
					vehicle use	
	Car-share	9-10	Over 30 million	Unclear	"Behaviour" shift?	
			members globally			
	Micromobility	9-10	Available in 650 cities	Unclear;.	"Behaviour" shift?	
	Mobility as	8	Very low,	Unclear;	"Behaviour" shift?	
	a Service		dozens of projects			
			globally			
Fully automated	Light/heavy	4+	Demonstration only	Highly uncertain; halve	Not addressed	
vehicles				or double GHG		
				emissions;		



Plug-in electric vehicles (PEVs):

Technology Sub-type		TRL	2020 penetration	Carbon impacts	Role in IEA NZE 2050	
					Scenario	
Plug-in electric vehicle	Light-duty	10-11	Many countries: 1-10%	NA/EU: 60-77% cuts	2030: 60% of global sales	
			Norway: 75%	China/India: 19-56% cuts	2050: 90%	
	Heavy-duty	8-11	Heavy trucks: <0.1%	34-98% cuts	2030: 17% of global sales	
			Buses: 5-60%		2050: 68%	



	Plug-in electri	c vehicles	(PE)	/s):				
Те	chnology	Sub-type	TRL2020 penetrationCarbon		Carbon impacts		Role in IEA NZE 2050 Scenario	
Plı	ıg-in electric vehicle	Light-duty	10-11	Many countries: 1-10% Norway: 75%	NA/EU: 60-77% cu China/India: 19-56	uts % cuts	2030: 60% of global sales 2050: 90%	
		Heavy-duty	8-11	Heavy trucks: <0.1% Buses: 5-60%	34-98% cuts		2030: 17% of global sales 2050: 68%	
	Barrier		Op	portunities	P	Polici	es	
	1. High purchase of	costs	Low	er cost PEVs, two/three-	wheeler S	Subsidies, ZEV mandate		
	2. Limited chargin	Ig	Publi batte	Public-private partnerships, fast charging, battery swapping, catenary lines			Charger deployment; subsidies and regulation for installation	
	3. Grid impacts		Coordinate w/ renewables, smart charging, smaller PEVs (two/three-wheeler)			Time-of-use (TOU) pricing		
4. Battery source materials			Expand domestic mining and manufacturing; increased R&D (e.g., cobalt-free)			legulat ecyclin	ion for extraction and g	
5. Consumer awareness and preferences			Marketing, demonstration, setting norms			ZEV mandate, information campaigns		
6. Model availability/variety			Supp expa	ort new automakers; nd domestic auto indust	ry Z	ZEV mandate		
7. Fleet/commercial challenges			Marketing, demos, increase model variety			EV manfo. can	ndate, subsidies, npaigns for fleets	
	8. Equity impacts		Policy design for equity goals			Careful ubsidie	design of taxes and s	



Hydrogen fuel-cell vehicles (HFCVs)

Technology	Sub-type	TRL 2020 penetration		Carbon impacts	Role in IEA NZE 2050
					Scenario
Hydrogen fuel-cell vehicles	Light-duty	8	<0.1% sales	Grey H2: 26-40% cuts Green H2: 76-80% cuts	2050: ~10% of global sales
	Heavy-duty	8	<0.1% sales	Green H2: 65-97% cuts	2050: ~30% of global sales



Hydrogen fuel-cell vehicles (FCEV)							
Technology Sub-type		TRL	2020 penetration	Carbon impacts	Role in IEA NZE 2050		
					Scenario		
Hydrogen fuel-cell	Light-duty	8	<0.1% sales	Grey H2: 26-40% cuts	2050: ~10% of global sales		
vehicles				Green H2: 76-80% cuts			
	Heavy-duty	8	<0.1% sales	Green H2: 65-97% cuts	2050: ~30% of global sales		

Barrier	Opportunities	Policies
1. High price	International alliances, R&D to bring down costs, focus on heavy-duty applications	Purchase/fuel subsidies, ZEV mandate
2. Very limited refueling	Public-private partnerships, R&D activity,	Subsidies, ZEV mandate
3. Limited green hydrogen generation	Expand renewable capacity, R&D activity, and subsidies	Subsidies, low-carbon fuel standards
4. Consumer awareness and preferences	Improved marketing and demonstration	ZEV mandate, info. campaigns, purchase incentives
5. Model availability/variety	Support FCEV automakers; expand FCEV industry	ZEV mandate
6. Competition from BEVs	Focus on long-haul heavy-duty applications	Match PEV policies for FCEVs



Advanced biofuels

Technology	Sub-type	TRL	2020 penetration	Carbon impacts	Role in IEA NZE 2050 Scenario
Advanced biofuels	Ethanol	7-8	3% of gasoline, <0.1% is advanced	Up to 81% cuts	Advanced ethanol increases to 28% of ethanol by 2030
	Biodiesel	9	16% is advanced	85-92% cuts	Advanced biofuels meet 14% of transport energy by 2050



Advanced biofuels

Technology	Sub-type	TRL	2020 penetration	Carbon impacts	Role in IEA NZE 2050 Scenario
Advanced biofuels	Ethanol	7-8	3% of gasoline, <0.1% is advanced	Up to 81% cuts	Advanced ethanol increases to 28% of ethanol by 2030
	Biodiesel	9	16% is advanced	85-92% cuts	Advanced biofuels meet 14% of transport energy by 2050

Barrier	Opportunities	Policies	
1. Impacts to food prices and security	Focus on non-food crops, develop partnerships to plan land use	Include food/land considerations in low-carbon fuel standard (LCFS) policy	
2. High carbon sources	Invest in "advanced" biofuels, carbon capture & storage	LCFS, link subsidies to low carbon content	
3. High price	R&D in advanced feedstocks (e.g., switchgrass, wheat straw, HDRD), develop low-cost resources in developing countries	Subsidies, LCFS	
4. Limited refueling	Public-private partnerships	Refueling deployment, LCFS	
5. Lack of compatible vehicles	Develop "drop-in" fuels (e.g., HDRD)	ZEV mandate, information campaigns	



Shared mobility

Technology	Sub-type	TRL	2020 penetration	Carbon impacts	Role in IEA NZE 2050 Scenario
Shared mobility	Ride-hailing	9-11	~3% US adults are regular users	Unclear	"Behaviour" shift? 2050: 20-50% less private vehicle use
	Car-share	9-10	Over 30 million members globally	Unclear	"Behaviour" shift?
	Micromobility	9-10	Available in 650 cities	Unclear	"Behaviour" shift?
	Mobility as a Service	8	Very low, dozens of demos globally	Unclear	"Behaviour" shift?



Shared mobility

Te	chnology	Sub-type		TRL	2020 penetration	Carbo	1 impacts Role in IEA NZE 20 Scenario		50
Sh	hared mobility Ride-hailing		9-11	~3% US adults are Unclea regular users		r	"Behaviour" shift? 2050: 20-50% less pri vehicle use	vate	
		Car-share		9-10	Over 30 million members globally	Unclear	ſ	"Behaviour" shift?	
		Micromobility		9-10	Available in 650 cities	Unclear	r	"Behaviour" shift?	
		Mobility as a S	ervice	8	Very low, dozens of demos globally	Unclear	r	"Behaviour" shift?	
Barrier Oppo			Opportunities		Policies				
	1. Consume	r preference	Impro servic demo transi	ove cor ce, edue nstration t (Maa	nsumer research, improve cation, marketing, on, integration with publi S)	d c	Carbon/road price, incentives for usage (pooling)		
	2. Increasing	g VKM	Suppo transi	Support pooling, integration with public transit (MaaS)			Carbon/road price, tolls for single occupancy vehicles		
	3. Uncertain impacts	GHG	Integr plans	rate wi , pair w	th national/regional GHC with PEV deployment	ł	Carbon/road price (for car-share, rid	e, ZEV mandate e-hailing)	



Fully automated vehicles

Technology	Sub-type	TRL	2020 penetration	Carbon impacts	Role in IEA NZE 2050
					Scenario
Fully automated	Light/heavy	4+	Demonstration only	Highly uncertain; halve	Not addressed
vehicles				or double GHG	
				emissions;	



Fully automated vehicles

Technology	Sub-type	TRL	2020 penetration	Carbon impacts	Role in IEA NZE 2050
					Scenario
Fully automated	Light/heavy	4+	Demonstration only	Highly uncertain; halve	Not addressed
venicies				or double GHG	
				emissions;	

Barrier	Opportunities	Policies
1. Consumer confusion/preference	Education, demonstration, participatory engagement	R&D support
2. Lack of sharing	Consumer engagement, demonstrations	Carbon or road pricing, reduced parking
3. Increasing VKM		Carbon or road pricing, urban planning
4. Developing country challenges (costs and infrastructure)	Expand tech R&D in developing countries, explore sharing scenarios	R&D support







Climate policies

Policy	Strengths	Challenges
Pricing	Effective, efficient, comprehensive	Political opposition
Market-oriented regulations	 ZEV sales mandate: Transformative signal (channels R&D), boosts ZEV sales (cross-price subsidies) Vehicle emissions standard: can act as ZEV mandate Low-carbon fuel standard (LCFS): pushes transformation in low-carbon fuels All: acceptable to public 	Complex, opposition from incumbent industry, how efficient?
Incentives	Effective (boost sales), political acceptable	Costly
Chargers deployment	Addresses crucial barrier, politically acceptable, can help with norms	Not sufficient alone (needs mix)
R&D subsidies	Can help with transformation	Impacts unclear



Key findings

- Highest technology-readiness (TRL) for plug-in electric light-duty vehicles and buses
- Lower readiness for:
 - Heavy-duty trucks (notably long-haul)
 - Fuel-cell hydrogen vehicles
 - Advanced biofuels (ethanol and biodiesel)
- More research and policy for ZEV manufacturing and disposal
- Shared mobility and automation have unclear roles in decarbonization, though climate policy can induce more climate benefits

Potential actions for policymakers

- Plan out complementary policy mix for regional context
- Focus on ZEV sales mandate
- Use low-carbon fuel standard for upstream emissions
- Pricing as complement (if acceptable)
- ZEV purchase incentives help, more short-term
- Charger deployment and R&D support can help
- Improve institutional capacity



Thank you!



Jonn Axsen, Simon Fraser University