

United Nations



Framework Convention on Climate Change Distr.: General 18 June 2012

English only

Annual status report of the annual inventory of Portugal

1. This status report was prepared by the expert review team as part of the initial check of the annual inventory submitted in accordance with decision 15/CMP.1. It reflects the content of the inventory submission of 2012 as originally submitted by the Party, and of the resubmission received by 27 May 2012.

2. In this report, the following abbreviations are used:

AAU: assigned amount unit CER: certified emission reduction CRF: common reporting format ERU: emission reduction unit GHG: greenhouse gas KP-LULUCF: Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, activities under the Kyoto Protocol ICER: long-term certified emission reduction LULUCF: land use, land-use change and forestry NIR: national inventory report RMU: removal unit SBDT: sectoral background data tables SEF: standard electronic format SOPU: solvent and other product use tCER: temporary certified emission reduction

Notation keys C: confidential IE: included elsewhere NA: not applicable NE: not estimated NO: not occurring

Greenhouse gases CO₂: carbon dioxide CH₄: methane N₂O: nitrous oxide HFCs: hydrofluorocarbons PFCs: perfluorocarbons SF₆: sulphur hexafluoride NO_X: nitrogen oxides CO: carbon monoxide NMVOCs: non-methane volatile organic compounds SO₂: sulphur dioxide



			GREENH	IOUSE GA	AS INVENT	ORY SUBMIS	SION				
		Date of 1	receipt	Date of re	esubmission	Comments					
	NIR	13 Apr	il 2012	25 May	2012						
tion	CRF tables ^a	13 Apr	il 2012	25 May	2012						
forma	Additional information ^b										
General information	CRF provided for years	1990–2	2010								
Gene	Base year or period ^c	1990	Base y	ear for H	IFCs, PFC	s and $SF_6^{\ d}$	1995	i			
	Gases covered	CO ₂	CH_4	N_2O	HFCs	PFCs	SF_6	NO_X	СО	NMVOCs	SO_2
		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	✓	~
NIR	Description	reporti	ng guide	lines ^e on	annual inv		lowing	incorpo	oration	dated UNFCC of the provisio	
	Language of NIR	Englisł	ı								
Missing source category larger than 7 per cent has been identified to the Kyoto Protocol										tified.	

^a CRF tables are contained in document FCCC/SBSTA/2006/9, annex II.

^b Additional information can be any information submitted by a Party in conjunction with the NIR or CRF tables.

 c Parties included in Annex I to the Convention undergoing the process of transition to a market economy may use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4, paragraph 6, of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.

 d A Party may select 1990 or 1995 as the base year for the fluorinated gases in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 8, of the Kyoto Protocol.

^{*e*} "Guidelines for the preparation of national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, Part I: UNFCCC reporting guidelines for annual inventories" (FCCC/SBSTA/2006/9).

f A Party has not reported an estimate for an Annex A category that accounted for 7 per cent or more of the Party's aggregate emissions (decision 15/CMP.1, para. 3(b)).

	SUBMISSION OF S	UPPLEMENTARY	INFORMATION UNI	DER ARTICLE 7.1	
		Date of receipt	Date of resubmission	Comments	
ion	KP-LULUCF information ^a	13 April 2012	25 May 2012	NIR, chapter 10.	
v information	KP-LULUCF CRF ^b	13 April 2012	25 May 2012	CRF provided for years:	1990, 2008– 2010
of supplementary	Information on Kyoto units (ERUs, CERs, RMUs and AAUs) ^c	13 April 2012	25 May 2012	NIR, chapter 11.	
of supp	SEF ^d with Kyoto units	13 April 2012			
Reporting o	Change in national system ^e	13 April 2012	25 May 2012	NIR, chapter 12.	
Repc	Change in national registry ^e	13 April 2012	25 May 2012	NIR, chapter 13.	
	Minimization of adverse impacts ^e	13 April 2012	25 May 2012	NIR, chapter 15.	

^{*a*} Parties are required to include in their annual submission information on anthropogenic GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks from LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol, and, if any, elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4, in accordance with the annex to decision 15/CMP.1, paragraphs 5–9.

^b Parties are required to report anthropogenic GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks from LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol, and, if any, elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4, using the agreed CRF tables adopted under decision 6/CMP.3. Parties may also elect to report these tables for the base year or 1990.

^c Parties are required to include in their annual submission information on ERUs, CERs, tCERs, ICERs, AAUs and RMUs, in accordance with the annex to decision 15/CMP.1, section I.E.

^d SEF for reporting Kyoto Protocol units, as adopted under decision 14/CMP.1 and required by the annex to decision 15/CMP.1, paragraph 11.

^{*e*} The requirements for Parties to report on changes in national systems in accordance with Article 5, paragraph 1, changes in national registries, and the minimization of adverse impacts in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 14, are set out in sections I.F, I.G and I.H, respectively, of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1.

		Provisio	ı of info	rmation for	the lates		PAR' l inve		ar in ti	he commo	on repo	orting format: 2010			
		Energy		Industrial pr	ocesses	SOPU		Agricult	ure	LULUC	F	KP-LULUCF ^a		Waste	
	Sectoral report	1	✓	2(I)	\checkmark	3	~	4	✓	5	✓	5(KP)	~	6	~
	tables			2(II)	~				_				1		
	Sectoral	1.A(a)	✓	2(I).A–G	~	3.A–D	~	4.A	✓	5.A	~	5(KP-I)A.1.1	~	6.A	~
	background data tables	1.A(b)	✓	2(II).C	~			4.B(a)	~	5.B	~	5(KP-I)A.1.2	~	6.B	~
		1.A(c)	~	2(II).E	~			4.B(b)	~	5.C	~	5(KP-I)A.1.3	~	6.C	~
		1.A(d)	✓	2(II).F	~			4.C	~	5.D	~	5(KP-I)A.2	~		
		1.B.1	✓			_		4.D	~	5.E	~	5(KP-I)A.2.1.	~		
		1.B.2	~					4.E	~	5.F	~	5(KP-I)B.1.	~		
		1.C	~					4.F	~	5 (I)	~	5(KP-I)B.2.	~		
les		Bunkers	separate	ely 🗸					_	5 (II)	~	5(KP-I)B.3.	~		
Tables				•						5 (III)	~	5(KP-I)B.4.	~		
										5 (IV)	~	5(KP-II)1	~		
										5 (V)	~	5(KP-II)2	~		
												5(KP-II)3	~		
												5(KP-II)4	~		
												5(KP-II)5	~		
												Accounting table	~		
	Summary tables	Summa	ry 1.A	✓	Summ	ary 1.B		~			Sum	mary 2		, ,	/
	Other tables	Summa	ry 3	~	Table 7	7 (Key cat	egorie	es) 🗸			Tabl	e 9(a) (Completeness))	ľ	/
		Table 10) (Trends	s) 🗸							Tabl	e 9(b) (Completeness)		
	Comments			I							1				

^{*a*} Parties are required to report anthropogenic GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks from LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol, and, if any, elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4, using the agreed CRF tables adopted under decision 6/CMP.3.

Provision of i	nformation for t	he lates	t reported	PAI inventory		ommon rep	orting fo	rmat: 2010 (continued)	
Provided for gases	CO ₂ 🖌	CH ₄ 1	/	N_2O	~	HFCs 🖌		PFCs 🗸	SFe	×
Provided for years	1990–2010	1990–2	2010	1990-	-2010	1995–2010		1997–2010	199	5-2010
Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion	Reference appro ✓	oach	Sectoral a	pproach	Difference m	ore than 2 p	per cent			n 2 per cent
Disaggregation by sp	ecies			HFCs ✓	L	PFCs ✓			SF ₆	
1 0				Actual ✓	Potential ✓	Actual ✓	Potenti ✓	al	Actual ✓	Potential ✓
	Summary table	1.A	~	Sectoral	report tables	~	Sector	al backgrour	ıd data table	s V
	Provided for gases Provided for years Comparison of CO ₂ From fuel combustion Disaggregation by sp Reporting of actual ar estimates in the catego and SF ₆	Provided for gases CO_2 Provided for years 1990–2010 Provided for years 1990–2010 Comparison of CO_2 Reference approximate of the second sec	Provided for gases CO_2 CH_4 Provided for years 1990–2010 1990–2010 Comparison of CO_2 Reference approach Comparison of CO_2 \checkmark Disaggregation by species Provided emission Disaggregation by species Reporting of actual and/or potential emission estimates in the category consumption of halo and SF_6 Used in Summary table 1.A	Provided for gases CO_2 CH_4 Provided for years 1990–2010 1990–2010 Comparison of CO_2 Reference approach Sectoral a Comparison of CO_2 \checkmark \checkmark Disaggregation by species \checkmark \checkmark Disaggregation by species Reporting of actual and/or potential emission estimates in the category consumption of halocarbons and SF ₆ \checkmark Used in Summary table 1.A \checkmark	Provided for gases CO_2 CH_4 N_2O Provided for years 1990–2010 1990–2010 1990–2010 Provided for years 1990–2010 1990–2010 1990–2010 Comparison of CO_2 Reference approach Sectoral approach Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2	Provided for gases CO_2 CH_4 N_2O Provided for years 1990–2010 1990–2010 1990–2010 Provided for years 1990–2010 1990–2010 1990–2010 Comparison of CO_2 Reference approach Sectoral approach Difference m Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Comparison of CO_2 Image:	Provided for gases CO_2 CH_4 N_2O $HFCs$ Provided for years 1990–2010 1990–2010 1990–2010 1995–2010 Provided for years 1990–2010 1990–2010 1990–2010 1995–2010 Comparison of CO_2 Reference approach Sectoral approach Difference more than 2 properties Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image:	Provided for gases CO_2 CH_4 N_2O $HFCs$ Provided for years 1990–2010 1990–2010 1990–2010 1995–2010 Comparison of CO_2 Reference approach Sectoral approach Difference more than 2 per cent Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2 Disaggeregation by species Image: Comparison of CO_2 Image: Comparison of CO_2	Provided for gases CO_2 CH_4 N_2O $HFCs$ $PFCs$ Provided for years1990–20101990–20101990–20101995–20101995–2010Provided for years1990–20101990–20101995–20101995–20101997–2010Comparison of CO_2 from fuel combustionReference approachSectoral approachDifference more than 2 per centIf difference Explanation providedComparison of CO_2 from fuel combustionImage: Sectoral approachDifference more than 2 per centIf difference more than 2 per centDisaggregation by speciesImage: Sectoral approachImage: Sectoral approachImage: Sectoral approachDisaggregation by speciesImage: Sectoral approachImage: Sectoral approachImage: Sectoral approachReporting of actual and/or potential emission estimates in the category consumption of halocarbons and SF_6ActualPotentialActualPotentialVised inSummary table 1.AImage: Sectoral report tablesImage: Sectoral backgroundImage: Sectoral backgroundSectoral background	Provided for years 1990–2010 1990–2010 1990–2010 1995–2010 1995–2010 1997–2010 1997 Comparison of CO_2 From fuel combustion FCO_2 From fuel combusti

6

	PART II Provision of common reporting format tables for years reported																
				Years						Prov	/15101	of c	omn	10 n 1	repor	ting format tables for y	years reported
				Base year ^a	0661	1995	2000	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Information gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
	Secto	oral report: tal	ble 1		<i>₁</i>	<i>\</i>	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√		
		Table 1.A(a)			~	~	~	~	~	~	~	√	~	~	~		
		Table 1.A(b)			~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
~		Table 1.A(c)			~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
Energy	SBDT	Table 1.A(d)			~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
	SB	Table 1.B.1			~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1995–2010. For these years the notation keys NO, IE are used.
		Table 1.B.2			~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	✓	
		Table 1.C			~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
	Secto		Table 2(I)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
	repoi		Table 2(II)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
ia.		Table 2(I).A-	-G		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	✓	
Industrial processes		Table 2(II).C			~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table. For the years 1990–1994 the notation keys NE, NO are used. For the years 1995–2010 the notation key NO is used.
Indust	SBDT	Table 2(II).E			~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table. For the years 1990–1994 the notation keys NO, NE are used. For the years 1995–2007 the notation key NO is used. For the years 2008–2010 the notation keys NO, NA are used.
		Table 2(II).F			~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990–1994. For these years the notation key NE is used.

								р	rovi	sion	ofco	mmo	n rei	orti	PART II	ears reported (continued)
	Years													<i></i>		
			Base year ^a	1990	1995	2000	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Information gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
t use	Secto	oral report: Table 3		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
Solvent	SOPU	Table 3.A–D		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table, the notation keys NE, NO, IE are used.
	Secto	oral report: Table 4		✓	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
	-	Table 4.A		✓	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
		Table 4.B(a)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
lture		Table 4.B(b)		✓	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
Agriculture	SBDT	Table 4.C		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	
	SB	Table 4.D		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
		Table 4.E		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table, the notation key NO is used.
		Table 4.F		✓	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	✓	~		

×

															PART II	
	Provision of common reporting													porti	ng format tables for ye	ears reported (continued)
			Years													
			Base year ^a	0661	1995	2000	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Information gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
	Secto	oral report: Table 5		✓	~	~	~	✓	~	~	~	~	~	~		
		Table 5.A		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
		Table 5.B		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
		Table 5.C		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
		Table 5.D		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
JCF		Table 5.E		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
LULUCF	SBDT	Table 5.F		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
		Table 5 (I)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table, the notation keys IE, NA are used.
		Table 5 (II)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table, the notation key NO is used.
		Table 5 (III)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
		Table 5 (IV)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
		Table 5 (V)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		

	PART I Provision of common reporting format ta														reported (continued)
		Years													
		Base year ^a	0661	1995	2000	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Information gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
Se	ectoral report: Table 5 (KP)		~								✓	~	~		
	Table 5 (KP-I) A.1.1										✓	~	~		
	Table 5 (KP-I)A.1.2										✓	~	~		
	Table 5 (KP-I) A.1.3										✓	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table, the notation key NE is used.
	Table 5 (KP-I) A.2										✓	~	~		
	Table 5 (KP-I) A.2.1										✓	~	~		
	Table 5 (KP-I) B.1										✓	~	~		
KP-LULUCF	Table 5 (KP-I) B.2		~								✓	~	~		
KP-LU	Table 5 (KP-I) B.3		~								✓	~	~		
Y	Table 5 (KP-I) B.4		~								✓	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table, the notation key NA is used.
	Table 5 (KP-II) 1										√	√	~		No numerical data are reported in this table, the notation key IE is used.
	Table 5 (KP-II) 2										√	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table, the notation key NO is used.
	Table 5 (KP-II) 3		~								√	√	~		
	Table 5 (KP-II) 4	1	~								√	~	~		
	Table 5 (KP-II) 5		~								√	√	~		
A	counting table										√	~	~		

															RT II	
			1				Prov	ision	of c	omm	on re	eport	ing f	orma	t tables for years repo	rted (continued)
			Years	1		1	1	1		1	1		1	1		
			Base year ^a	0661	1995	90	2003	24	<u> </u>	96	27	2008	2009	10	Information gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
	Saat	oral report: Table 6		19	19		<	< 2004	< 2005	< 2006	< 2007	<	120	<	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Comments
		-		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			
Waste		Table 6.A		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	V	✓	~	~		
W_{c}	SBDT	Table 6.B		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
		Table 6.C		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
		Summary 1.A		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
		Summary 1.B		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
		Summary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
tables		Summary 3 (Methods/emission factors)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	V	
other	T	Table 7 (Key categories)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
Summary and other tables	SBDT	Table 8(a) (Recalculation – recalculated data)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~			
Sun		Table 8(b) (Recalculation – explanatory information)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		V	
		Table 9(a) (Completeness)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
		Table 9(b) (Completeness)														
		Table 10 (Trends)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		

^{*a*} Parties included in Annex I to the Convention undergoing the process of transition to a market economy are allowed to use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4, paragraph 6, of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.

^b This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was owing to limited use, or lack, of notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C).

			PART III								
		Provision of infe	ormation relating to recalc	ulations							
Table 8(a) (Recalculated data) Comments											
Recalculation reported for years 1990–2009											
Recalculated sectors/gases	Energy	Industrial processes	Solvent and other product use	Agriculture	LULUCF	Waste					
CO ₂	✓	\checkmark	✓		✓	\checkmark					
CH_4	✓			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark					
N ₂ O	✓	×	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark					
HFCs		×									
PFCs		✓									
SF_6		✓									
Table 8(b) (Explanatory information)	✓	~	V	✓	V	✓					
Percentage difference in aggregate	e greenhouse gas	Base year estimate: ^a	with LULUCF:	6.17 %	without LULUCF:	1.10 %					
emission		Latest recalculated year: ^b	with LULUCF:	3.24 %	without LULUCF:	-0.39 %					

^a Parties included in Annex I to the Convention undergoing the process of transition to a market economy are allowed to use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4, paragraph 6, of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.
 ^b The latest recalculated year is the submission year minus 3.

12

		PART IV												
	Provision of information relating to KP-LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4 Provided Observation													
			Provided	Observation										
		ons by sources and removals by sinks are clearly distinguished from emissions from categories ed in Annex A to the Kyoto Protocol	~											
6		ation on how inventory methodologies have been applied taking into account IPCC good practice ice for LULUCF ^a and decision 16/CMP.1	~											
ohs 5–9	Inform	ation on geographical location of the boundaries of areas that encompass:												
ragraț		Units of land subject to activities under Article 3.3	✓											
annex, pa		Units of land subject to activities under Article 3.3, which would otherwise be included in land subject to elected activities under Article 3.4	~											
MP.I,		Land subject to elected activities under Article 3.4	✓											
sion 15/C		ation on the spatial assessment unit for determining the area of accounting for afforestation, station and deforestation	~											
Reporting requirements under decision 15/CMP.1, annex, paragraphs	geogra	ation on GHG emissions/removals resulting from activities under Article 3.3 and 3.4, for all phical locations reported in the current and previous years since the beginning of the commitment or the onset of the activity, whichever comes later	✓											
equiremen		ation on carbon pools (above-ground/below-ground biomass, litter, dead wood and soil organic) that are not accounted for	~											
sporting ru		ation on anthropogenic GHGs from LULUCF activities under Article 3.3 and 3.4 factoring out als from:												
R_{ℓ}		Elevated CO ₂ concentrations above pre-industrial levels	✓											
		Indirect nitrogen deposition	✓											
		The dynamic effects of age structure resulting from activities prior to 1 January 1990	✓											

^{*a*} The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Good Practice Guidance for Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry.

		PART IV		
		Provision of information relating to KP-LULUCF activities under Art	icle 3, paragi	raphs 3 and 4 (continued)
			Provided	Observation
6	Specifi	c information to be reported for Article 3.3 activities:		
graphs 5–		Activities under Article 3.3 that began on or after 1 January 1990 and before 31 December of the last year of the commitment period, and are directly human induced	~	
mex, para		How harvesting or forest disturbance that is followed by the re-establishment of forest is distinguished from deforestation	~	
CMP.1, an		Emissions/removals from lands harvested during the first commitment period following afforestation and reforestation on these units of land since 1990	~	
on 15/0	Specifi	c information to be reported for Article 3.4 activities:		
decisia		Activities under Article 3.4 that occurred since 1 January 1990 and are human induced	✓	
Reporting requirements under decision 15/CMP.I, annex, paragraphs		Cropland management, grazing land management, revegetation: emissions/removals reported for each year of the commitment period and for the base year for each of the elected activities on the geographical locations reported	~	
ng require		Emissions/removals from Article 3.4 activities that are not accounted for under activities under Article 3.3		Information required in paragraph 9(c) of the annex to 15/CMP.1 is not included in the submission.
Reportii		Forest management: information on the extent to which GHG removals by sinks offsets the debit incurred under Article 3.3	~	