

## **Ad Hoc Working Group on Long-term Cooperative Action under the Convention**

**Fourteenth session**

**Bangkok, 5–8 April 2011, and Bonn, 7–17 June 2011\***

Agenda item 3.2.2

**Nationally appropriate mitigation actions by developing country Parties**

### **Submissions from India**

1. During the second part of the fourteenth session of the Ad Hoc Working Group on Long-term Cooperative Action under the Convention, the secretariat received two submissions from a Party on agenda item 3.2.2. The secretariat was requested to issue a conference room paper containing these submissions.
2. These submissions are attached and reproduced<sup>1</sup> in the language in which they were received and without formal editing. The submissions have also been posted on the UNFCCC website.<sup>2</sup>

---

\* The third part of the fourteenth session of the Ad Hoc Working Group on Long-term Cooperative Action under the Convention will be held in conjunction with the third part of the sixteenth session of the Ad Hoc Working Group on Further Commitments for Annex I Parties under the Kyoto Protocol. For further information see <[http://unfccc.int/meetings/intersessional/panama\\_11/items/6092.php](http://unfccc.int/meetings/intersessional/panama_11/items/6092.php)>.

<sup>1</sup> The submissions have been electronically imported in order to make them available on electronic systems, including the World Wide Web. The secretariat has made every effort to ensure the correct reproduction of the text as submitted.

<sup>2</sup> <[http://unfccc.int/meetings/ad\\_hoc\\_working\\_groups/lca/items/6050.php](http://unfccc.int/meetings/ad_hoc_working_groups/lca/items/6050.php)>.

**India's written submission**  
**AWG-LCA Spin Off Group on Biennial Update Reports by Developing Country Parties**  
**Bonn, 14 June 2011**

- Biennial update reports by developing country parties are not independent reports; they are updates of information contained in the last national communication of the developing country party.
- The content and frequency of NATCOMs of Non Annex I countries should be differentiated and less onerous than the NATCOMs of Annex I Countries. Keeping this in view, NATCOMs are to be submitted according to a frequency, to be agreed.
- Preparation of national communications and the biennial update reports requires financial, technological and capacity building support. Support for preparation of NATCOMs and biennial update reports of developing country parties should be provided through a financing agency fully accountable to the Convention. In the light of the experience of and comments made by developing countries parties relating to the current support available for preparation of NATCOMs, prompt arrangements for providing financial resources for preparation of NATCOMs and biennial update reports should be made through the Green Climate Fund. This is necessary to implement the provisions of paragraph 60 (b) of Dec 1.CP 16.
- The scope of biennial update reports is captured in paragraph 60 (c) of Dec1/CP 16. This includes certain elements relating to the information to be submitted in the NATCOM of the country concerned.
- Mitigation actions, needs and the support required or sought should be reflected in the registry of mitigation actions set up under para 53 of the Dec 1/CP16. The progress made in implementing mitigation actions, assessment of needs and receiving of support may be reflected in the biennial update reports. This may include the progress on mitigation actions implemented with international support since the submission of last NATCOM.
- Since the registry has to perform the facilitation function for supporting the nationally appropriate mitigation actions, the registry should be an arm of the Green Climate Fund and should act as the financing window of the NAMAs.
- The setting up of the registry and the provision of prompt financial resources through the Green Climate Fund should be the basis for the developing country parties to take further steps for preparation of the NATCOMs as per agreed frequency and submission of biennial update reports.

**India's written submission  
AWG-LCA Spin Off Group on Registry for Developing Country Parties  
Bonn, 14 June 2011**

- Registry should be on top of our agenda in the run up to Durban.
  - As a first step, the INF I document containing information on nationally appropriate mitigation actions should be updated by the UNFCCC Secretariat to capture new inputs received since the INF document was last prepared.
  - Mitigation actions proposed by a developing country, and the support required or sought should be reflected in the registry.
  - The registry should receive proposals of the parties for mitigation actions, provide support, and facilitate matching of finance, technology and capacity building support for mitigation actions.
  - Since the registry has to perform the facilitation function for supporting the nationally appropriate mitigation actions, the registry should be an arm of the Green Climate Fund (GCF) and should act as the financing window of the NAMAs. This will satisfy the requirement of paragraph 57 of the Cancun Agreements.
  - The registry should provide information on the matching of mitigation actions with the support received.
  - Registry should be the basis of information reflected in the biennial update reports on progress on mitigation actions implemented with international support.
-