



Distr. GENERAL

FCCC/ASR/2006/ITA 1 June 2006

ENGLISH ONLY

Annual status report of the greenhouse gas inventory of Italy

- 1. This status report was prepared by the secretariat as part of the initial check of the greenhouse gas inventory submitted in accordance with decision 19/CP.8. It reflects the content of the inventory submission of 2006 as originally submitted by the Party.
- 2. In this report, the following abbreviations are used:

CRF: common reporting format LUCF: Land-use Change and Forestry LULUCF: Land Use, Land-use Change and

Forestry

NIR: national inventory report

SBDT: sectoral background data tables

Notation keys
C: confidential

IE: included elsewhere NA: not applicable NE: not estimated NO: not occurring

Greenhouse gases
CO₂: carbon dioxide
CH₄: methane
N₂O: nitrous oxide

HFCs: hydrofluorocarbons PFCs: perfluorocarbons SF₆: sulphur hexafluoride NOx: nitrogen oxides CO: carbon monoxide

NMVOCs: non-methane volatile organic

compounds

SO₂: sulphur dioxide

				I	NTR	ODUCTIO	ON								
r	Date of receipt	18 April 2	8 April 2006												
atio	Format	CRF pro	vided	~	NIR provided										
General information	Base year or period ^a	1990			Emissions without adjustments for climate variations or electricity trade										
ral i	CRF provided for years	1990–200	1990–2004												
ene	Gases covered	CO ₂ CH ₄		N_2	О	HFCs	PFCs	SF_6	NOx	СО	NMVOCs	SO_2			
)		>	>	V	7	>	~	V	>	>	>	~			
	Description	An NIR h	as not be	en sub	mitte	ed									
onal tory ort															
National inventory report															
ı.	Language of NIR			•				•	•	•					

^a Information on the base year in this status report does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by the Party in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF_6 , in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol.

		Provision of in	formation for the	PART I latest reported inv	entory year in the	CRF: 2004		
		Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land Use, Land- use Change and Forestry	Waste	
	Sectoral report tables	1 🔽	2(I)	3	4 🔽	5	6	
	Sectoral background data tables	1.A(a)	2(I).A-G	3.A-D	4.A 🔽	5.A 🔽	6.A 🔽	
		1.A(b)	2(II).C,E		4.B(a)	5.B	6.B	
		1.A(c)	2(II).F		4.B(b)	5.C 🔽	6.C 🔽	
		1.A(d)			4.C 🔽	5.D 🔽		
		1.B.1			4.D 🔽	5.E 🔽		
Tables		1.B.2			4.E 🔽	5.F 🔽		
Та		1.C 🔽			4.F	5 (I)		
		Bunkers separately	~			5 (II)		
						5 (III)		
						5 (IV)		
				T		5 (V)		
	Summary tables (emission	Summary 1.A	✓	Summary 1.B	▽	Summary 2	~	
	totals)							
	Other tables		✓	Table 7 (Key catego	ories) ^a	Table 9(a) (Comple		
	G .	Table 10 (Trends)	✓			Table 9(b) (Comple	teness) ^b	
	Comments							
ls	Totals provided for	CO_2	CH_4	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆	
Trends		>	V	V	7	>	2	
	Totals provided for years	1990–2004	1990–2004	1990–2004	1990-2004	1990–2004	1990–2004	
co_2	Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel	Reference appro	oach Sec	toral approach	Difference mor 2 per cent		ence is more than 2 per cent	
Ö	combustion	>		V			on provided	
	Disaggregation by species	HF			Cs .	S	F ₆	
SF_6		V	'	<u> </u>	_		1	
PFCs,	Reporting of actual and/or potential	Actual	Potential	Actual	Potential	Actual	Potential	
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆	estimates in the consumption of halocarbons and SF ₆	V	V	V		V	V	
on	Used in	Summary table 1.A		Sectoral report table	es 🔽	Sectoral background	l data tables	
Notation keys	Comments							

a The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 7 (Overview) covers completeness and quality of estimates. This is not in accordance with the reporting requirements in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).
 b The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 9 (Completeness) is one table. The Party reported table 9 (Completeness),

and this is reflected in this status report by table 9(a) being ticked and table 9(b) being left blank.

PART II Provision of CRF tables for years reported Information Years gaps relating Base | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | to reporting b Comments year^a 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 ✓ Sectoral report – Table 1 ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ **√** ✓ **√** Table 1.A(a) ✓ ✓ ✓ Table 1.A(b) ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Table 1.A(c) ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ **√** ✓ ✓ **✓** Table 1.A(d) ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ **√ √** ✓ ✓ Table 1.B.1 ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ **√ √** ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ **√** ✓ Table 1.B.2 1 ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Table 1.C ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Table 2(I) ✓ Table 2(II).F Sectoral reports Table 2(II) ✓ Sectoral report – Table 3 ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Solvent Use Table 3.A–D

PART II Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)

			Years										Information								
			Base year ^a	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments	
	Sec	ctoral report – Table 4		✓	✓	✓	✓	\	\	\	✓	\	\	\	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 4.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	\	>	>	✓	>	>	>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
e		Table 4.B(a)		✓	✓	✓	✓	\	>	>	✓	>	\	\	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Agriculture		Table 4.B(b)		✓	✓	✓	✓	\	>	>	✓	>	>	\	✓	✓	✓	✓			
icul	SBDT	Table 4.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
Agr	SB	Table 4.D		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
		Table 4.E		✓	✓	✓	1	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO is used	
		Table 4.7		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
	Sec	ctoral report – Table 5		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
İ		Table 5.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
try		Table 5.B		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
res		Table 5.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
d Fe		Table 5.D		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
an		Table 5.E		√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
nge		Table 5.F		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
Cha	Γ	Table 5 (I)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1		No data are reported in these tables but	
ıse (SBDI	Table 5 (II)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		notation key NO is used	
Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry	S	Table 5 (III)		✓	~	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	~	~	~	✓		For 1991, 1992, 1996 and 2001–2003, no data are reported in this table but notation key NO and "0" are used	
and Us		Table 5 (IV)		✓	✓	✓	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO is used	
La		Table 5 (V)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
		General comments on entire sector																			

PART II Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)

									Ye	ars								Information	
		Base year ^a	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
	Sectoral report – Table 6		√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Waste	Table 6.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Wa	Table 6.B		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 6.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Summary 1.A		✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Summary 1.B		✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	Summary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions)		~	✓	✓	√	√	~	✓	✓	~	✓	~	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Summary 3 (Methods/emission factors)		>	✓	✓	\	\	>	✓	✓	>	✓	>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
ples	Table 7 (Key categories) ^c		✓	~	✓	✓	✓	✓	~	✓	✓	~	✓	~	~	~	~	✓	Table 7 (Overview key categories) in the LULUCF CRF is not provided but table 7 (Overview) is reported
Summary and other tables	Table 8(a) (Recalculation – recalculated data)		>	~	*	>	>	>	✓	✓	>	✓	>	~	~	✓	~		Recalculations for LULUCF are not reported following decision 13/CP.9. The Party reported recalculations in accordance with the reporting tables as contained in the CRF adopted by decision 3/CP.5. In the 2004 CRF the column referring to the "Latest submission" has been filled in
	Table 8(b) (Recalculation – explanatory information)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	Table 9(a) (Completeness) ^d		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	Table 9(b) (Completeness) ^d																		
	Table 10 (Trends)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	1	✓	✓	Trend data covering the entire time series are provided in the 2004 CRF (tables in the 1990–2003 CRFs contain data up to the vear of the CRF)

^a This Party uses a base year of 1990.

b This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited use, or lack of, notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C).

^c The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 7 (Overview) covers completeness and quality of estimates. This is not in accordance with the reporting requirements in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).

^d The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 9 (Completeness) is one table. The Party reported table 9 (Completeness), and this is reflected in this status report by table 9(a) being ticked and table 9(b) being left blank.

PART III Provision of information relating to recalculation														
Table 8(a) (Recalculated data)	The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 8(a) includes LUCF. This is not in accordance with the reporting requirements in decision 13/CP.9 (FCCC/SBSTA/2004/8). Thus any possible recalculations for the LULUCF are not reflected in this status report.													
Recalculation for years	1990-2003													
Recalculated sectors/gases	Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry	Waste								
CO_2	< <	>	~			<								
CH ₄	V	✓		~		V								
N_2O	V	✓		V		V								
HFCs		✓												
PFCs		▼				-								
SF ₆		✓												
Table 8(b) (Explanatory information)	V	V	V	V	V	V								
Full CRF for the recalculated base year	V	Percentage difference in ag	ggregate greenhouse gas ba	- with LULUCF - without LULUCF	-2.35% 1.65%									