



**Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting
of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol**

**Report of the Conference of the Parties serving as the
meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol on its eleventh
session, held in Paris from 30 November to 13 December 2015**

Addendum

**Part two: Action taken by the Conference of the Parties
serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at
its eleventh session**

Contents

**Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties serving as
the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol**

<i>Decision</i>	<i>Page</i>
6/CMP.11 Guidance relating to the clean development mechanism	2
7/CMP.11 Guidance on the implementation of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol	7
8/CMP.11 Methodology for the collection of international transaction log fees in the biennium 2016–2017	9
9/CMP.11 Capacity-building under the Kyoto Protocol	13
10/CMP.11 Technical review in 2016 of greenhouse gas inventories and initial reports for the second commitment period from Parties included in Annex I to the Convention that are also Parties to the Kyoto Protocol	14
11/CMP.11 Administrative, financial and institutional matters	16
12/CMP.11 Programme budget for the biennium 2016–2017	18
 <i>Resolution</i>	
1/CMP.11 Expression of gratitude to the Government of the French Republic and the people of the city of Paris	24



Decision 6/CMP.11

Guidance relating to the clean development mechanism

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling the provisions of Articles 3 and 12 of the Kyoto Protocol and decision 1/CMP.6,

Cognizant of decision 3/CMP.1 and subsequent guidance provided by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol relating to the clean development mechanism,

I. General

1. *Welcomes* the report for 2014–2015 of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (hereinafter referred to as the Executive Board);¹
2. *Commends* the Executive Board for the substantial work undertaken over the past year;
3. *Expresses satisfaction* with the progress of the clean development mechanism of the Kyoto Protocol, which to date has been responsible for:²
 - (a) Over 7,600 project activities being registered in over 95 countries;
 - (b) Over 1,900 component project activities being included in over 280 programmes of activities registered in over 75 countries;
 - (c) Over 1.6 billion certified emission reductions being issued and over USD 300 billion being invested;
 - (d) Over 5.7 million certified emission reductions voluntarily cancelled;
 - (e) Over 32 million certified emission reductions being transferred through the share of proceeds to the Adaptation Fund;
 - (f) Over USD 190 million of revenue for the Adaptation Fund from the sale of certified emission reductions;
 - (g) Seventy-three loans under the clean development mechanism loan scheme being approved and over USD 6 million of total commitment;
 - (h) Twenty-nine sustainable development co-benefit description reports being published using the voluntary sustainable development tool;
4. *Welcomes* the launch of the online platform for voluntary cancellation of certified emission reductions;³
5. *Requests* the Executive Board and the secretariat to facilitate access to the section concerning sustainable development in the project and programme design documents of the project activities and programmes of activities on the online platform for voluntary cancellation of certified emission reductions referred to in paragraph 4 above;

¹ FCCC/KP/CMP/2015/5.

² See document FCCC/KP/CMP/2015/5 and the UNFCCC CDM website at <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/>>.

³ <<https://offset.climateutralnow.org/>>.

6. *Encourages* the Executive Board to continue the simplification process of the clean development mechanism with the aim of further simplifying and streamlining the project cycle, the registration and verification process, the development and approval of standardized baselines, the methodological standards and procedures, and the accreditation procedure;
7. *Also encourages* the Executive Board to continue exploring options for using the clean development mechanism as a tool for other uses and report back to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its twelfth session (November 2016);
8. *Further encourages* the Executive Board to explore the opportunities for the financing of the clean development mechanism through international climate financing institutions, such as the Green Climate Fund, and report back to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its twelfth session;
9. *Invites* the Executive Board, as part of the implementation of paragraph 8 above, to consider hosting an in-session workshop at the forty-fourth sessions of the subsidiary bodies (May 2016), taking into account paragraph 28 below;

II. Accreditation

10. *Designates* as operational entities those entities that have been accredited, and provisionally designated, as operational entities by the Executive Board to carry out the sector-specific validation functions and/or sector-specific verification functions described in the annex;
11. *Requests* the Executive Board to analyse the need for measures to ensure the continued participation of designated operational entities in the clean development mechanism, in particular in the regions underrepresented in the clean development mechanism, taking into account paragraph 28 below;

III. Baseline and monitoring methodologies

12. *Decides* to allow the submission of a request for the revision of a baseline and monitoring methodology without a draft project or programme design document in cases where the Executive Board considers that the assessment of such a request can be conducted without the project-specific information;
13. *Requests* the Executive Board to implement paragraph 12 above by revising the relevant regulations;
14. *Encourages* the Executive Board to continue the development of digitized project and programme design document forms for clean development mechanism project activities and programmes of activities;
15. *Requests* the Executive Board to develop more cost-effective and context-appropriate approaches for monitoring, reporting and verification with a focus on project activities involving households and communities, addressing, inter alia:
 - (a) Procedures to manage data gaps;
 - (b) Regionally appropriate calibration requirements;
 - (c) The use of sectoral and nationally collected data where appropriate;

16. *Encourages* the Executive Board to continue its work related to the “Application of E-policy in investment analysis for additionality demonstration and selection of baseline scenario”,⁴ and to report back to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its twelfth session;

17. *Also encourages* the Executive Board to continue assessing methodologies in order to ensure environmental integrity and additionality;

IV. Registration of project activities and programmes and issuance of certified emission reductions

18. *Requests* the Executive Board to develop stand-alone programme of activities guidance, consisting, inter alia, of a “clean development mechanism programme of activities standard”, a “clean development mechanism programme of activities validation and verification standard” and a “clean development mechanism programme of activities cycle procedure”;

19. *Reiterates* its request to the Executive Board, as contained in decision 4/CMP.10, to consider allowing, as an option, a simplified inclusion process for activities that satisfy microscale thresholds and are considered automatically additional; this option shall allow for inclusion on the basis of a pre-approved standardized inclusion template of component project activities carried out directly by the coordinating/managing entity without prior validation through a designated operational entity;

20. *Requests* the Executive Board to consider developing a standardized registration template using objective criteria for activities that qualify as automatically additional;

21. *Encourages* the Executive Board to continue its efforts in communicating the sustainable development co-benefits of clean development mechanism project activities and programmes of activities to the public;

22. *Also encourages* the Executive Board to improve the user-friendliness of the existing sustainable development co-benefits tool;

23. *Requests* designated operational entities to confirm that requests for issuance of emission reductions are submitted only to the Executive Board;

24. *Also requests* the Executive Board to examine how the information specified in paragraph 12 of appendix D to decision 3/CMP.1 can be best made publicly available, and the implications thereof, and report back on this matter to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its twelfth session;

V. Regional and subregional distribution

25. *Welcomes* the progress made in establishing and operating the regional collaboration centres to promote the clean development mechanism in regions underrepresented in the mechanism and to support stakeholders at the regional and national levels;

26. *Requests* the Executive Board to expand, taking into account paragraph 28 below, the scope and scale of regional collaboration centres’ assistance activities in developing countries by exploring the emerging technical and methodological areas in the context of the clean development mechanism where specific assistance is identified as needed by the countries underrepresented in the mechanism;

⁴ See annex 13 to the annotated agenda of the 79th meeting of the Executive Board. Available at <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/EB/index.html>>.

VI. Resources for work on the clean development mechanism

27. *Expresses* its appreciation to the secretariat for having ensured the prudent management of its resources and deep gratitude to the staff that have left the secretariat for their enormous contribution to the clean development mechanism;

28. *Requests* the Executive Board to ensure the transparent and prudent management of the resources of the clean development mechanism, including the spending for those serving on the Executive Board.

Annex

[English only]

**Designation of operational entities by the Conference of the Parties serving as
the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its eleventh session**

<i>Name of entity^a</i>	<i>Designated sectoral scopes (validation and verification)</i>
Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd. (Carbon Check) (formerly Carbon Check (Pty) Ltd.) ^b	1–5, 8–10, 13 and 14
China Building Material Test and Certification Group Co. Ltd. (CTC) ^c	1–4, 6, 9, 10 and 13
China Certification Center Inc. (CCCI) ^c	1–15
China Classification Society Certification Company (CCSC) ^d	1–10 and 13
GHD Limited (GHD) (formerly Conestoga Rovers & Associates Limited) ^b	1, 4, 5, 8–10, 12 and 13
Hong Kong Quality Assurance Agency (HKQAA) ^d	1
KBS Certification Services Pvt. Ltd. (KBS) ^d	1, 3–5, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13 and 15

^a This table does not include entities for which accreditation has been withdrawn for some or all sectoral scopes.

^b Transfer of accreditation from another legal entity.

^c Initial accreditation granted for five years.

^d Reaccreditation granted for five years.

*8th plenary meeting
10 December 2015*

Decision 7/CMP.11

Guidance on the implementation of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling the provisions of Articles 3 and 6 of the Kyoto Protocol and decision 1/CMP.6,

Cognizant of decision 9/CMP.1 and subsequent guidance provided by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol relating to joint implementation,

1. *Takes note* of the achievements of joint implementation in the period 2006–2015, accounting for 548 Track 1 projects,¹ 52 Track 2 projects² and over 871 million emission reduction units issued for emission reductions;

2. *Takes note with appreciation* of the report of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee for 2014–2015³ and the status of work undertaken during its current term, in particular:

(a) The elaboration of further recommendations on the review of the joint implementation guidelines submitted to the Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its forty-second session;⁴

(b) The decision of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee to allow designated operational entities accredited under the accreditation rules of the clean development mechanism to act voluntarily as accredited independent entities under joint implementation while taking measures to safeguard environmental integrity;⁵

3. *Reiterates* its concern regarding the difficult market situation currently faced by participants in joint implementation, with projects declining to a point where the mechanism is practically non-existent;

4. *Requests* the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee to submit recommendations for consideration by the Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its forty-fourth session (May 2016) on actions that would be necessary to implement the draft joint implementation modalities and procedures,⁶ including changes to:

(a) The rules of procedure of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee as adopted by decision 3/CMP.5;

(b) Provisions under other decisions of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol relating to joint implementation;

¹ Decision 9/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 23.

² The verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee, defined in decision 9/CMP.1, annex, paragraphs 30–45.

³ FCCC/KP/CMP/2015/4.

⁴ FCCC/SBI/2015/5.

⁵ Report on the 37th meeting of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee, paragraph 15. Available at <http://ji.unfccc.int/Sup_Committee/Meetings/index.html>.

⁶ FCCC/SBI/2015/L.30.

5. *Also requests* the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee to submit recommendations for consideration by the Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its forty-fourth session in the context of the review of the joint implementation guidelines, inter alia, on options to address:
 - (a) Concerns raised by stakeholders;
 - (b) Validation by an accredited independent entity of post-registration changes;
6. *Invites* Parties and admitted observer organizations to submit, by 31 March 2016, their views on experiences and lessons learned from joint implementation for the possible design of mitigation mechanisms and on links and interactions with other tools;⁷
7. *Requests* the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee to reflect on synergies between joint implementation and other mitigation mechanisms to ensure the cost-efficient use of resources, the coherence of mitigation instruments and the avoidance of double counting, in particular regarding infrastructure and technical arrangements, tools, governance structures and processes;
8. *Also requests* the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee to prepare an analysis of the experiences and lessons learned referred to in paragraph 6 above, taking into account the submissions referred to in the same paragraph and any other relevant materials, and to forward recommendations and the reflections of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee mentioned in paragraph 7 above for consideration by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its twelfth session (November 2016);
9. *Commends* the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee and the secretariat for their prudent management of resources;
10. *Reiterates* its request to the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee to ensure sufficient infrastructure and capacity for the mechanism's use by Parties for as long as needed, and to keep the joint implementation management plan under review, making necessary adjustments to ensure the efficient, cost-effective and transparent functioning of joint implementation.

*8th plenary meeting
10 December 2015*

⁷ Parties should submit their views via the submissions portal at <<http://www.unfccc.int/5900>>. Admitted observer organizations should e-mail their submissions to <secretariat@unfccc.int>.

Decision 8/CMP.11

Methodology for the collection of international transaction log fees in the biennium 2016–2017

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling Article 13, paragraph 5, of the Kyoto Protocol,

Also recalling decisions 11/CMP.3, 10/CMP.5, 9/CMP.6 and 8/CMP.8,

Recognizing the importance of sufficient and stable funding for the international transaction log,

1. *Adopts* the scale of fees for the international transaction log for the biennium 2016–2017 contained in the annex;
2. *Decides* that fees for the international transaction log paid by a Party for the biennium 2016–2017 shall be calculated by multiplying the scale of fees for that Party, as contained in the annex, by the budget for the international transaction log for the biennium 2016–2017, with the fees for the first year of the biennium being equal to the fees for the second year of the biennium;
3. *Requests* the Executive Secretary to notify Parties connected to the international transaction log in the biennium 2016–2017 of the annual fees, calculated in accordance with paragraph 2 above, as early as possible and at least four months in advance of the relevant calendar year, where possible;
4. *Decides* that, if a Party connects to the international transaction log for the first time or reconnects to it following disconnection during the biennium 2016–2017, the scale of fees for that Party shall be that contained in the annex, or, for Parties not listed in the table contained in the annex, shall be made equal to 130 per cent of their Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for the relevant biennium;
5. *Also decides* that fees paid by a Party that connects to the international transaction log for the first time shall be deducted from the resource requirement for activities relating to the international transaction log in the next biennium;
6. *Further decides* that fees paid by a Party that connects to the international transaction log for the first time or, following disconnection, reconnects to it during the biennium 2016–2017, shall be proportioned for the period between the date of connection or reconnection of its registry and the end of the biennium, except for the period for which the fees were already paid;
7. *Decides* that, where a Party disconnects during the biennium 2016–2017, the Party shall cover the fees for the full year in which the disconnection took place, and that, if the disconnection takes place in the first year of the biennium and the Party does not reconnect in the second year of the biennium, fees for the second year of the biennium shall not apply;
8. *Authorizes* the international transaction log administrator to disconnect the registry of a Party from the international transaction log in the event of the non-payment of its fees by that Party, provided that such disconnection shall not be effected earlier than four months after the beginning of the relevant calendar year, at least two reminders have already been given to the Party and consultations have taken place with the Party concerned prior to the final reminder;

9. *Also authorizes* the Executive Secretary to draw up funds from unspent balances (carry-over) of the Trust Fund for the International Transaction Log from previous financial periods to cover the potential shortfall in fees due to the disconnection of Parties during the biennium 2016–2017;
10. *Requests* the international transaction log administrator to provide, in its annual reports for 2016 and 2017, information on transactions of Kyoto Protocol units;
11. *Also requests* the international transaction log administrator to publish, in its annual reports, a table listing the scale and the level of fees and the status of payments for all Parties connected to the international transaction log.

Annex

[English only]

Scale of fees for the international transaction log for the biennium 2016–2017

<i>Party</i>	<i>Scale of fees (per cent)</i>
Australia	2.841
Austria	1.588
Belarus ^a	0.073
Belgium	1.973
Bulgaria	0.036
Croatia	0.079
Cyprus ^a	0.061
Czech Republic	0.503
Denmark	1.323
Estonia	0.028
European Union	2.685
Finland	1.009
France	10.667
Germany	15.350
Greece	1.065
Hungary	0.437
Iceland	0.737
Ireland	0.797
Italy	9.090
Japan	14.939
Kazakhstan ^a	0.157
Latvia	0.032
Liechtenstein	0.188
Lithuania	0.055
Luxembourg	0.153
Malta ^a	0.021
Monaco	0.181
Netherlands	3.352
New Zealand	0.961
Norway	2.319
Poland	0.896
Portugal	0.943
Romania	0.125
Russian Federation	2.743
Slovakia	0.113
Slovenia	0.171
Spain	5.311
Sweden	1.917
Switzerland	2.760
Ukraine	0.745

<i>Party</i>	<i>Scale of fees (per cent)</i>
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	11.888
Subtotal for Parties connected to the international transaction log	100.000
Subtotal for Parties not connected to the international transaction log	0.312
Total	100.312

^a Parties currently not connected to the international transaction log.

*8th plenary meeting
10 December 2015*

Decision 9/CMP.11

Capacity-building under the Kyoto Protocol

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling decisions 29/CMP.1, 6/CMP.2, 6/CMP.4, 15/CMP.7, 10/CMP.8, 2/CP.7 and 2/CP.17,

1. *Requests* the Subsidiary Body for Implementation to conduct the third comprehensive review of the implementation of the framework for capacity-building in developing countries, established under decision 2/CP.7 and reaffirmed under decision 29/CMP.1, at its forty-fourth session (May 2016), on the basis of the terms of reference contained in the annex to decision 14/CP.21, with a view to recommending a draft decision for consideration and adoption by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its twelfth session (November 2016);
2. *Decides* that the 5th meeting of the Durban Forum, to be held during the forty-fourth session of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation, will explore potential ways for enhancing capacity-building by sharing information and varied experiences related to the Kyoto Protocol;
3. *Invites* Parties to submit via the submissions portal,¹ by 9 March 2016, as part of their annual submissions pursuant to decision 6/CMP.2, suggestions for additional potential topics related to the Kyoto Protocol for the 5th meeting of the Durban Forum.

*8th plenary meeting
10 December 2015*

¹ <<http://www.unfccc.int/5900>>.

Decision 10/CMP.11

Technical review in 2016 of greenhouse gas inventories and initial reports for the second commitment period from Parties included in Annex I to the Convention that are also Parties to the Kyoto Protocol

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling decisions 13/CMP.1, 22/CMP.1, 2/CMP.8 and 6/CMP.9,

Emphasizing the importance of ensuring that the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol has adequate and reliable information on annual greenhouse gas inventories from Parties included in Annex I to the Convention that are also Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (Parties included in Annex I),

Noting that owing to a delay in the availability of a functioning CRF Reporter and pending the finalization of the full set of accounting, reporting and review modalities under Articles 5, 7 and 8 of the Kyoto Protocol, Parties included in Annex I were unable to submit in 2015 some of the information required under Article 7, paragraph 1, of the Kyoto Protocol, or their reports to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount for the second commitment period pursuant to Article 3, paragraphs 7 bis, 8 and 8 bis, of the Kyoto Protocol, and to demonstrate capacity to account for emissions and the assigned amount,¹

Also noting the revised modalities for accounting, reporting and review under Articles 5, 7 and 8 of the Kyoto Protocol for the purposes of the implementation of the second commitment period contained in decisions 3/CMP.11² and 4/CMP.11,³

Expressing the concern that additional work will be needed to ensure that all 2015 greenhouse gas inventories undergo the review process stipulated in decision 13/CP.20 and other relevant decisions, such as those relating to the review processes under the Kyoto Protocol, and that meeting the mandated timelines of the review process may be challenging because of this additional work,

1. *Requests* the secretariat to organize the review of the 2015 and 2016 greenhouse gas inventory submissions from Parties included in Annex I to the Convention that are also Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (Parties included in Annex I), including the review of the supplementary information submitted under Article 7, paragraph 1, of the Kyoto Protocol, in conjunction with each other and with the review of those inventory submissions under the Convention;
2. *Also requests* the secretariat to organize, in accordance with decisions 2/CMP.8 and 4/CMP.11,³ the review of the reports to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount for

¹ Ukraine was the only Party in 2015 that submitted its initial report for the second commitment period (on 14 August 2015).

² Decision 3/CMP.11 “Implications of the implementation of decisions 2/CMP.7 to 4/CMP.7 and 1/CMP.8 on the previous decisions on methodological issues related to the Kyoto Protocol, including those relating to Articles 5, 7 and 8 of the Kyoto Protocol, part I: implications related to accounting and reporting and other related issues”.

³ Decision 4/CMP.11 “Implications of the implementation of decisions 2/CMP.7 to 4/CMP.7 and 1/CMP.8 on the previous decisions on methodological issues related to the Kyoto Protocol including those relating to Articles 5, 7 and 8 of the Kyoto Protocol, part II: implications related to review and adjustments and other related issues”.

the second commitment period in conjunction with the reviews referred to in paragraph 1 above, striving to complete each review no later than one year after the submission date of the report;

3. *Further requests* the secretariat to continue to make improvements to the CRF Reporter functionality, prioritizing the resolution of outstanding issues related to transparency and accuracy, noting that the CRF Reporter is not yet fully functioning;
4. *Invites* Parties included in Annex I that have not yet submitted their 2015 greenhouse gas inventories and supplementary information required under Article 7, paragraph 1, of the Kyoto Protocol to do so as soon as possible;
5. *Takes note* of the estimated budgetary implications of the activities to be undertaken by the secretariat pursuant to the provisions contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 above;
6. *Requests* that the actions of the secretariat called for in this decision be undertaken subject to the availability of financial resources.

*8th plenary meeting
10 December 2015*

Decision 11/CMP.11

Administrative, financial and institutional matters

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling Article 13, paragraph 5, of the Kyoto Protocol,

Also recalling decision 27/CP.19, in particular paragraph 11,

Further recalling paragraph 11 of the financial procedures of the Conference of the Parties, which also applies to the Kyoto Protocol,¹

Taking note of decision 21/CP.21,

Having considered the information contained in the documents prepared by the secretariat on administrative, financial and institutional matters,²

I. Budget performance for the biennium 2014–2015

1. *Takes note* of the information contained in the report on budget performance for the period 1 January 2014 to 30 June 2015³ and the status of contributions to the trust funds administered by the secretariat as at 15 November 2015;⁴
2. *Welcomes* the Executive Secretary's efforts to address budgetary limits by enhancing effectiveness and efficiency, including reducing staffing costs through post management;
3. *Expresses appreciation* to Parties that have made contributions to the core budget and the international transaction log⁵ in a timely manner;
4. *Urges* Parties that have not made contributions to the core budget and the international transaction log⁶ in full for the current and/or previous bienniums to do so without delay;
5. *Calls upon* Parties to make their contributions to the core budget and the international transaction log for the biennium 2016–2017 in a timely manner, bearing in mind that contributions are due on 1 January of each year in accordance with the financial procedures of the Conference of the Parties;
6. *Expresses appreciation* for the contributions received from Parties to the Trust Fund for Participation in the UNFCCC Process and to the Trust Fund for Supplementary Activities;
7. *Urges* Parties to contribute further to the Trust Fund for Participation in the UNFCCC Process, in order to ensure the widest possible participation in the negotiations in 2016, and to the Trust Fund for Supplementary Activities;

¹ Decision 15/CP.1, annex I, as revised by decision 23/CP.20.

² FCCC/SBI/2015/13, FCCC/SBI/2015/INF.10 and FCCC/SBI/2015/INF.17.

³ FCCC/SBI/2015/13.

⁴ FCCC/SBI/2015/INF.17.

⁵ FCCC/SBI/2015/INF.17, table 7.

⁶ As footnote 5 above.

8. *Reiterates its appreciation* to the Government of Germany for its annual voluntary contribution to the core budget of EUR 766,938 and its special contribution of EUR 1,789,522 as Host Government of the secretariat;

II. Audit report and financial statements for 2014

9. *Takes note* of the audit report of the United Nations Board of Auditors,⁷ which includes recommendations and the financial statements for 2014, and the comments of the secretariat thereon;

10. *Expresses its appreciation* to the United Nations for arranging the audits of the accounts of the Convention and for the valuable observations and recommendations of the auditors;

11. *Urges* the Executive Secretary to implement the recommendations of the auditors, as appropriate.

*8th plenary meeting
10 December 2015*

⁷ FCCC/SBI/2015/INF.10.

Decision 12/CMP.11

Programme budget for the biennium 2016–2017

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling Article 13, paragraph 5, of the Kyoto Protocol,

Also recalling decision 8/CMP.11 on the methodology for the collection of international transaction log fees in the biennium 2016–2017,

Taking note of decision 22/CP.21, in particular paragraph 1,

Having considered the proposed programme budget for the biennium 2016–2017 submitted by the Executive Secretary,¹

1. *Endorses* decision 22/CP.21 on the programme budget for the biennium 2016–2017 as it applies to the Kyoto Protocol;²
2. *Adopts* the indicative scale of contributions for 2016 and 2017 contained in annex I, covering 28.8 per cent of the indicative contributions specified in table 1 of decision 22/CP.21;
3. *Invites* all Parties to the Kyoto Protocol to note that contributions to the core budget are due on 1 January of each year in accordance with paragraph 8(b) of the financial procedures for the Conference of the Parties, its subsidiary bodies and the secretariat,³ and to pay promptly and in full for each of the years 2016 and 2017 the contributions required to finance the approved expenditures set out in decision 22/CP.21;
4. *Takes note* of the financing requirements for the clean development mechanism and joint implementation proposed by the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism and the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee, respectively;⁴
5. *Approves* the budget for the international transaction log for the biennium 2016–2017, amounting to EUR 5,351,356 for the purposes specified in the proposed budget for the international transaction log;⁵
6. *Decides* to maintain the level of the working capital reserve at 8.3 per cent of the estimated expenditure for the Trust Fund for the International Transaction Log;
7. *Adopts* the fees for the international transaction log for the biennium 2016–2017 as contained in annex II.

¹ FCCC/SBI/2015/3.

² Recognizes that, in accordance with decision 13/CP.20, three operational approaches may be used for implementing the technical review of the greenhouse gas inventories of Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, namely desk reviews, centralized reviews and in-country reviews, assuming available resources, and recognizes that the secretariat may implement such reviews according to decision 13/CP.20 in 2016–2017, taking into account the programme budget and supplementary resources provided for under this decision.

³ Decision 15/CP.1, annex I, as revised by decision 23/CP.20.

⁴ FCCC/SBI/2015/3/Add.1.

⁵ FCCC/SBI/2015/3/Add.3.

Annex I

[English only]

**Indicative scale of contributions from Parties to the Kyoto Protocol for
the biennium 2016–2017**

<i>Party</i>	<i>United Nations scale of assessments for 2015</i>	<i>Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2016</i>	<i>Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2017</i>
Afghanistan	0.005	0.007	0.007
Albania	0.010	0.013	0.013
Algeria	0.137	0.178	0.178
Angola	0.010	0.013	0.013
Antigua and Barbuda	0.002	0.003	0.003
Argentina	0.432	0.562	0.562
Armenia	0.007	0.009	0.009
Australia	2.074	2.696	2.696
Austria	0.798	1.037	1.037
Azerbaijan	0.040	0.052	0.052
Bahamas	0.017	0.022	0.022
Bahrain	0.039	0.051	0.051
Bangladesh	0.010	0.013	0.013
Barbados	0.008	0.010	0.010
Belarus	0.056	0.073	0.073
Belgium	0.998	1.297	1.297
Belize	0.001	0.001	0.001
Benin	0.003	0.004	0.004
Bhutan	0.001	0.001	0.001
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	0.009	0.012	0.012
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.017	0.022	0.022
Botswana	0.017	0.022	0.022
Brazil	2.934	3.814	3.814
Brunei Darussalam	0.026	0.034	0.034
Bulgaria	0.047	0.061	0.061
Burkina Faso	0.003	0.004	0.004
Burundi	0.001	0.001	0.001
Cabo Verde	0.001	0.001	0.001
Cambodia	0.004	0.005	0.005
Cameroon	0.012	0.016	0.016
Central African Republic	0.001	0.001	0.001
Chad	0.002	0.003	0.003
Chile	0.334	0.434	0.434
China	5.148	6.693	6.693
Colombia	0.259	0.337	0.337
Comoros	0.001	0.001	0.001
Congo	0.005	0.007	0.007
Cook Islands	0.001	0.001	0.001
Costa Rica	0.038	0.049	0.049
Côte d'Ivoire	0.011	0.014	0.014
Croatia	0.126	0.164	0.164
Cuba	0.069	0.090	0.090
Cyprus	0.047	0.061	0.061

<i>Party</i>	<i>United Nations scale of assessments for 2015</i>	<i>Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2016</i>	<i>Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2017</i>
Czech Republic	0.386	0.502	0.502
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	0.006	0.008	0.008
Democratic Republic of the Congo	0.003	0.004	0.004
Denmark	0.675	0.878	0.878
Djibouti	0.001	0.001	0.001
Dominica	0.001	0.001	0.001
Dominican Republic	0.045	0.059	0.059
Ecuador	0.044	0.057	0.057
Egypt	0.134	0.174	0.174
El Salvador	0.016	0.021	0.021
Equatorial Guinea	0.010	0.013	0.013
Eritrea	0.001	0.001	0.001
Estonia	0.040	0.052	0.052
Ethiopia	0.010	0.013	0.013
European Union	2.500	2.500	2.500
Fiji	0.003	0.004	0.004
Finland	0.519	0.675	0.675
France	5.593	7.271	7.271
Gabon	0.020	0.026	0.026
Gambia	0.001	0.001	0.001
Georgia	0.007	0.009	0.009
Germany	7.141	9.284	9.284
Ghana	0.014	0.018	0.018
Greece	0.638	0.829	0.829
Grenada	0.001	0.001	0.001
Guatemala	0.027	0.035	0.035
Guinea	0.001	0.001	0.001
Guinea-Bissau	0.001	0.001	0.001
Guyana	0.001	0.001	0.001
Haiti	0.003	0.004	0.004
Honduras	0.008	0.010	0.010
Hungary	0.266	0.346	0.346
Iceland	0.027	0.035	0.035
India	0.666	0.866	0.866
Indonesia	0.346	0.450	0.450
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	0.356	0.463	0.463
Iraq	0.068	0.088	0.088
Ireland	0.418	0.543	0.543
Israel	0.396	0.515	0.515
Italy	4.448	5.783	5.783
Jamaica	0.011	0.014	0.014
Japan	10.833	14.083	14.083
Jordan	0.022	0.029	0.029
Kazakhstan	0.121	0.157	0.157
Kenya	0.013	0.017	0.017
Kiribati	0.001	0.001	0.001
Kuwait	0.273	0.355	0.355
Kyrgyzstan	0.002	0.003	0.003
Lao People's Democratic Republic	0.002	0.003	0.003
Latvia	0.047	0.061	0.061
Lebanon	0.042	0.055	0.055

<i>Party</i>	<i>United Nations scale of assessments for 2015</i>	<i>Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2016</i>	<i>Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2017</i>
Lesotho	0.001	0.001	0.001
Liberia	0.001	0.001	0.001
Libya	0.142	0.185	0.185
Liechtenstein	0.009	0.012	0.012
Lithuania	0.073	0.095	0.095
Luxembourg	0.081	0.105	0.105
Madagascar	0.003	0.004	0.004
Malawi	0.002	0.003	0.003
Malaysia	0.281	0.365	0.365
Maldives	0.001	0.001	0.001
Mali	0.004	0.005	0.005
Malta	0.016	0.021	0.021
Marshall Islands	0.001	0.001	0.001
Mauritania	0.002	0.003	0.003
Mauritius	0.013	0.017	0.017
Mexico	1.842	2.395	2.395
Micronesia (Federated States of)	0.001	0.001	0.001
Monaco	0.012	0.016	0.016
Mongolia	0.003	0.004	0.004
Montenegro	0.005	0.007	0.007
Morocco	0.062	0.081	0.081
Mozambique	0.003	0.004	0.004
Myanmar	0.010	0.013	0.013
Namibia	0.010	0.013	0.013
Nauru	0.001	0.001	0.001
Nepal	0.006	0.008	0.008
Netherlands	1.654	2.150	2.150
New Zealand	0.253	0.329	0.329
Nicaragua	0.003	0.004	0.004
Niger	0.002	0.003	0.003
Nigeria	0.090	0.117	0.117
Niue	0.001	0.001	0.001
Norway	0.851	1.106	1.106
Oman	0.102	0.133	0.133
Pakistan	0.085	0.111	0.111
Palau	0.001	0.001	0.001
Panama	0.026	0.034	0.034
Papua New Guinea	0.004	0.005	0.005
Paraguay	0.010	0.013	0.013
Peru	0.117	0.152	0.152
Philippines	0.154	0.200	0.200
Poland	0.921	1.197	1.197
Portugal	0.474	0.616	0.616
Qatar	0.209	0.272	0.272
Republic of Korea	1.994	2.592	2.592
Republic of Moldova	0.003	0.004	0.004
Romania	0.226	0.294	0.294
Russian Federation	2.438	3.170	3.170
Rwanda	0.002	0.003	0.003
Saint Kitts and Nevis	0.001	0.001	0.001
Saint Lucia	0.001	0.001	0.001

FCCC/KP/CMP/2015/8/Add.2

<i>Party</i>	<i>United Nations scale of assessments for 2015</i>	<i>Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2016</i>	<i>Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2017</i>
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0.001	0.001	0.001
Samoa	0.001	0.001	0.001
San Marino	0.003	0.004	0.004
Sao Tome and Principe	0.001	0.001	0.001
Saudi Arabia	0.864	1.123	1.123
Senegal	0.006	0.008	0.008
Serbia	0.040	0.052	0.052
Seychelles	0.001	0.001	0.001
Sierra Leone	0.001	0.001	0.001
Singapore	0.384	0.499	0.499
Slovakia	0.171	0.222	0.222
Slovenia	0.100	0.130	0.130
Solomon Islands	0.001	0.001	0.001
Somalia	0.001	0.001	0.001
South Africa	0.372	0.484	0.484
Spain	2.973	3.865	3.865
Sri Lanka	0.025	0.033	0.033
Sudan	0.010	0.013	0.013
Suriname	0.004	0.005	0.005
Swaziland	0.003	0.004	0.004
Sweden	0.960	1.248	1.248
Switzerland	1.047	1.361	1.361
Syrian Arab Republic	0.036	0.047	0.047
Tajikistan	0.003	0.004	0.004
Thailand	0.239	0.311	0.311
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0.008	0.010	0.010
Timor-Leste	0.002	0.003	0.003
Togo	0.001	0.001	0.001
Tonga	0.001	0.001	0.001
Trinidad and Tobago	0.044	0.057	0.057
Tunisia	0.036	0.047	0.047
Turkey	1.328	1.726	1.726
Turkmenistan	0.019	0.025	0.025
Tuvalu	0.001	0.001	0.001
Uganda	0.006	0.008	0.008
Ukraine	0.099	0.129	0.129
United Arab Emirates	0.595	0.774	0.774
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	5.179	6.733	6.733
United Republic of Tanzania	0.009	0.012	0.012
Uruguay	0.052	0.068	0.068
Uzbekistan	0.015	0.020	0.020
Vanuatu	0.001	0.001	0.001
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	0.627	0.815	0.815
Viet Nam	0.042	0.055	0.055
Yemen	0.010	0.013	0.013
Zambia	0.006	0.008	0.008
Zimbabwe	0.002	0.003	0.003
Total	77.506	100.000	100.000

Annex II

[English only]

Fees for the international transaction log for the biennium 2016–2017

<i>Party</i>	<i>Fees for 2016 (EUR)</i>	<i>Fees for 2017 (EUR)</i>	<i>Scale of fees for 2016–2017^a (per cent)</i>
Australia	76 016	76 016	2.841
Austria	42 490	42 490	1.588
Belgium	52 791	52 791	1.973
Bulgaria	963	963	0.036
Croatia	2 114	2 114	0.079
Czech Republic	13 459	13 459	0.503
Denmark	35 399	35 399	1.323
Estonia	749	749	0.028
European Union	71 842	71 842	2.685
Finland	26 998	26 998	1.009
France	285 415	285 415	10.667
Germany	410 716	410 716	15.350
Greece	28 496	28 496	1.065
Hungary	11 693	11 693	0.437
Iceland	19 720	19 720	0.737
Ireland	21 325	21 325	0.797
Italy	243 219	243 219	9.090
Japan	399 718	399 718	14.939
Latvia	856	856	0.032
Liechtenstein	5 030	5 030	0.188
Lithuania	1 472	1 472	0.055
Luxembourg	4 094	4 094	0.153
Monaco	4 843	4 843	0.181
Netherlands	89 689	89 689	3.352
New Zealand	25 713	25 713	0.961
Norway	62 049	62 049	2.319
Poland	23 974	23 974	0.896
Portugal	25 232	25 232	0.943
Romania	3 345	3 345	0.125
Russian Federation	73 394	73 394	2.743
Slovakia	3 024	3 024	0.113
Slovenia	4 575	4 575	0.171
Spain	142 105	142 105	5.311
Sweden	51 293	51 293	1.917
Switzerland	73 849	73 849	2.760
Ukraine	19 934	19 934	0.745
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	318 084	318 084	11.888
Total	2 675 678	2 675 678	100.000

^a As contained in decision 8/CMP.11.8th plenary meeting
10 December 2015

Resolution 1/CMP.11

Expression of gratitude to the Government of the French Republic and the people of the city of Paris

Draft resolution submitted by Morocco

The Conference of the Parties and the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Having met in Paris from 30 November to 11 December 2015 at the invitation of the Government of the French Republic,

1. *Express their profound gratitude* to the Government of the French Republic for having made it possible for the twenty-first session of the Conference of the Parties and the eleventh session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol to be held in Paris;
2. *Request* the Government of the French Republic to convey to the city and people of Paris the gratitude of the Conference of the Parties and the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol for the hospitality and warmth extended to the participants.

*9th plenary meeting
13 December 2015*