

Annual status report of the greenhouse gas inventory of Monaco

1. This status report was prepared by the secretariat as part of the initial check of the greenhouse gas inventory submitted in accordance with decision 19/CP.8. It reflects the content of the inventory submission of 2006 as originally submitted by the Party.

2. In this report, the following abbreviations are used:

CRF: common reporting formatLUCF: Land-use Change and ForestryLULUCF: Land Use, Land-use Change and ForestryNIR: national inventory reportSBDT: sectoral background data tables

Notation keys C: confidential IE: included elsewhere NA: not applicable NE: not estimated NO: not occurring Greenhouse gases CO₂: carbon dioxide CH₄: methane N₂O: nitrous oxide HFCs: hydrofluorocarbons PFCs: perfluorocarbons SF₆: sulphur hexafluoride NOx: nitrogen oxides CO: carbon monoxide NMVOCs: non-methane volatile organic compounds SO₂: sulphur dioxide

				Ι	NTR	ODUCTIO	DN								
_	Date of receipt	16 June 2	006												
atior	Format	CRF pro	vided	V	NI	IR provided									
General information	Base year or period ^a	1990	990 Emissions without adjustments for climate variations or electricity trade												
rali	CRF provided for years	2004													
Gene	Gases covered	CO_2	CH_4	N ₂	0	HFCs	PFCs	SF_6	NOx	СО	NMVOCs	SO_2			
		>	Þ	V	•	>	>	Þ		2	V				
National inventory report		The organization of the NIR, in general, follows the structure as outlined in the revised UNFCCC reporting guidelines (decision 18/CP.8). However, most of the chapters have been restructured and the recommended Annexes have not been provided, except for an Annex containing a description of the methodology of emissions calculation for the Energy and Waste sectors.													
:	Language of NIR	French													

^a Information on the base year in this status report does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by the Party in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF_{6} , in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol.

FCCC/ASR/2006/MCO Page 3

		Provision of in	aformation	for the	PART		ontory you	r in tha	CDE: 200	14		
		Energy	Industr Process	rial	Solven		Agricul		Land Us use Cha	e, Land- nge and	Wast	e
	Sectoral report tables	1	2(I) 2(II)	V	3	V	4	•	5		6	
	Sectoral background data tables	1.A(a)	2(I).A-G		3.A-D		4.A		5.A		6.A	•
		1.A(b) 🔽	2(II).C,E				4.B(a)	\checkmark	5.B		6.B	\mathbf{V}
		1.A(c)	2(II).F				4.B(b)	~	5.C		6.C	\mathbf{V}
		1.A(d)	-				4.C		5.D			
		1.B.1 🔽	-				4.D		5.E		-	
Tables		1.B.2 🔽	-				4.E		5.F			
T_{ℓ}		1.C 🔽					4.F		5 (I)			
		Bunkers separately							5 (II)			
									5 (III)			
									5 (IV)		-	
	Summary tables (emission totals)	Summary 1.A		•	Summary	1.B			5 (V) Summary		<u> </u>	
	Other tables	Summary 3			Table 7 (K	ley catego	ories) ^a		Table 9(a)) (Complet	teness) ^b	
		Table 10 (Trends)					· · ·		Table 9(b) (Comple	teness) ^b	
	Comments											
s	Totals provided for	CO_2	CH_4		N_2	С	HFC	s	PF	ĊĊs	SF_6	
Trends		V	V				V			7		
Τ	Totals provided for years	1990-2004	1990-20	004	1990–2	2004	1995–2	004	2001-	-2004		
CO ₂	Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel	Reference appro	bach	Sec	toral approa	ach	Differen 2	nce mor per cen			ence is more 2 per cent	e than
С	combustion				>					Explanatio	on provided	
	Disaggregation by species	HF				PF				S	F ₆	
SF_6			1				•					
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆	Reporting of actual and/or potential	Actual	Potenti	al	Actu	ıal	Potent	tial	Ac	tual	Potent	ial
HFCs,	estimates in the consumption of halocarbons and SF ₆					1			0			
u	Used in	Summary table 1.A			Sectoral re	port table	s	<	Sectoral b	ackground	l data tables	V
Notation keys	Comments	Frequent use of "0	" instead of	f data o	or notation	keys						

^a The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 7 (Overview) covers completeness and quality of estimates. This is not in accordance with the reporting requirements in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).
^b The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 9 (Completeness) is one table.

									Provisi	ion of (ART II ables fo		s repo	rted						
																			Information		
			Base year ^a	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments	
	Se	ectoral report – Table 1																✓			
		Table 1.A(a)																✓			
		Table 1.A(b)																✓	✓		
ħ		Table 1.A(c)																✓			
Energy	E	Table 1.A(d)																✓	✓		
E	SBDT	Table 1.B.1																~		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO is used	
		Table 1.B.2																~		No data are reported in this table but notation keys NO and NE are used	
		Table 1.C																✓	✓		
	5.	ectoral reports – Table 2(I)																✓	✓		
es	Se	Table 2(II)																~			
Industrial Processes		Table 2(I).A–G																~		No data are reported in this table but notation keys NO, NE, NA and "0" are used	
ustria	SBDT	Table 2(II).C, E																~		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO and "0" are used	
Ind		Table 2(II).F																~		No data are reported in this table but notation keys NO, NE and "0" are used	
e	Se	ectoral report – Table 3																✓	√		
Solvent Use	SBDT	Table 3.A–D																			

								Provis	ion of (CRF ta		RT II or year	s repoi	rted (co	ontinu	ed)			
_															Information gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments			
s	ectoral report – Table 4																~		No data or notation keys are reported in this table. "O" has been used for filling in the table
0	Table 4.A																~		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO is used
ture	Table 4.B(a)																✓		
icul	Table 4.B(b)																✓		
Agriculture																	~	~	No data are reported in this table but notation key NO and "0" are used
	Table 4.D																✓		
	Table 4.E																✓		
	Table 4.F																~		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO and "0" are used
S	ectoral report – Table 5	1															✓	✓	
	Table 5.A																		
estı	Table 5.B																		
For	Table 5.C																		
put	Table 5.D																		
ge a	Table 5.E																✓	✓	
han	Table 5.F																		
Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry conv																	~		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO is used
and	Table 5 (II)																		
e, L:	Table 5 (III)																		
Us	Table 5 (IV)																		
and	Table 5 (V)																		
Γ	General comments on entire sector		1	1	1												1		

								Provis	ion of t	CRF ta	PA ables fo	RT II or year	s repo	rted (c	ontinu	ed)			
									Ye	ars								Information	
		Base year ^a	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
5	Sectoral report – Table 6																√		
Waste	Table 6.A																~		No data are provided in this table but notation key NO and "0" are used
¥	Table 6.B																~		
	Table 6.C																✓	1	
	Summary 1.A																√	√	
-	Summary 1.B																· ✓		
	Summary 2 (CO_2 equivalent emissions)																~	~	
tables	Summary 3 (Methods/emission factors)																~	~	
and other	Table 7 (Key categories) ^c																~		Overview table 7 is reported but table 7 (Overview for key categories) in the LULUCF CRF is not provided
	Table 8(a) (Recalculation – recalculated data)															~		~	
u u	Table 8(b) (Recalculation – explanatory information)															~			
	Table 9(a) (Completeness) ^d																		
	Table 9(b) (Completeness) ^d																		
	Table 10 (Trends)																~	✓	Trend tables are reported in the CRF but not in the LULUCF CRF

^a This Party uses a base year of 1990.

^b This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited use, or lack of, notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C).

^c The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 7 (Overview) covers completeness and quality of estimates. This is not in accordance with the reporting requirements in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).

^d The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 9 (Completeness) is one table.

	PART III Provision of information relating to recalculation														
Table 8(a) (Recalculated data)		Comments	Recalculations for 2003 a	re reported in the CRF fo	r 2004										
Recalculation for years	2003														
Recalculated sectors/gases	Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry	Waste									
$\rm CO_2$	N														
CH ₄	N														
N ₂ O	V														
HFCs															
PFCs															
SF_6															
Table 8(b) (Explanatory information)															
Full CRF for the recalculated base year		Percentage difference in ag	Percentage difference in aggregate greenhouse gas base year estimate - with LULUCF % - without LULUCF %												