



UNITED
NATIONS



Framework Convention
on Climate Change

Distr.
GENERAL

FCCC/ASR/2006/FRA
2 June 2006

ENGLISH ONLY

Annual status report of the greenhouse gas inventory of France

1. This status report was prepared by the secretariat as part of the initial check of the greenhouse gas inventory submitted in accordance with decision 19/CP.8. It reflects the content of the inventory submission of 2006 as originally submitted by the Party, and of the resubmissions received by 27 May 2006.
2. In this report, the following abbreviations are used:

CRF: common reporting format	<i>Greenhouse gases</i>
LUCF: Land-use Change and Forestry	CO ₂ : carbon dioxide
LULUCF: Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry	CH ₄ : methane
NIR: national inventory report	N ₂ O: nitrous oxide
SBDT: sectoral background data tables	HFCs: hydrofluorocarbons
<i>Notation keys</i>	PFCs: perfluorocarbons
C: confidential	SF ₆ : sulphur hexafluoride
IE: included elsewhere	NOx: nitrogen oxides
NA: not applicable	CO: carbon monoxide
NE: not estimated	NMVOCs: non-methane volatile organic compounds
NO: not occurring	SO ₂ : sulphur dioxide

INTRODUCTION													
General information	Date of receipt	15 February 2006, NIR 16 March 2006 (resubmission CRF: 16 March 2006 and 20 April 2006, NIR: 20 April 2006)											
	Format	CRF provided	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NIR provided	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
	Base year or period ^a	1990	Emissions without adjustments for climate variations or electricity trade										
	CRF provided for years	1990–2004											
	Gases covered	CO ₂	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CH ₄	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N ₂ O	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HFCs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SF ₆	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
National inventory report	Description	The organization of the NIR, in general, follows the structure as outlined in the revised UNFCCC reporting guidelines (decision 18/CP.8). The main body of the NIR provides summary information on the methodologies for all sectors. As an annex to the NIR France submitted the OMINEA report containing detailed descriptions of methodologies used.											
	Language of NIR	French											

^a Information on the base year in this status report does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by the Party in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF₆, in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol.

PART I Provision of information for the latest reported inventory year in the CRF: 2004							
		Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry	Waste
	Sectoral report tables	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2(I) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2(II) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Tables	Sectoral background data tables	1.A(a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2(I).A-G <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3.A-D <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4.A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5.A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6.A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		1.A(b) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2(II).C,E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		4.B(a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5.B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6.B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		1.A(c) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2(II).F <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		4.B(b) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5.C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6.C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		1.A(d) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			4.C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5.D <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
		1.B.1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			4.D <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5.E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
		1.B.2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			4.E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5.F <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
		1.C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			4.F <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5 (I) <input type="checkbox"/>	
		Bunkers separately <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				5 (II) <input type="checkbox"/>	
						5 (III) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
						5 (IV) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
						5 (V) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Summary tables (emission totals)	Summary 1.A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Summary 1.B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Summary 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Other tables	Summary 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Table 7 (Key categories) ^a <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Table 9(a) (Completeness) ^b <input type="checkbox"/>	
		Table 10 (Trends) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				Table 9(b) (Completeness) ^b <input type="checkbox"/>	
	Comments						
Trends	Totals provided for	CO ₂ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CH ₄ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N ₂ O <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HFCs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PFCs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SF ₆ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Totals provided for years	1990–2004	1990–2004	1990–2004	1990–2004	1990–2004	1990–2004
CO ₂	Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion	Reference approach		Sectoral approach		Difference more than 2 per cent	If difference is more than 2 per cent
		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	Explanation provided <input type="checkbox"/>
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆	Disaggregation by species	HFCs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		PFCs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		SF ₆ <input type="checkbox"/>	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
	Reporting of actual and/or potential estimates in the consumption of halocarbons and SF ₆	Actual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Potential <input type="checkbox"/>	Actual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Potential <input type="checkbox"/>	Actual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Potential <input type="checkbox"/>
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Notation keys	Used in	Summary table 1.A <input type="checkbox"/>		Sectoral report tables <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Sectoral background data tables <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Comments	In some of the tables “0” is used instead of data or notation keys.					

^a The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 7 (Overview) covers completeness and quality of estimates. This is not in accordance with the reporting requirements in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).

^b The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 9 (Completeness) is one table.

PART II

Provision of CRF tables for years reported

PART II
Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)

		Years													Information gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments		
		Base year ^a	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Agriculture SBDT	Sectoral report – Table 4		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 4.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 4.B(a)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 4.B(b)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 4.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 4.D		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 4.E		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	No data are reported in this table but notation key NO is used
Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry SBDT	Sectoral report – Table 5		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 5.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 5.B		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 5.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 5.D		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 5.E		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 5.F		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 5 (I)																	
	Table 5 (II)																	
	Table 5 (III)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 5 (IV)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 5 (V)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	General comments on entire sector																	

PART II
Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)

		Years														Information gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments	
		Base year ^a	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Waste SBDT	Sectoral report – Table 6		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 6.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 6.B		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 6.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Summary and other tables	Summary 1.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	For LULUCF Summary 1.A is not provided
	Summary 1.B		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Summary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	For LULUCF Summary 2 is not provided
	Summary 3 (Methods/emission factors)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	For LULUCF Summary 3 is not provided
	Table 7 (Key categories) ^c		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Table 7 (Overview key categories) in the LULUCF CRF is not provided, but table 7 (Overview) is reported
	Table 8(a) (Recalculation – recalculated data)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 8(b) (Recalculation – explanatory information)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 9(a) (Completeness) ^d																	
	Table 9(b) (Completeness) ^d																	
	Table 10 (Trends)															✓		For LULUCF the trend table is not provided. Trend data are only available for the LUCF categories according to the reporting tables as contained in the CRF adopted by decision 3/CP.5

^a This Party uses a base year of 1990.

^b This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited use, or lack of, notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C).

^c The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 7 (Overview) covers completeness and quality of estimates. This is not in accordance with the reporting requirements in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).

^d The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 9 (Completeness) is one table.

PART III
Provision of information relating to recalculation

Table 8(a) (Recalculated data)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Comments				
Recalculation for years	1990–2003					
Recalculated sectors/gases	Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry	Waste
CO ₂	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CH ₄	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
N ₂ O	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HFCs		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
PFCs		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
SF ₆		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Table 8(b) (Explanatory information)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Full CRF for the recalculated base year	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Percentage difference in aggregate greenhouse gas base year estimate			- with LULUCF - without LULUCF	1.66% -0.16%