



Distr. GENERAL

FCCC/ASR/2006/LUX 2 June 2006

**ENGLISH ONLY** 

## Annual status report of the greenhouse gas inventory of Luxembourg

- 1. This status report was prepared by the secretariat as part of the initial check of the greenhouse gas inventory submitted in accordance with decision 19/CP.8. It reflects the content of the inventory submission of 2006 as originally submitted by the Party, and of the resubmissions received by 27 May 2006.
- 2. In this report, the following abbreviations are used:

CRF: common reporting format LUCF: Land-use Change and Forestry LULUCF: Land Use, Land-use Change and

Forestry

NIR: national inventory report

SBDT: sectoral background data tables

Notation keys C: confidential

IE: included elsewhere NA: not applicable NE: not estimated NO: not occurring

Greenhouse gases
CO<sub>2</sub>: carbon dioxide
CH<sub>4</sub>: methane
N<sub>2</sub>O: nitrous oxide

HFCs: hydrofluorocarbons PFCs: perfluorocarbons SF<sub>6</sub>: sulphur hexafluoride NOx: nitrogen oxides CO: carbon monoxide

NMVOCs: non-methane volatile organic

compounds

SO<sub>2</sub>: sulphur dioxide

				I	NTR	ODUCTIO	ON									
u	Date of receipt	6 Februar	February 2006 (resubmission CRF: 19 May 2006)													
atio	Format	CRF pro	vided	<b>\</b>	N	IR provided										
General information	Base year or period <sup>a</sup>	1990			Emissions without adjustments for climate variations or electricity trade											
ral i	CRF provided for years	1990–2004														
ene	Gases covered	CO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>4</sub>		N <sub>2</sub> O		HFCs	PFCs	SF <sub>6</sub>	NOx	CO	NMVOCs	$SO_2$				
9				V	Ī	>		V	>	>	V	V				
National inventory report	•	The organization of the NIR, in general, follows the structure as outlined in the revised UNFCCC reporting guidelines (decision 18/CP.8). However, the Executive Summary has not been provided, the chapters have been re-structured and the recommended annexes have not been provided.														
	Language of NIR	English														

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Information on the base year in this status report does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by the Party in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF<sub>6</sub>, in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol.

		Provision of in	nformation for the	PART I latest reported inv	entory year in the	CRF: 2004				
		Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land Use, Land- use Change and Forestry	Waste			
	Sectoral report tables	1	2(I)	3	4	5	6			
	Sectoral background data tables	1.A(a)	2(I).A-G	3.A-D	4.A 🔲	5.A	6.A 🗖			
		1.A(b)	2(II).C,E		4.B(a)	5.B	6.B			
		1.A(c)	2(II).F		4.B(b)	5.C	6.C			
		1.A(d)			4.C	5.D				
		1.B.1			4.D	5.E				
<b>Tables</b>		1.B.2			4.E	5.F				
Ta		1.C			4.F	5 (I)				
		Bunkers separately				5 (II)				
						5 (III)				
						5 (IV)				
				T		5 (V)				
	Summary tables (emission totals)	Summary 1.A	V	Summary 1.B		Summary 2				
	Other tables	Summary 3	✓	Table 7 (Key categorial	ories) <sup>a</sup>	Table 9(a) (Comple	teness) <sup>b</sup>			
		Table 10 (Trends)				Table 9(b) (Comple	teness) <sup>b</sup>			
	Comments	The Party has not	provided the LUI	LUCF reporting tal	oles as required by	decision 13/CP.9				
	Totals provided for		CH <sub>4</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O	HFCs	PFCs	SF <sub>6</sub>			
Trends	provided for									
T	Totals provided for years									
CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel	Reference appro	oach Sec	toral approach	Difference mor 2 per cen		ence is more than 2 per cent			
၁	combustion						on provided			
	Disaggregation	HF	Cs	PF	<sup>2</sup> Cs	SF <sub>6</sub>				
SF6	by species			[						
HFCs, PFCs, SF <sub>6</sub>	Reporting of actual and/or potential		Potential	Actual	Potential	Actual	Potential			
HFCs,	estimates in the consumption of halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub>	V				V				
on		Summary table 1.A		Sectoral report table	es	Sectoral background	l data tables			
Notation keys	Comments	Notation keys are	not used							

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 7 (Overview) covers completeness and quality of estimates. This is not in accordance with the reporting requirements in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).
 <sup>b</sup> The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 9 (Completeness) is one table.

## Provision of CRF tables for years reported Information Years gaps relating Base | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | to reporting b Comments vear<sup>a</sup> 1990 1991 1992 1993 Sectoral report – Table 1 ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Table 1.A(a) ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Table 1.A(b) Table 1.A(c) Table 1.A(d) Table 1.B.1 Table 1.B.2 Table 1.C Table 2(I) 1 Sectoral reports -Table 2(II) Table 2(I).A-G Table 2(I).A-G Table 2(II).C, E Table 2(II).F Sectoral report – Table 3 ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ 1 Solvent Use Table 3.A–D

PART II

## PART II Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)

										Ye	ars								Information	
			Base year <sup>a</sup>	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995			1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	gaps relating to reporting <sup>b</sup>	Comments
	Se	ctoral report – Table 4		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table 4.A																		
9	١	Table 4.B(a)																		
Agriculture		Table 4.B(b)																		
	SBDI	Table 4.C																		
4	$\mathbb{Z}$	Table 4.D																		
		Table 4.E																		
		Table 4.F																		
	Se	ctoral report – Table 5																		
	50	Table 5.A																		
Ě	3	Table 5.B																		
roc	3	Table 5.C																		
Ē	-	Table 5.D																		
Jug	Í	Table 5.E																		
9	ģ	Table 5.F																		
ع ا		Table 5 (I)																		
وه	SBDT	Table 5 (II)																		
7	S																			
5	3	Table 5 (III)																		
	5	Table 5 (IV)																		
1		Table 5 (V)																		
I and I so I and mea Chance and Equation	1107	General comments on entire sector																		The Party has not provided the LULUCF reporting tables as required by decision 13/CP.9. It has used the LUCF reporting tables as contained in decision 3/CP.5.

PART II Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)																		
													Comments					
Sectoral report – Table 6		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	
Table 6.A  Table 6.B  Table 6.C																		
Summary 1.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Summary 1.B Summary 2 (CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions)																		
Summary 2 (CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions) Summary 3 (Methods/emission factors) Table 7 (Key categories) <sup>c</sup> Table 8(a) (Recalculation –		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	✓	✓	
Table 7 (Key categories) <sup>c</sup>																		
Table 8(a) (Recalculation – recalculated data)																		
recalculated data) Table 8(b) (Recalculation – explanatory information) Table 9(a) (Completeness) <sup>d</sup>								_										
Table 9(a) (Completeness) <sup>d</sup> Table 9(b) (Completeness) <sup>d</sup>																		
Table 10 (Trends)																		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> This Party uses a base year of 1990.

b This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited use, or lack of, notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 7 (Overview) covers completeness and quality of estimates. This is not in accordance with the reporting requirements in decision 18/CP.8 (FCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 9 (Completeness) is one table.

PART III  Provision of information relating to recalculation												
Table 8(a) (Recalculated data)		Comments	Information on recalcula	tions is not provided								
Recalculation for years												
Recalculated sectors/gases	Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry	Waste						
CO <sub>2</sub>												
CH <sub>4</sub>												
N <sub>2</sub> O												
HFCs												
PFCs												
SF <sub>6</sub>												
Table 8(b) (Explanatory information)												
Full CRF for the recalculated base year		Percentage difference in ag	gregate greenhouse gas bas	<ul><li>with LULUCF</li><li>without LULUCF</li></ul>	% %							