

## **Submission to UNFCCC: IFOAM's views on the current state of scientific knowledge on how to enhance the adaptation of agriculture to climate change impacts while promoting rural development, sustainable development and productivity of agricultural systems<sup>1</sup>**

IFOAM is the global umbrella organization for organic food and farming representing approximately 800 member organizations in over 120 countries worldwide. Its mission is to lead, unite and assist the organic movement in its full diversity. Its goal is the worldwide adoption of ecologically, socially and economically sound systems that are based on the principles of Organic Agriculture. IFOAM is a long term admitted observer organization to the UNFCCC and is highly active in the other key UN processes related to food and agriculture including the CFS, UNEP, UNCCD, UNCBD, CGIAR and FAO where it has liaison status since 1997. IFOAM therefore brings a joined-up and multi-disciplinary approach to global agriculture policy processes.

This submission sets out in four sections:

- (a) Key messages
- (b) The current state of scientific knowledge on Organic Agriculture and Adaptation and Resilience
- (c) The current state of scientific knowledge on Organic Agriculture and Climate Change Mitigation
- (d) References and Literature cited

### **(a) Key messages**

#### **1. UNFCCC to lead with FAO and the CFS a work programme on Agriculture**

At the 39th meeting of the Committee on World Food Security (CFS) the Committee recognized the role of the UNFCCC<sup>2</sup> as the key competent forum to deal with climate change. The Committee in taking into account the urgent need for actions to address the effects of climate change on food security with the progressive realization of the right to food in the context of national food security invited Member States (MS), International

---

<sup>1</sup> **Background to Submission:** As outlined in United Nations document [FCCC/SBSTA/2013/L.20](#), the Thirty-eighth session Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) in Bonn, during June 2013 invited Parties and admitted observer organizations to submit to the secretariat, by 2 September 2013, their views on the current state of scientific knowledge on how to enhance the adaptation of agriculture to climate change impacts while promoting rural development, sustainable development and productivity of agricultural systems and food security in all countries, particularly in developing countries. This should take into account the diversity of the agricultural systems and the differences in scale as well as possible adaptation co-benefits.

<sup>2</sup> **CFS 39 Final Report:**

[http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/user\\_upload/bodies/CFS\\_sessions/39th\\_Session/39emerg/MF027\\_CFS\\_39\\_FINAL\\_REP\\_ORIGINAL\\_compiled\\_E.pdf](http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/user_upload/bodies/CFS_sessions/39th_Session/39emerg/MF027_CFS_39_FINAL_REP_ORIGINAL_compiled_E.pdf)

Organizations (IO) and other CFS stakeholders, as appropriate, to support the consideration of food security within the UNFCCC activities, in accordance with its mandate and in the context of the objectives, principles and provisions of that convention, including by inviting FAO to continue collaboration with the UNFCCC Secretariat including through the provision of sound technical information on food security issues ([CFS, 2012](#)).

In accordance with its status as the key competent authority to deal with climate change IFOAM calls for the UNFCCC to undertake a comprehensive work programme on enhancing the adaptation of agriculture to climate change impacts while promoting rural development, sustainable development and productivity of agricultural systems and food security in all countries, particularly in developing countries, in conjunction with FAO and the CFS as well as international organizations, CFS stakeholders and the UNFCCC Farmers Constituency – including IFOAM.

In accordance with its status as the key competent authority to deal with climate change IFOAM calls for the UNFCCC to undertake a comprehensive work programme on enhancing the adaptation of agriculture to climate change impacts while promoting rural development, sustainable development and productivity of agricultural systems and food security in all countries, particularly in developing countries, in conjunction with FAO and the CFS as well as international organizations, CFS stakeholders and the UNFCCC Farmers Constituency – including IFOAM.

## **2. Support Organic Agriculture – the low cost tool for addressing mitigation, adaptation, resilience, food security and sustainable rural development**

- Soils are the greatest carbon sink after the oceans but unlike the oceans, soil desperately needs carbon (Lal, R, 2008). Soil carbon sequestration is estimated by the IPCC to be 90% of the technical mitigation potential of agriculture (*IPCC AR4, 2007*),
- FAO recognize organic agriculture as highly effective in sequestering carbon and having multiple benefits for adaptation, sustainable development and food security (FAO, 2009),
- Organic practices are low cost, highly accessible to farmers as they are based largely on local resources and already used by millions of farmers worldwide (UNCTAD & UNEP, 2008)
- FAO states that soil carbon increases fertility, water retention and the structure of soils, leading to better yields and greater resilience (FAO, 2009),
- FAO state that countries or regions with large food insecure populations also tend to have large “carbon-gaps,” which result in low-yield production and increased climate vulnerability (FAO, 2009),
- UN studies show that training farmers in the use of basic organic practices in drought prone regions of Africa lead to average yield increases of over 100% (UNCTAD & UNEP, 2008)
- UNCTAD and UNEP concluded that organic agriculture can be more conducive to food security in Africa than most conventional production systems leading to the

African Union adopting a decision in 2011 to support organic agriculture (UNCTAD & UNEP, 2008)

- FAO state that organic practices are one of the most promising options for early action on climate mitigation as they are readily available for scaling-up and are highly cost-effective compared to other approaches (FAO, 2009)
- The global average soil carbon sequestration rate of organic agriculture according to a peer reviewed meta analysis study is 2,000 Kg of CO<sub>2</sub> per hectare per year (Gattinger et al., 2012)
- IPCC estimates that 74% of the technical mitigation potential of agriculture lies in developing countries (*IPCC AR4, 2007*),.
- **If organic agriculture was utilized in all non-Annex 1 countries organic agriculture could restore degraded lands, build soil fertility, increase water availability and resilience, address hunger and sustainable rural development and sequester up to an estimated 7 Gt of CO<sub>2</sub> per year in their soils. If all countries were to apply organic practices to their agricultural soils the annual global rate of sequestration could be up to an estimated 10 Gt of CO<sub>2</sub> per year**

### **3. Train farmers in organic practices**

FAO hunger and carbon-gap maps show a huge correlation between hunger and degraded soils depleted of organic matter. Given that 74% of the mitigation potential of agriculture lies in developing countries (*IPCC AR4, 2007*), the UNFCCC could make a huge contribution to food security, adaptation and resilience while helping to close the mitigation gap if organic agriculture practices are supported. The success of enhancing the adaptation of agriculture to climate change impacts while promoting rural development, sustainable development and productivity of agricultural systems and food security in all countries, particularly in developing countries is largely dependent upon the effective and appropriate strengthening of the world's 450 million smallholder farms. IFOAM calls for the world's smallholder farmers and social movements at both the national and local levels to be at the center of discussions and initiatives that strengthen their ability to adapt to the impacts of climate change including through appropriate and adequate capacity building and knowledge and technology transfer.

Training farmers in basic organic practices can restore degraded lands, build soil fertility, increase water availability and resilience, address hunger and sustainable development and reduce GHG emissions and significantly increase captures through soil sequestration. Providing assistance with market development for agriculture products in parallel, especially local markets, can stimulate sustainable rural development.

### **4. Engage with the global organic agriculture community**

IFOAM launched an international science-based collaborative initiative during CoP15 to facilitate research and development in agriculture adaptation and mitigation in agriculture. [The Round Table on Organic Agriculture and Climate Change](#) is an important resource that the UNFCCC can utilize in its activities with regard to agriculture and food security. Furthermore IFOAM has a global network of farmer organizations and practitioners that can be mobilized to support the wider adoption of organic agriculture practices for change

impacts while promoting rural development, sustainable development and productivity of agricultural systems.



**FAO state** in their policy brief “Harvesting Agriculture’s Multiple Benefits: Mitigation, Adaptation, Development and Food Security’ that **agricultural management practices, including those employed in organic and conservation agriculture, capture carbon from the atmosphere and store it in agricultural soils.** These practices involve increasing the organic matter in soils, of which carbon is a main component. **This increases fertility, water retention and the structure of soils, leading to better yields and greater resilience.”**

**UNEP and UNCTAD** in their publication entitled **Organic Agriculture and Food Security in Africa** state; **“Organic agricultural methods and technologies are ideally suited for many poor, marginalized smallholder farmers in Africa,** as they require minimal or no external inputs, use locally and naturally available materials to produce high-quality products, and encourage a whole systemic approach to farming that is more diverse and resistant to stress. **Organic agriculture can increase agricultural productivity and can raise incomes with low-cost, locally available and appropriate technologies.**





**By mimicking natural ecosystems, organic production systems can harness huge synergies that are abundantly present in nature.** Grasslands and migrating animals for example co-evolved and in doing so exploited natural functions to create synergies that are also available to farmers. **Consequently organic grasslands and pastures based livestock systems can produce abundant meat while sequestering carbon in quantities similar to trees.** Organic Agriculture emits much lower levels of greenhouse gases, and quickly, affordably and effectively sequesters carbon in the soil.

## **(b) The current state of scientific knowledge on Organic Agriculture and Adaptation and Resilience**

### **Adaptation and Resilience: Greater resilience in adverse conditions**

Organic agriculture has a role in the many strategies that are needed to ensure food security and the viability of farming in the predicted climate extremes that will occur with climate change.

Published studies show that organic farming systems are more resilient to the predicted weather extremes and can produce higher yields than conventional farming systems in such conditions (Drinkwater, Wagoner and Sarrantonio 1998; Welsh, 1999; Pimentel, 2005). For instance, the Wisconsin Integrated Cropping Systems Trials found that

organic yields were higher in drought years and the same as conventional in normal weather years (Posner et al., 2008).

Similarly, the Rodale Farm System Trial (FST) showed that the organic systems produced more corn than the conventional system in drought years. The average corn yields during the drought years were from 28% to 34% higher in the two organic systems. The yields were 6,938 and 7,235 kg per ha in the organic animal and the organic legume systems, respectively, compared with 5,333 kg per ha in the conventional system (Pimentel, 2005). The researchers attributed the higher yields in the dry years to the ability of the soils on organic farms to better absorb rainfall. This is due to the higher levels of organic carbon in those soils, which makes them more friable and better able to store and capture rainwater, which can then be used for crops (La Salle and Hepperly, 2008).

#### **Adaptation and Resilience: Improved efficiency of water use**

Research shows that organic systems use water more efficiently due to better soil structure and higher levels of humus and other organic matter compounds (Lotter, Seidel and Liebhart, 2003; Pimentel, 2005). Lotter and colleagues collected data for over 10 years during the Rodale FST. Their research showed that the organic manure system and organic legume system (LEG) treatments improve the soils' water-holding capacity; infiltration rate and water capture efficiency. The LEG maize soils averaged 13% higher water content than conventional system (CNV) soils at the same crop stage, and 7% higher than CNV soils in soy- bean plots (Lotter, Seidel and Liebhart, 2003).

The more porous structure of organically treated soil allows rainwater to quickly penetrate the soil, resulting in less water loss from run-off and higher levels of water capture. This was particularly evident during the two days of torrential downpours during hurricane Floyd in September 1999, when the organic systems captured approximately double the water than that captured by the conventional systems (Lotter, Seidel and Liebhart, 2003).

#### **Adaptation and Resilience: Composting**

The term organic farming is derived from the fact that organic farming systems improve soil health and fertility through the recycling of organic matter. There is a very strong body of evidence, which shows that the addition of organic matter improves soil organic carbon (SOC) levels and this is more effective than synthetic, water-soluble fertilizers. Lal (2007:822) provides an extensive list from the scientific literature that demonstrates this:

‘Application of manures and other organic amendments is another important strategy of SOC sequestration. Several long-term experiments in Europe have shown that the rate of SOC sequestration is greater with application of organic manures than with chemical fertilizers (Jenkinson 1990; Witter et al. 1993; Christensen 1996; Korschens & Muller 1996; Smith et al. 1997). Increase in the SOC pool in the 0–30 cm depth by long-term use of manure compared to chemical fertilizers was 10% over 100 years in Denmark (Christensen 1996), 22% over 90 years in Germany (Korschens & Muller 1996), 100% over 144 years at

Rothamsted, UK (Jenkinson 1990) and 44% over 31 years in Sweden (Witter et al. 1993). The data from Morrow plots in Illinois indicated that manured plots contained 44.6 Mg/ha more SOC than unmanured control (Anderson et al. 1990). In Hungary, Arends & Casth (1994) observed an increase in SOC concentration by 1.0–1.7% by manuring. Smith et al. (1997) estimated that application of manure at the rate of 10 Mg/ha to cropland in Europe would increase the SOC pool by 5.5% over 100 years. In Norway, Uhlen (1991) and Uhlen & Tveitnes (1995) reported that manure application would increase SOC sequestration at the rate of 70–227 Kg/ha per year over a 37–74-year period.’

Composting was pioneered by the organic farming movement through the work of Sir Albert Howard in the 1930s and 40s and then strongly promoted by Jerome Rodale in his numerous publications, especially in ‘Organic Farming and Gardening’ that was the widely read around the world. (Rodale, 2011)

There is an increasing body of evidence that composts are superior to raw manures in increasing the level of soil organic matter. The Rodale Institute studies have demonstrated that good organic practices using raw manures and cover crops can sequester 3,596.6 kg of CO<sub>2</sub> /ha/yr and that when compost is added this increases to 8,220.8 kg of CO<sub>2</sub> /ha/yr (La Salle and Hepperly 2008).

#### **Adaptation and Resilience: Diverse cropping systems**

Another critical aspect of organic production is the use of diverse cropping systems. Certified organic production systems prohibit continuous monocultures in cropping systems. Every certified organic farm needs to have a management plan that outlines their crop (and stock) rotation systems. Lal (2007:822) cites the scientific literature to indicate that this does make a difference:

‘Soils under diverse cropping systems generally have a higher SOC pool than those under monoculture (Dick et al. 1986; Buyanoski et al. 1997; Drinkwater et al. 1998; Buyanoski & Wagner 1998). Elimination of summer fallow is another option for minimizing losses of the SOC pool (Delgado et al. 1998; Rasmusen et al. 1998). Growing a winter cover crop enhances soil quality through SOC sequestration. In the UK, Fullen & Auerswald (1998) reported that grass leys set aside increased SOC concentration by 0.02% per year for 12 years. In Australia, Grace & Oades (1994) observed that the SOC pool in the 0–10 cm layer increased linearly with increase in frequency of pasture in the crop rotation cycle. In comparison with continuous cropping, incorporation of cover crops in the rotation cycle enhanced SOC concentration in the surface layer by 15% in Sweden (Nilsson 1986), 23% in The Netherlands (Van Dijk 1982) and 28% in the UK (Johnston 1973) over [a] 12–28-year period. Similar results were reported by Lal et al. (1998) for the US cropland.’

#### **Adaptation and Resilience: Sustainable Land Management**

The highest percentage of soil carbon is contained in the first 10 cm of soil (Handrek, 1990; Handrek and Black, 2002; Stevenson, 1998). Soil loss and erosion from farming

systems is a leading concern around the world (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005; IAASTD, 2009). It is a major cause of loss of soil carbon due to highest levels of the soil organic matter being in the top layer if the soil.

Comparison studies have shown that organic systems demonstrate less soil loss due to better soil health, and are therefore able to maintain greater soil productivity than conventional farming systems (Reganold, Elliott and Unger, 1987; Reganold et al, 2001; Mader et al., 2002; Pimentel, 2005). Reganold, Elliott and Unger compared the effects of organic and conventional farming on particular properties of the same soil over a long period and found that, ‘...the organically-farmed soil had significantly higher organic matter content, thicker topsoil depth, higher polysaccharide content, lower modulus of rupture and less soil erosion than the conventionally-farmed soil’ (Reganold et al, 1987:370).

Critics of organic systems point to conventional, no-till production systems as superior to organic systems because the latter use tillage. To our knowledge there is only one published study comparing conventional, no-till with organic tillage systems. The researchers found that the organic system had better soil quality. According to Teasdale, Coffman and Mangum (2007:1304) ‘... the OR [organic] system improved soil productivity significantly as measured by corn yields in the uniformity trial ... [The] higher levels of soil C and N were achieved despite the use of tillage (chisel plow and disk) for incorporating manure and of cultivation (low- residue sweep cultivator) for weed control... the results suggest that systems that incorporate high amounts of organic inputs from manure and cover crops can improve soils more than conventional no-tillage systems despite reliance on a minimum level of tillage.’

The latest improvement in organic low/no-till systems developed by the Rodale Institute shows that these systems can deliver high yields as well as excellent environmental outcomes (Rodale, 2006; Moyer 2011).

### **(c) The current state of scientific knowledge on Organic Agriculture and Climate Change Mitigation**

Currently agriculture is a significant contributor to greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and therefore to climate change. Organic agriculture has the potential to help agriculture to become a net sequester of GHGs and to assist in building resilience and adaptation in farming systems. Organic farming has a range of practices that are regarded as essential to allowing the system to be certified or classed as organic. Most of these practices are easily transferable to other farming systems and many of them are now being adopted under the emerging title of Climate Smart Agriculture (FAO, 2012). The international organic agriculture movement has already set out in its submission to SBSTA in March 2012, the potential of existing organic based practices and systems (technologies) to help Parties identify practical and low-cost ways to raise mitigation ambition while building resilience and adaptation in farming systems especially suitable for the worlds smallholders farmers. This submission re-iterates this adaptation and mitigation potential.

**Mitigation: Soils as a carbon sink**

Soils are the greatest carbon sink after the oceans. According to Professor Rattan Lal of Ohio State University there are over 2,700 Gt of carbon is stored in soils worldwide. This is considerably more than the combined total of 780 Gt in the atmosphere and the 575 Gt in biomass (Lal, 2008).

The amount of CO<sub>2</sub> in the oceans is already causing a range of problems, particularly for species with calcium exoskeletons such as coral. Scientists are concerned that the increase in acidity caused by higher levels of CO<sub>2</sub> is damaging these species and threatens the future of marine ecosystems such as the Great Barrier Reef and its fish-stocks. The world's oceans, like the atmosphere, cannot absorb any more CO<sub>2</sub> without causing potentially serious environmental damage to many aquatic ecosystems (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007).

Despite the fact that soil is the largest repository of carbon after the oceans and has the potential to sequester more CO<sub>2</sub> than biomass, neither soil nor agriculture is incorporated in any formal agreement of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC).

This needs to be changed because according to the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization 'Agri-culture not only suffers the impacts of climate change, it is also responsible for 14 percent of global greenhouse gas emissions. But agriculture has the potential to be an important part of the solution, through mitigation - reducing and/or removing - a significant amount of global emissions. Some 70 percent of this mitigation potential could be realized in developing countries.' (FAO, 2012)

**Mitigation: Soil carbon sequestration through agricultural practices**

Two independent global meta reviews have looked at the average amount of CO<sub>2</sub> sequestered by organic farming systems compared to conventional systems.

A preliminary study by FiBL, published by FAO, collated 45 peer reviewed comparison trials between organic and conventional systems that used 280 data sets (FAO, 2011). These studies included data from grasslands, arable crops and permanent crops in several continents. A simple analysis of the data shows that on average that the organic systems had higher levels of soil carbon sequestration (Gattinger et al, 2011).

Dr. Andreas Gattinger and colleagues wrote (2011:16): 'In soils under organic management, the soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks averaged 37.4 tonnes C ha<sup>-1</sup>, in comparison to 26.7 tonnes C ha<sup>-1</sup> under non-organic management.' This means that the average difference between the two management systems (organic and conventional) was 10.7 tonnes of C.

Using the accepted formula that SOC x 3.67= CO<sub>2</sub> 39.269 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> was sequestered in the organic systems per hectare each year than in the conventional systems.

The average duration of management of all included studies was 16.7 years (Gattinger et al, 2011). This means that an average of 2,351 kgs of CO<sub>2</sub> was sequestered per hectare



every year in the organic system compared to the conventional system.

Another study by the United Kingdom based Soil Association found that average organic farming practices removed about 2,200 kg of CO<sub>2</sub> per hectare per year. This is critical information as it clearly shows that organic farmers are currently sequestering significant amounts of carbon. Most importantly, this is not based on untested concepts like “carbon capture and storage” and “clean coal”; it is based on current practices that can be readily adopted by other farmers per hectare per year (Azeez, 2009).

**Mitigation: Closing the mitigation gap – the potential of organic practices**

Based on these figures, the widespread adoption of current organic practices globally has the potential to sequester almost 10 Gt of CO<sub>2</sub> per year which is around 20 per cent of the world’s current annual GHG emissions.

Farm system	Hectares
Grassland	3,356,940,000 hecatres
Arable crops	1,380,515,000 hecatres
Permanent crops	146,242,000 hecatres
Total	4,883,697,000 hecatres
Source: (FAO, 2010)	

Organic @ 2 tons per hectare: 9.76 Gt of CO<sub>2</sub> (Gattinger et al., 2012)

Annual GHG emissions: 49 Gt of CO<sub>2</sub>e (IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4), 2007)

**Mitigation: Potential exists for higher levels of CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration**

All data sets that use averaging have outlying data. These are examples that are significantly higher or significantly lower than the average. They are always worth examining to find out why. Research into them will allow an understanding on what practices significantly increase soil carbon and those that decrease or do not increase it.

There are several examples of significantly higher levels of carbon sequestration than the averages quoted in the studies above. The Rodale Institute in Pennsylvania, USA, has been conducting long-running comparisons of organic and conventional cropping systems for over 30 years that confirm that organic methods are effective at removing CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere and fixing it as organic matter in the soil. La Salle and Hepperly (2008:5) wrote:

‘In the FST [Rodale Institute farm systems trial] organic plots, carbon was sequestered into the soil at the rate of 875lbs/ac/year in a crop rotation utilizing raw manure, and at a

rate of about 500lbs/ac/year in a rotation using legume cover crops.

During the 1990s, results from the Compost Utilization Trial (CUT) at Rodale Institute – a 10-year study comparing the use of composts, manures and synthetic chemical fertilizer – show that the use of composted manure with crop rotations in organic systems can result in carbon sequestration of up to 2,000lbs/ ac/year. By contrast, fields under standard tillage relying on chemical fertilizers lost almost 300 pounds of carbon per acre per year.’ (La Salle and Hepperly 2008:5).

Converting these figures into kilograms of CO<sub>2</sub> sequestered per hectare using the accepted conversion rate of 1 pound per acre = 1.12085116 kg/ha and soil organic carbon x 3.67= CO<sub>2</sub>, gives the following results:

- The FST based on conventional plots using standard tillage and chemical fertilizers lost almost 300 pounds of carbon per acre per year. This is equivalent to a negative sequestration rate or emissions of 1,234.1kg of CO<sub>2</sub> /ha/yr.
- The FST legume based organic plots showed that carbon was sequestered into the soil at the rate of about 500 lbs/ac/year. This is equivalent to a positive sequestration rate or captures of 2,055.2kg of CO<sub>2</sub> /ha/yr.
- The FST manured organic plots showed that carbon was sequestered into the soil at the rate of 875lbs/ ac/year. This is equivalent to a positive sequestration rate or captures of 3,596.6 kg of CO<sub>2</sub> /ha/yr.
- The Compost Utilization Trial; showed that carbon was sequestered into the soil at the rate of 2,000lbs/ac/year. This is equivalent to a positive sequestration rate or captures of 8,220.8 kg of CO<sub>2</sub>/ha/yr.

Thus there are significant benefits and adverse effects depending on the type of farming systems adopted. Chemical based inputs resulted in loss of soil carbon whereas organic inputs, which in many cases can be sourced on farm, not only avoided emissions caused by the chemicals inputs but also significantly increased net sequestration with composting being the most effective. The legume based organic plots showed sequestration rates consistent with those expected for organic systems and could be much higher if combined with either manure or compost inputs.

### **Mitigation: The Potential in desert climates**

Sekem is the oldest biodynamic farm in Egypt. It was founded in 1977 by Dr. Ibrahim Abouleish. The Louis Bolk Institute and Soil & More, two organizations based in the Netherlands, have made a study to calculate soil carbon sequestration at Sekem. Their results show that on average Sekem’s management practices have resulted in 900 kgs of Carbon being stored in the soil per hectare per year in the fields that were 30 years old. Using the accepted formula of Soil Organic Carbon x 3.67 = CO<sub>2</sub>, this means that Sekem has sequestered 3,303 kgs of CO<sub>2</sub> per hectare per year for 30 years. (Luske and van der Kamp, 2009; Koopmans et al, 2011).

Based on these figures, the widespread adoption of Sekem’s practices globally has the potential to sequester 16 Gt of CO<sub>2</sub>, which is around 30% of the world’s current annual greenhouse gas emissions into soils. (4,883,697,000ha x 3,303 kgs = 16.1 gt CO<sub>2</sub>/ha/yr)

Researchers at the Royal Thai Organic Project near Chiang Mai in Thailand have managed to increase their soil organic matter levels from 1 per cent to 5 per cent over a period of eight years (personal communication). This means that 187.2 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> /ha has been sequestered through this project, which equates to 2 23.4 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> /ha/yr. If this was applied globally, it would sequester 114 Gt CO<sub>2</sub>/ha/yr – more than double the world's current annual GHG emissions. (4,883,697,000 ha x 23.4 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> /ha/yr = 114 Gt CO<sub>2</sub> /ha/yr).

### **Mitigation: The potential in tropical climates**

There is an emerging body of science showing that the most stable fractions of soil carbon are stored deeper in the soil than most of the current soil carbon measurements used on farms. Most soil tests tend to work at a depth of around 15 to 20 cm as this is the usual root zone for many crops. Research is finding that a significant amount of carbon is stored at lowered depths and this tends to be very stable.

### **Mitigation: The potential of deeper carbon systems**

Research by Rethemeyer and colleagues using radiocarbon techniques to analyze various soil carbon fractions indicated a progressive enrichment of stable organic compounds with increasing soil depth to 65 cm. (Rethemeyer et al, 2005).

Research by Professor Rattan Lal and colleagues from Ohio State University compared carbon levels between no-till and conventional tillage fields and found that, in some cases, carbon storage was greater in conventional tillage fields. The key is soil depth.

They compared the carbon storage between no-till and plowed fields with the plow depth - the first 8 inch-es (20cm) of the soil the carbon storage was generally much greater in no-till fields than in plowed fields. When they examined 12 inches (30cm) and deeper, they found more carbon stored in plowed fields than in no-till.

The researchers found that measuring soil carbon is ineffective in establishing the carbon content of soils. They recommended measuring carbon up to 1 meter below the soil surface to get a more accurate assessment of soil carbon. (Christopher, Lal and Mishra, 2009)

According to Gattinger and colleagues (2011:16) ‘Researchers working on the long term comparison trials between organic and convention farming systems in Switzerland (the DOK trials), found that when rotation phases that contained two years of deep-rooting grass-clover leys, that 64 percent of the total SOC stocks are deposited between 20–80 cm soil depths. In many parts of the world, organic farming systems are relying on the soil fertility build-up of deep-rooting grass-legume mixtures and on the incorporation of plant residues by deep-digging earthworms, making it quite likely that the currently available data sets underestimate the SOC stocks in organically managed soils. This is particularly significant considering that in deeper soil horizons, SOC seems to be more stabilized.’

### **Mitigation: The potential of grazing systems**

The majority of the world's agricultural lands (68.7%) are used for grazing (FAO, 2010).

There is an emerging body of published evidence showing that pastures and permanent ground cover swards in perennial horticulture build up soil organic carbon faster than any other farming system and with correct management this is stored deeper in the soil. (Fließbach et al, 1999, Sanderman et al, 2010)

One of the significant reasons for this has been the higher proportion of plants that use the C<sub>4</sub> pathway of photosynthesis as this makes them more efficient at collecting CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere, especially in warmer and drier climates. According to Osborne and Beerling (2006:173) ‘Plants with the C<sub>4</sub> photosynthetic pathway dominate today’s tropical savannahs and grasslands, and account for some 30% of global terrestrial carbon fixation. Their success stems from a physiological CO<sub>2</sub>-concentrating pump, which leads to high photosynthetic efficiency in warm climates and low atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.’

This knowledge is now being applied in innovative ways such as holistic stock management, evergreen farming, agro forestry in pastures and pasture cropping.

### **Mitigation: The potential of ‘pasture cropping’**

Pasture cropping works on the principle that annuals grow naturally through perennial pastures in their normal cycles. It is not the purpose of this paper to explain the technical details on how it’s being successfully implemented in a wide variety of climates and soil types around the world. The critical issue for this paper, however is to present the preliminary data on soil carbon sequestration so that the potential of this system can be further researched.

Research by Jones at Winona, the property of Colin and Nick Seis in NSW, Australia, that uses a combination of pasture cropping and holistic stock management shows that 168.5 t/ha of CO<sub>2</sub> was sequestered over 10 years. The sequestration rate for last two of the ten years (2009 and 2010) was 33 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> /ha/ yr (Jones, 2011). This system can be and is being successfully used in both arable and pastures systems including horticulture. If this was applied around the world, it could potentially sequester 82 Gt of CO<sub>2</sub>/ha/2 yr. (4,883,697,000 ha X 16.85 tonnes = 82 Gt). This is significantly more than the world’s GHG emissions of 49 Gt and would help reverse climate change. The increase in soil carbon will also significantly improve the production and adaption capacities of global grazing systems.

### **Mitigation: The urgent need for more peer reviewed research**

It is not the intention of this paper to use the above types of generic exercises of globally extrapolating data as scientific proof of what can be achieved by scaling-up organic systems. These types of very simple analyses are useful for providing a conceptual idea of the considerable potential of organic farming to reduce GHG emissions on a landscape scale. The critical issue here is that urgent peer reviewed research is needed to understand how and why (and for the skeptics – if) these systems sequester significant levels of CO<sub>2</sub> then look at how to apply the findings for scaling-up on a global level in order to achieve a significant level of GHG mitigation.

The potential of these farming methods is enormous, considering that these data are based

on current practices.

### **Mitigation: Permanence**

One of the major debates around soil carbon is based on how it can meet permanence requirements. Soil carbon is a complex mix of fractions of various carbon compounds. Two of these, humus and charcoal (char), are very stable: research shows that they can last for thousands of years in the soil. Other fractions are less stable (labile) and can be easily volatilized into CO<sub>2</sub>. Soil carbon tends to volatilize into CO<sub>2</sub> in most conventional farming systems. However, correct management systems can continuously increase both the stable and labile fractions through a number of approaches, especially those discussed in this paper.

The research conducted by Jones at Winona showed that the majority of the newly increased soil carbon was in the stable fractions. She reported that 78 per cent of the newly sequestered carbon was in the non-labile (humic) fraction of the soil and this rendered it into highly stable long chain forms. Her research found that the carbon levels in the 0-10cm increments are from the recent decomposition of organic matter and formed short-chain unstable carbon. The carbon below 30cm was composed of the humic soil fraction and was highly stable (Jones, 2011). Jones's research is consistent with the findings of Christopher, Lal and Mishra, (2009) and Rethemeyer et al, (2005).

Long-term research conducted for more than 100 years at the Rothamsted Research Station in the United Kingdom and the University of Illinois Morrow Plots in the United States of America showed that the total soil carbon levels could steadily increase and then reach a new stable equilibrium in farming systems that use organic matter inputs. This means that good soil organic matter management systems build and maintain stable fractions over long time periods and therefore have a high degree of permanence. (Lal, 2007)

### **Mitigation: Synthetic nitrogen fertilizers degrade soil carbon**

Research shows, there is a direct link between the application of synthetic nitrogenous fertilizers and a decline in soil carbon.

Scientists at the University of Illinois analyzed the results of a 50-year agricultural trial and found that the application of synthetic nitrogen fertilizer had resulted in all the carbon residues from the crop disappearing, as well as an average loss of around 10,000 kg of soil carbon per hectare. This is around 36,700 kg of CO<sub>2</sub> per hectare over and above the many thousands of kilograms of crop residue that is converted into CO<sub>2</sub> every year (Khan et al., 2007; Mulvaney, Khan and Ellsworth, 2009). The researchers found that the higher the application of synthetic nitrogen fertilizer, the greater the amount of soil carbon lost as CO<sub>2</sub>. This is one of the major reasons why there is generally a decline in soil carbon in conventional agricultural systems. On the other hand there is a good body of research showing that using legumes and carbon based sources such as compost for increases the levels of soil organic carbon (La Salle and Hepperly 2008).

Researchers from North America and Europe have also shown that organic systems are more efficient in using nitrogen than conventional farming systems. Significantly,

because of this efficiency, very little nitrogen leaves the farms as GHGs or as nitrate that pollutes aquatic systems (Drinkwater, Wagoner and Sarrantonio, 1998; Mader et al, 2002).

### **Mitigation: Avoided emissions**

Currently most of the food and other products from farms are exported off the farm and sent to cities. The disposal of these organic residues in landfills is responsible for methane emissions. Methane is a significant greenhouse gas. The correct composting and bio-digester methods are now recognized as effective ways of avoiding methane emissions.

Research by FiBL is showing that more CO<sub>2</sub> e can be avoided by these methods than most other farming practices. (Gattinger et al, 2011)

For example at Sekem in Egypt, since January 2007, they have offset methane emissions through their com-post project. Through using the correct composting methods for organic materials they were able to reduce methane emissions by the equivalent of 303,757 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e. (Helmy Abouleish Personal Communication)

Composting the organic wastes in cities and transporting them to the farm brings multiple benefits in closing the nutrient cycle by returning the nutrients that are exported from the farm, avoiding methane emissions and increasing the rate of soil carbon sequestration.

## **(d) References and Literature cited**

*Anderson I (2010). Agricultural development, food security and climate change: Intersecting at a global crossroads.*

*Available at:*

*<http://web.worldbank.org/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/TOPICS/EXTSDNET/0,,contentMDK:22782615~pagePK:64885161~piPK:64884432~theSitePK:5929282,00.html>*

*Azeez G (2009). Soil carbon and organic farming. Bristol, Soil Association.*

*<http://www.soilassociation.org/Whyorganic/Climatefriendlyfoodandfarming/Soilcarbon/tabid/574/Default.aspx>*

*CFS 2012/39 FINAL REPORT*

*Drinkwater LE, Wagoner P and Sarrantonio M (1998). Legume-based cropping systems have reduced carbon and nitrogen losses. Nature, 396: 262–265.*

*FAO (2009), Policy Brief -Harvesting Agriculture's Multiple Benefits: Mitigation, Adaptation, Development & Food Security*

*FAO, (2010). YEARBOOK 2010, Rome, Italy. Accessed 24-01-2012 from:*

*<http://www.fao.org/economic/ess/ess-publications/ess-yearbook/ess-yearbook2010/yearbook2010-reources/en/>*

FAO, (2011). *Organic agriculture and climate change mitigation. A report of the Round Table on Organic Agriculture and Climate Change. December 2011, Rome, Italy.*

FAO, (2012). *Climate Smart Agriculture for Development*, accessed online 27-01-2012. <http://www.fao.org/climatechange/climatesmart/en/>

Fliessbach A, Imhof D, Brunner T & Wüthrich C, (1999). *Tiefenverteilung und zeitliche Dynamik der mikrobiellen Biomasse in biologisch und konventionell bewirtschafteten Böden. Regio Basiliensis 3, 253–263.*

Gattinger A, Müller A, Häni M, B Oehen B, Stolze M and Niggli U, (2011) *SOIL CARBON SEQUESTRATION OF ORGANIC CROP AND LIVESTOCK SYSTEMS AND POTENTIAL FOR ACCREDITATION By CARBON MARKETS*, published in *FAO, (2011). Organic agriculture and climate change mitigation. A report of the Round Table on Organic Agriculture and Climate Change. December 2011, Rome, Italy, pp10-32.*

A. Gattinger, A. Mueller, M. Haeni, C. Skinner, A. Fliessbach, N. Buchmann, P. Mäder, M. Stolze, P. Smith, N. El-Hage Scialabba, and U. Niggli (2012) *Enhanced top soil carbon stocks under organic farming*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.*

Handrek K (1990). *ORGANIC MATER AND SOILS. CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne, Australia (reprinted).*

Handrek K and Black N (2002). *GROWING MEDIA FOR ORNAMENTAL PLANTS AND TURF. UNSW Press, Sydney, Australia*

Hoegh-Guldberg O et al. (2007). *Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. Science, 318: 1737–1742.*

IAASTD (2009). *International assessment of agricultural knowledge, science and technology for development (IAASTD): synthesis report with executive summary: a synthesis of the global and sub-global IAASTD reports, Island Press, Washington, USA, pp59 -70*

IEA (2011). *Prospect of limiting the global increase in temperature to 2oC getting bleaker. Press release, 30 May. Available at: [http://www.iea.org/index\\_info.asp?id=1959.](http://www.iea.org/index_info.asp?id=1959)*

*IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4) (2007), Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report, Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Pachauri, R.K. and Reisinger, A. (Eds.),*

*IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland. p 36*  
[http://www.ipcc.ch/publications\\_and\\_data/ar4/syr/en/contents.html](http://www.ipcc.ch/publications_and_data/ar4/syr/en/contents.html)

Jones CE (2011). *Carbon that Counts (in publication). For preliminary data on Dr. Christine Jones research at Winona:*

<http://www.ofa.org.au/papers/JONES-Carbon-that-counts-20Mar11.pdf>

Khan SA et al. (2007). *The myth of nitrogen fertilization for soil carbon sequestration. Journal of Environmental Quality*, 36(6), 24 October: 1821–1832.

Koopmans CJ, Bos M M and Luske B (2010). *Resilience to a changing climate: carbon stocks in two organic farming systems in Africa*, Koopmans, In: Neuhoﬀ D, Halberg N, Rasmussen I, Hermansen L, Ssekyewa C and Mok Sohn S (eds). *Organic is Life – Knowledge for Tomorrow. Proceedings of the Third Scientific Conference of the International Society of Organic Agriculture Research (ISO FAR)*. 28 September

- 1. October 2011. Vol. 2 *Socio-Economy, Livestock, Food Quality, Agro-Ecology and Knowledge Dissemination*. Namyangju, Korea. pp. 273-276

Lal R (2007). *Carbon sequestration. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, 363(1492), 27 February: 815–830

Lal R (2008). *Sequestration of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in global carbon pools. Energy and Environmental Science*, 1: 86–100.

LaSalle T and Hepperly P (2008). *Regenerative organic farming: A solution to global warming. The Rodale Institute, Kutztown, PA, USA, p 5.*

Lotter DW, Seidel R and Liebhart W (2003). *The performance of organic and conventional cropping systems in an extreme climate year. American Journal of Alternative Agriculture*, 18(3):146–154.

Luske B and van der Kamp J (2009). *Carbon sequestration potential of reclaimed desert soils in Egypt, Soil and More International and the Heliopolis Academy and the Louis Bolk Institute, Department of Agriculture, Hoofdstraat 24, NL-3972 LA Driebergen, The Netherlands*

Mader P et al. (2002). *Soil fertility and biodiversity in organic farming. Science: Vol. 296 no. 5573 pp. 1694- 1697*

*Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, (2005). Ecosystems and Human Well-being: Synthesis. Island Press, Washington, DC, USA, pp. 6-9.*

Moyer J, (2011), *ORGANIC NO-TILL FARMING, Acres USA, Texas, USA*

Mulvaney RL, Khan SA and Ellsworth TR (2009). *Synthetic nitrogen fertilizers deplete soil nitrogen: A global dilemma for sustainable cereal production. Journal of Environmental Quality*, 38: 2295–2314.

Osborne CP, Beerling DJ (2006). *“Nature’s green revolution: the remarkable evolutionary rise of C<sub>4</sub> plants”*. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 361 (1465): 173–194



*Pimentel D et al. (2005). Environmental, energetic and economic comparisons of organic and conventional farming systems. Bioscience, 55(7):573-582.*

*Posner et al. (2008). Organic and conventional production systems in the Wisconsin Integrated Cropping Systems Trials: 1.1990 -2002. Agronomy Journal, Volume 100 issue 2, pp. 253-260.*

*Reganold J, Elliott L and Unger Y (1987). Long-term effects of organic and conventional farming on soil erosion. Nature, 330, 26 November: 370–372; Reganold JP et al. (2001). Sustainability of three apple production systems. Nature, 410: 926–930.*

*Rethemeyer J, Kramer C, Gleixner G, John B, Yamashita T, Flessa H, Andersen N, Nadeau M & Grootes P (2005). Transformation of organic matter in agricultural soils: radiocarbon concentration versus soil depth. Geoderma, 128: 94–105.*

*Rodale Institute (2003). Farm Systems Trial. Kutztown, PA.USA*

*Rodale Institute (2006) No-till revolution. Kutztown, PA. USA. Available at: [http://www.rodaleinstitute.org/no-till\\_revolution](http://www.rodaleinstitute.org/no-till_revolution).*

*Rodale (2011) [http://www.rodaleinstitute.org/about\\_us](http://www.rodaleinstitute.org/about_us)*

*Sanderman J, Farquharson R and Baldock J A, (2010) Soil carbon sequestration potential: a review for Australian agriculture CSIRO Land & Water Report P: iv [www.csiro.au/resources/Soil-Carbon-Sequestration-Potential-Report.html](http://www.csiro.au/resources/Soil-Carbon-Sequestration-Potential-Report.html)*

*Steer A (2011). Agriculture, food security and climate change: A triple win? Available at: [http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTSDNET/64884474-1244582297847/22752519/Hague\\_Opening\\_F.pdf](http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTSDNET/64884474-1244582297847/22752519/Hague_Opening_F.pdf).*

*Stevenson J (1998). Humus chemistry. In: SOIL CHEMISTRY, John Wiley & Sons Inc, New York, USA: p148.*

*Teasdale JR, Coffman CB and Mangum RW (2007). Potential long-term benefits of no-tillage and organic cropping systems for grain production and soil improvement. Agronomy journal. 2007 Sept-Oct, v. 99, no. 5, p. 1297-1305.*

*United Nations Conference on Trade and Development and United Nations Environment Programme (2008): Organic Agriculture & Food Security in Africa*

*UNFCCC (2011). The Cancun Agreements: An Assessment by the Executive Secretary of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Available at: <http://cancun.unfccc.int/>.*

*Welsh R (1999). The economics of organic grain and soybean production in the midwestern United States. Policy Studies Report No. 13, Henry A. Wallace Institute for Alternative Agriculture, Greenbelt, MA, USA.*

*WMO (2011) The World Meteorological Organisation, Greenhouse gas Bulletin, The*

*State of Greenhouse Gases in the Atmosphere, Based on Global Observations through 2010, No. 7, 21 November 2011,*  
[http://www.wmo.int/pages/prog/arep/gaw/ghg/documents/GHGbulletin\\_7\\_en.pdf](http://www.wmo.int/pages/prog/arep/gaw/ghg/documents/GHGbulletin_7_en.pdf)