



UNITED
NATIONS



Framework Convention
on Climate Change

Distr.
GENERAL

FCCC/SB/1999/5/Add.1
14 October 1999

ENGLISH ONLY

SUBSIDIARY BODY FOR SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ADVICE

Eleventh session

Bonn, 25 October - 5 November 1999

Item 5 of the provisional agenda

SUBSIDIARY BODY FOR IMPLEMENTATION

Eleventh session

Bonn, 25 October - 5 November 1999

Item 5 of the provisional agenda

**ACTIVITIES IMPLEMENTED JOINTLY
UNDER THE PILOT PHASE**

**Issues to be addressed in the review of the pilot phase,
including the third synthesis report**

Note by the secretariat

Addendum

ANNEXES

This addendum contains the annexes of document FCCC/CP/1999/5. Annex 1 contains the tables referred to in part two of the document; annex 2 presents a draft revised uniform reporting format for activities implemented jointly.

GE.99-66547

Paragraphs Page

Annex 1

| | |
|---|---|
| Table 1. Activities implemented jointly under the pilot phase: main features of projects | 3 |
| Table 2. Number of activities and GHG impact, by activity type, during project lifetime | 8 |
| Table 3. Number of activities, by type and region | 8 |

Annex 2

| | |
|--|---|
| Draft revised uniform reporting format for activities implemented jointly | 9 |
|--|---|

Annex 1**Table 1. Activities implemented jointly under the pilot phase: main features of projects**

| Activity type | Activity title | Parties (Host / Investor) | Lifetime (years) | GHG impact^a (tons) |
|----------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|--|
| Afforestation | PROFAFOR | Ecuador / Netherlands | --- | --- |
| Afforestation | RUSAFOR: Saratov Afforestation Project | Russian Federation / United States of America | 60 | 292 728 |
| Agriculture | Community Silviculture in the Sierra Norte of Oaxaca | Mexico / United States of America | 30 | 3 065 333 |
| Agriculture | Project Salicornia: Halophyte Cultivation in Sonora | Mexico / United States of America | 60 | 3 255 |
| Energy efficiency | Adavere District Heating | Estonia / Sweden | 10 | 2 000 |
| Energy efficiency | AIJ Project "Energy Efficiency Improvement at ISCOR" | South Africa / Netherlands | --- | --- |
| Energy efficiency | Air Conditioner Energy Conservation Programme for the Solomon Islands | Solomon Islands / Australia | 10 | 13 850 |
| Energy efficiency | Aluksne District Heating | Latvia / Sweden | 10 | 30 850 |
| Energy efficiency | Balvi District Heating | Latvia / Sweden | 10 | 40 000 |
| Energy efficiency | Boiler Replacement and Cogeneration in Adazi and Cielvarde | Latvia / Netherlands | 15 | 51 000 |
| Energy efficiency | Burkina Faso Sustainable Energy Management | Burkina Faso / Norway | 6 | 1 450 000 |
| Energy efficiency | CO ₂ Recovery in a Brewery in Zagreb | Croatia / Belgium | 15 | 50 250 |
| Energy efficiency | COGAS/ANELEC | Bolivia / Netherlands | --- | --- |
| Energy efficiency | District Heating Network Rehabilitation in Talsi | Latvia / Sweden | 15 | 4 640 |
| Energy efficiency | Emission Reduction at Power Plants in Romania | Romania / Netherlands | 5 | 1 093 000 |
| Energy efficiency | Energy Efficiency in Drinking Water Supply | Romania / Netherlands | --- | --- |
| Energy efficiency | Energy Efficiency in Mustamae (Estib) | Estonia / Sweden | 20 | 17 070 |
| Energy efficiency | Energy Efficiency Improvement by Hungarian Municipalities and Utilities | Hungary / Netherlands | 20 | 240 000 |
| Energy efficiency | Energy Saving Project in Saldus III | Latvia / Sweden | 15 | 1 980 |
| Energy efficiency | High Efficiency Lighting (ILUMEX) | Mexico / Norway | 4.5 | ^b 85 801 |
| Energy efficiency | Horticulture Project in Tyumen | Russian Federation / Netherlands | --- | --- |
| Energy efficiency | Improvement of District Heating Bulgaria (Pleven) | Bulgaria / Netherlands | --- | --- |
| Energy efficiency | Installation of Coke Dry-Quenching Facility | China / Japan | 20 | --- |

Table 1. (continued)

| Activity type | Activity title | Parties (Host / Investor) | Lifetime (years) | GHG impact^a (tons) |
|----------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Energy efficiency | Integrated Agriculture Demand-Side Management AIJ Pilot Project | India / Norway | 20 | 1 494 600 |
| Energy efficiency | Introduction of High Efficiency Illumination in the Residential Sector | Honduras / Netherlands | --- | --- |
| Energy efficiency | Järvakandi District Heating | Estonia / Sweden | 10 | 3 900 |
| Energy efficiency | Jelgava District Heating | Latvia / Sweden | 10 | 4 120 |
| Energy efficiency | Jelgava Energy Efficiency | Latvia / Sweden | 10 | 800 |
| Energy efficiency | Liepa Boiler Conversion Project | Latvia / Sweden | 15 | 62 900 |
| Energy efficiency | Modelling and Optimization of Grid Operation of the Gas Transportation System "Ushgorod Corridor" of Wolgotransgas (Gazprom) | Russian Federation / Germany | 2 | 225 000 |
| Energy efficiency | Modernization of Cement Factory in Cizkovice | Czech Republic / France | 5 | 168 000 |
| Energy efficiency | Mohammedia RGCC Power Plant | Morocco / Italy | 23 | --- |
| Energy efficiency | Mustamäe - Mustamäe Tee, Ehitajate Tee, Sütiste Tee Energy Efficiency (cooperative houses) | Estonia / Sweden | 15 | 2 712 |
| Energy efficiency | Mustamäe - Vilde Tee Energy Efficiency | Estonia / Sweden | 10 | 3 000 |
| Energy efficiency | New Boiler Plant in Ignalina | Lithuania / Sweden | 25 | 116 820 |
| Energy efficiency | New Boiler Plant in Limbazi | Latvia / Sweden | 25 | 142 100 |
| Energy efficiency | Orissare District Heating | Estonia / Sweden | 10 | 8 500 |
| Energy efficiency | Redesign of the Energy Process at Baclej Kft | Hungary / Netherlands | --- | --- |
| Energy efficiency | Reduction of Atmospheric Pollution through Modernisation of the Energy Supply System in the Town of Byzcyna | Poland / Netherlands | 15 | 60 600 |
| Energy efficiency | Saldus District Heating | Latvia / Sweden | 10 | 3 350 |
| Energy efficiency | Saldus Energy Efficiency | Latvia / Sweden | 10 | 2 100 |
| Energy efficiency | Staciunai District Heating | Lithuania / Sweden | 10 | 3 300 |
| Energy efficiency | Sustainable Heat and Power for Public Networks in Poland | Poland / Netherlands | 15 | 76 765 |
| Energy efficiency | Swiss Thermal Energy Project in Buzan and Pascani, Romania (STEP) | Romania / Switzerland | 15 | 138 600 |
| Energy efficiency | System Project in Kuressaare | Estonia / Sweden | 25 | 291 250 |
| Energy efficiency | System Project in Türi (2) | Estonia / Sweden | 15 | 97 357 |
| Energy efficiency | The Model Project on Effective Utilization of Energy in Re-heating Furnace in Steel | Thailand / Japan | 10 | 34 100 |
| Energy efficiency | Türi District Heating | Estonia / Sweden | 10 | 9 100 |
| Energy efficiency | Valga District Heating | Estonia / Sweden | 10 | 7 000 |
| Energy efficiency | Valga District Heating Renovation | Estonia / Sweden | 25 | 20 885 |
| Energy efficiency | Vändra District Heating | Estonia / Sweden | 10 | 2 200 |

Table 1. (continued)

| Activity type | Activity title | Parties (Host / Investor) | Lifetime (years) | GHG impact ^a (tons) |
|----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Energy efficiency | Võru District Heating | Estonia / Sweden | 10 | 40 000 |
| Energy efficiency | Zelenograd District Heating System Improvements | Russian Federation / United States of America | 30 | 1 575 040 |
| Forest preservation | Bilsa Biological Reserve | Ecuador / United States of America | 30 | 1 170 108 |
| Forest preservation | ECOLAND: Piedras Blancas National Park | Costa Rica / United States of America | 16 | 1 342 733 |
| Forest preservation | Forest Rehabilitation in Krkonose and Sumava National Parks | Czech Republic / Netherlands | 15 | 9 834 120 |
| Forest preservation | Noel Kempff Mercado Climate Action Project | Bolivia / United States of America | 30 | 55 345 286 |
| Forest preservation | Reduced Impact Logging for Carbon Sequestration in East Kalimantan | Indonesia / United States of America | 40 | 134 379 |
| Forest preservation | Rio Bravo Carbon Sequestration Pilot Project | Belize / United States of America | 40 | 6 023 992 |
| Forest preservation | Territorial and Financial Consolidation of Costa Rican National Parks and Biological Reserves ^c | Costa Rica / United States of America | 25 | 57 467 271 |
| Forest reforestation | Commercial Reforestation in the Chiriquí Province | Panama / United States of America | 25 | 57 640 |
| Forest reforestation | Klinki Forestry Project | Costa Rica / United States of America | 46 | 7 216 000 |
| Forest reforestation | Reforestation and Forest Conservation | Costa Rica / Norway | 25 | 230 842 |
| Forest reforestation | Reforestation in Vologda | Russian Federation / United States of America | 60 | 858 000 |
| Forest reforestation | Scolec Té: Carbon Sequestration and Sustainable Forest Management in Chiapas | Mexico / United States of America | 30 | 1 210 000 |
| Fuel switching | City of Decin: Fuel Switching for District Heating | Czech Republic / United States of America | 27 | 607 150 |
| Fuel switching | Coal to Gas Conversion | Poland / Norway | 17 | 2 992 442 |
| Fuel switching | District Heating Tikhvin | Russian Federation / Netherlands | --- | --- |
| Fuel switching | Energy Saving in the Slovakian Dairy Industry | Slovakia / Netherlands | 1.5 | --- |
| Fuel switching | RABA/IKARUS Compressed Natural Gas Engine Bus Project | Hungary / Netherlands | 20 | ^d 148 000 |
| Fuel switching | Replacement of Brown Coal-fired Boilers by a Biomass-fired Boiler | Slovakia / Netherlands | 1.5 | 7 400 |
| Fuel switching | Rural Electrification in the San Ramón Area | Bolivia / Netherlands | --- | --- |

Table 1. (continued)

| Activity type | Activity title | Parties (Host / Investor) | Lifetime (years) | GHG impact^a (tons) |
|----------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|--|
| Fugitive gas capture | Improvement of the Waste Water Infrastructure at Targo Mures | Romania / Netherlands | --- | --- |
| Fugitive gas capture | Methane Emission Reduction at Wastewater Treatment Plant in Coffee Mills | Costa Rica / Netherlands | 10 | 122 415 |
| Fugitive gas capture | RUSAGAS: Fugitive Gas Capture Project | Russian Federation / United States of America | 28 | 30 955 750 |
| Fugitive gas capture | Sanitary Landfilling with Energy Recovery in the Moscow Region | Russian Federation / Netherlands | 10 | 255 268 |
| Renewable energy | Aeroenergía S.A. Wind Facility | Costa Rica / United States of America | 20 | 36 194 |
| Renewable energy | Alizés Electrification Rurale (Alizés Rural Electrification) | Mauritania / France | 20 | 16 315 |
| Renewable energy | Aluksne Boiler Conversion | Latvia / Sweden | 10 | 254 000 |
| Renewable energy | APS/CFE Renewable Energy Mini-grid Project | Mexico / United States of America | 30 | 7 415 |
| Renewable energy | Baisogale Boiler Conversion | Lithuania / Sweden | 10 | 109 000 |
| Renewable energy | Balvi Boiler Conversion | Latvia / Sweden | 10 | 132 000 |
| Renewable energy | Bio-Gen Biomass Power Generation Project, Phase I | Honduras / United States of America | 21 | 2 373 940 |
| Renewable energy | Bio-Gen Biomass Power Generation Project, Phase II | Honduras / United States of America | 21 | 2 373 940 |
| Renewable energy | Birzai Boiler Conversion | Lithuania / Sweden | 15 | 169 500 |
| Renewable energy | Brocena Boiler Conversion | Latvia / Sweden | 10 | 86 000 |
| Renewable energy | Daugavgriva Boiler Conversion | Latvia / Sweden | 15 | 195 000 |
| Renewable energy | Doña Julia Hydroelectric Project | Costa Rica / United States of America | 15 | 210 566 |
| Renewable energy | El Hoyo-Monte Galan Geothermal Project | Nicaragua / United States of America | 38 | 14 119 469 |
| Renewable energy | Fuel Switch From Fossil Fuels to Bio-Energy AIJ Pilot Project | Slovakia / Norway | 30 | 51 000 |
| Renewable energy | Grid Connected Photovoltaic Project | Fiji / Australia | 1 | 13 |
| Renewable energy | Haabneme Boiler Conversion | Estonia / Sweden | 10 | 124 000 |
| Renewable energy | Janmuiza Boiler Conversion | Latvia / Sweden | 10 | 38 000 |
| Renewable energy | Jekabplis Boiler Conversion | Latvia / Sweden | 10 | 24 000 |
| Renewable energy | Jurmala Boiler Conversion | Latvia / Sweden | 10 | 94 000 |
| Renewable energy | Kazlu Ruda Boiler Conversion | Lithuania / Sweden | 10 | 44 000 |
| Renewable energy | Kilung-Chuu Micro Hydel, Bhutan | Bhutan / Netherlands | 4 | 25 |
| Renewable energy | Matanzas Hydroelectric Project | Guatemala / United States of America | 15 | 1 156 195 |
| Renewable energy | Narva Jõesuu Boiler Conversion | Estonia / Sweden | 10 | 8 100 |
| Renewable energy | Paldiski Boiler Conversion | Estonia / Sweden | 10 | 81 000 |
| Renewable energy | Performance Monitoring of Solar Systems | Mauritius / Australia | 20 | 2 080 |

Table 1. (continued)

| Activity type | Activity title | Parties (Host / Investor) | Lifetime (years) | GHG impact^a (tons) |
|----------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|--|
| Renewable energy | Plantas Eólicas S.A. Wind Facility | Costa Rica / United States of America | 22 | 379 173 |
| Renewable energy | Rauna Boiler Conversion | Latvia / Sweden | 10 | 24 000 |
| Renewable energy | Renewable Energy Training/Demonstration Project | Australia / Indonesia | 20 | 1 300 |
| Renewable energy | Rural Solar Electrification in Bolivia: Pilot Phase | Bolivia / United States of America | 20 | 1 300 |
| Renewable energy | SELCO - Sri Lanka Rural Electrification | Sri Lanka / United States of America | 29 | 5 684 448 |
| Renewable energy | Slampe Boiler Conversion | Latvia / Sweden | 10 | 39 000 |
| Renewable energy | Solar-based Rural Electrification in Honduras | Honduras / United States of America | 24 | 34 398 |
| Renewable energy | Sventupe Boiler Conversion and Energy Efficiency | Lithuania / Sweden | 10 | 36 500 |
| Renewable energy | Tartu-Aardla Boiler Conversion | Estonia / Sweden | 15 | 122 300 |
| Renewable energy | The Santa Teresa Hydroelectric Project | Guatemala / United States of America | 15 | 1 241 130 |
| Renewable energy | Tierras Morenas Windfarm Project | Costa Rica / United States of America | 14 | 57 203 |
| Renewable energy | Ugale Boiler Conversion | Latvia / Sweden | 10 | 44 000 |
| Renewable energy | Valga Boiler Conversion | Estonia / Sweden | 10 | 64 000 |
| Renewable energy | Valka Boiler Conversion | Latvia / Sweden | 10 | 30 000 |
| Renewable energy | Varena Boiler Conversion | Lithuania / Sweden | 10 | 195 000 |
| Renewable energy | Vienybe Boiler Conversion | Lithuania / Sweden | 10 | 140 000 |
| Renewable energy | Viesite Boiler Conversion | Latvia / Sweden | 10 | 24 000 |
| Renewable energy | Viljandi Boiler Conversion | Estonia / Sweden | 15 | 147 000 |
| Renewable energy | Vöru Boiler Conversion | Estonia / Sweden | 10 | 114 000 |
| Renewable energy | Wind Power Plant | Latvia / Germany | 10 | 13 500 |
| Renewable energy | Ziegdriai Boiler Conversion and Energy Efficiency | Lithuania / Sweden | 10 | 22 000 |

- a Estimated greenhouse-gas (GHG) emissions reduced or sequestered (in metric tons of CO₂ equivalent) during the lifetime of the project. Some of the values have been revised since document FCCC/CP/1998/2.
- b This project absorbed two previously reported activities “CARFIX: Sustainable Forest Management” and “BIODIVERSIFIX”
- c The AIJ component of this project represents only 11.8 per cent of 727 130 metric tons of CO₂ equivalent reduced by the project.
- d Applying an average of various scenarios regarding the level of the future market penetration of CNG buses, the annual GHG impact is estimated to be 7 400 metric tons of CO₂ equivalent. (20 x 7 400 metric tons = 148 000 metric tons)

Table 2. Number of activities and GHG impact, by activity type, during project lifetime

| Activity type | Number of activities ^(*) | GHG impact ^{**} | Average GHG impact per project ^{**} |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Afforestation | 1 (2) | 292 728 | 292 728 |
| Agriculture | 2 | 3 068 588 | 1 534 294 |
| Energy efficiency | 40 (49) | 7 674 540 | 191 864 |
| Forest preservation, reforestation or restoration | 12 | 140 890 371 | 11 740 864 |
| Fuel switching | 4 (7) | 3 754 992 | 938 748 |
| Fugitive gas capture | 3 (4) | 31 333 433 | 10 444 478 |
| Renewable energy | 46 | 30 120 003 | 654 783 |
| TOTAL | 108 (122) | 217 134 655 | 2 010 506 |

* While the total number of projects is 122, only 108 project reports provided information on the GHG impact. Numbers in brackets indicate the total number of activities: one afforestation, three fuel switching, one fugitive gas capture and nine energy efficiency activities have therefore not been taken into account in calculating the average GHG impact.

** Estimated GHG emissions reduced or sequestered (in tons of CO₂ equivalent).

Table 3. Number of activities, by type and region

| Activity type | Region [*] | | | | Total per type |
|---|---------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| | AFR | ASP | EIT | LAC | |
| Afforestation | | | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Agriculture | | | | 2 | 2 |
| Energy efficiency | 3 | 4 | 39 | 3 | 49 |
| Forest preservation, reforestation or restoration | | 1 | 2 | 9 | 12 |
| Fuel switching | | | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| Fugitive gas capture | | | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Renewable energy | 2 | 4 | 28 | 12 | 46 |
| Total per region | 5 | 9 | 79 | 29 | 122 |

* AFR: Africa, ASP: Asia and Pacific, EIT: Economies in transition, LAC: Latin America and Caribbean

Annex 2

**DRAFT REVISED UNIFORM REPORTING FORMAT FOR
ACTIVITIES IMPLEMENTED JOINTLY**

1. The Conference of the Parties, by its decision 10/CP.3¹, adopted the uniform reporting format (URF) contained in the report of the fifth session of the SBSTA², and invited Parties to report in accordance with that format and to provide inputs to the secretariat on their experience in using it, so that, if necessary, changes can be incorporated.
2. The SBSTA and the SBI, at their tenth sessions, agreed that the review of the AIJ pilot phase referred to in decision 5/CP.1, paragraph 3 (b) and decision 6/CP.4 shall address several issues, including the assessment of the URF and the elaboration of options for its improvement, including a list of standardized terminology and common definitions for key terms, *inter alia*, related to costs, baselines, monitoring, reporting and verification (FCCC/SBSTA/1999/6). In this context, the following draft revised URF for AIJ has been prepared taking into consideration views by Parties expressed in the context of the review and the experience of the secretariat in preparing the second and third synthesis reports on the AIJ pilot phase.
3. In preparing the draft revision of the URF, consideration was given to the following issues:
 - (a) In order to encourage a better flow of data and to improve the user-friendliness of the reporting format, some structural changes have been made (see table with overview of suggested revisions). These were, however, kept at a minimum so as to avoid an additional reporting burden for ongoing activities and, hence, increased costs to Parties involved.
 - (b) In order to enhance the quality, in particular, the consistency, comparability and, hence, the analytical usefulness of data, explanations and guiding comments were added. A provision has been made for obtaining activity level and emission factor data.
 - (c) In order to gather additional information related to the identification of baselines, there is also a provision for more detailed reporting on “top-down”, “benchmark”/“technology matrix” and “project-specific” baseline approaches, or combinations thereof.
4. At the eleventh sessions of the subsidiary bodies, Parties may wish to consider:
 - (a) Reviewing and adopting a draft revised URF; and

¹ For the full texts of decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties at its first, third and fourth sessions, see documents FCCC/CP/1995/7/Add.1, FCCC/CP/1997/7/Add.1 and FCCC/CP/1998/16/Add.1, respectively.

² FCCC/SBSTA/1997/4.

- (b) Requesting all designated national authorities (DNA) involved in an activity implemented jointly to submit reports, using the URF, jointly and simultaneously, so as to avoid the need for repeated queries and checks.

5. Issues on which Parties may wish to elaborate, with a view to eventually further improving the URF, may include:

- (a) Guidance on the relationship between the URF and other areas of work such as guidelines for inventories; the methodological work on land-use, land-use change and forestry, IPCC work on good practices; and
- (b) Guidance related to monitoring and verification, and to methodologies or formats for reporting economic information pertaining to social and cultural impacts.

Table: Overview of suggested revisions

| URF Section | Revised URF Section | Comments |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | | Titles have been shortened with notes added under titles. Questions and guiding comments have been added. |
| A.1 | B.1 | No substantive change |
| A.2 | B.2 | Details of contact information are to be reported in the annex to the revised URF using a new table for contact information. A descriptor system for functions has been defined. |
| A.3 | B.3 | The table format was abandoned. Former rows in the table are now subsections. A system for categorizing projects was added (see annex 2 in revised URF). Stages of the project have been defined and new options were added. The lifetime of a project is now contained in subsection B.3.5. |
| A.4 | E.7 | Section A.4 is now section E.7 and has been completely revised. For calculations, the net present value methodology (NPV) is suggested. A new table for reporting cost information on the AIJ project is proposed. Calculations of cost per metric tons of CO ₂ equivalent reduced or sequestered are not being directly reported anymore, but can be computed using the information in section E. (One Party suggested that the difference in NPV of the baseline/reference case and the project case be used as an element of the calculation of cost per tons. As some of the methodologies for identifying the baseline may not lend themselves to such a calculation, this concept has not yet been represented in the revised URF.) |
| A.5 | E.6 | Subsections were added for monitoring, verification and certification with questions and guiding comments. |
| B | A | This section has been revised in light of the assumption that henceforth only joint reporting is allowed. The new section allows indicating modifications. |
| C. | C. | No change |
| D. and H.3 | D | These two sections have been merged and the table format converted into subsections. Some guiding comments were revised. |
| E. | E. | This section has been substantially revised in light of experience with, inter alia, the nature of information received through the previous format and methodological work. |

| URF Section | Revised URF Section | Comments |
|---------------|---------------------|--|
| E.1 | E.1 | Baseline/reference scenario: Subsections were added in order to structure existing and to trigger additional information (such as identifying the developer of the baseline/reference scenario; the type of approach; reasons for selecting a baseline/reference scenario; reasons for the choice of project lifetime; detailed description of calculations of GHG values including underlying assumptions etc.) |
| --- | E.2 | New subsection added allowing reporting on revisions of the baseline/reference scenario (dynamic baselines). |
| E.2 | E.3 and E.4 | The section was split into two new sections. E.3 allows reporting on the project scenario, i.e. the projection for the activity. E.4 allows reporting information on the actual project. |
| Tables in E.2 | E.5 | The orientation of columns and rows has been changed in light of comments by Parties. Values are to be converted using 1995 IPCC global warming potential (GWP) values ³ based on the effects of greenhouse gases over a 100-year time. Three subsections are suggested representing three types of tables similar in their structure. Table E.5.1 allows reporting values on the baseline and the project scenario prior to the lifetime of the project. Section E.5.2 provides the possibility to report on revisions and E.5.3 on actual values. |
| F. | F | The section has been revised adding subsections and tables. Subsection one provides for an explanation on financial additionality (Financial mechanism and ODA). Subsection two and three provide a table to report on sources of funding by origin (host / investor), the category (e.g. NGO, IGO, private sector, public sector etc.) and the respective amounts sought/secured. |
| G. | G. | The section has been revised adding subsections and providing detailed questions. |
| H. | H. | The subsections have been either dropped (H.1, H.2, H.4 and H.5) or moved (H.3 see above). |

³ As provided by the IPCC in its Second Assessment Report. Please refer also to conclusions of the SBSTA at its fourth session (FCCC/SBSTA/1996/20) and decision 2/CP.3 (FCCC/CP/1997/7/Add.1).

**DRAFT REVISED UNIFORM REPORTING FORMAT:
ACTIVITIES IMPLEMENTED JOINTLY UNDER
THE PILOT PHASE**

Instructions for submission

1. The uniform reporting format (URF) contained below is to be used in reporting on activities implemented jointly under the pilot phase and was adopted by the Conference of the Parties at its [fifth] session (FCCC/CP/[1999/...]) and should be consistent with decision 5/CP.1, contained in annex 4 to this URF.
2. A report (first, interim or final) is to be submitted to the secretariat by the designated national authority (DNA) of a participating Party with proof of concurrence, on official letterhead, of all other DNAs involved in the project and is then considered to be “mutually-agreed”. While the submitting Party may initially forward reports using electronic mail in combination with fax for the proof of concurrence, all documents must be made available to the secretariat in original form.

CONTENTS (major headings only)

- A. Governmental acceptance, approval or endorsement**
- B. Summary of AIJ project**
 - B.1 Title of project**
 - B.2 Participants**
 - B.3 Activity summary**
 - B.3.1 General description
 - B.3.2 Type of activity
 - B.3.3 Location (e.g. city, region, state)
 - B.3.4 Stage of activity
 - B.3.5 Lifetime
- C. Compatibility with and supportiveness of national economic development and socio-economic and environment priorities and strategies**
- D. Environmental, economic and social and cultural impacts**
 - D.1 Environmental impact (positive and/or negative impacts)**
 - D.2 Economic impact (positive and/or negative impacts)**
 - D.3 Social and cultural impact (positive and/or negative impacts)**
- E. Calculation of the contribution of AIJ projects that bring about real, measurable and long-term environmental benefits, related to the mitigation of climate change, that would otherwise not have occurred**
 - E.1 The baseline/reference scenario for the project**
 - E.2 Revisions of the baseline/reference scenario for the project**

- E.3 The project scenario**
- E.4 Scope and performance of the actual project**
- E.5 Tables on real, measurable, long-term GHG emission reductions and/or removals by sinks (in CO₂ equivalent)**
 - E.5.1 Projected real, measurable and long-term GHG reductions or removals by sinks
 - E.5.2 Revised projected real, measurable and long-term GHG reductions or removals by sinks
 - E.5.3 Actual real, measurable and long-term GHG reductions or removals by sinks
- E.6 Mutually agreed assessment procedures**
 - E.6.1 Monitoring
 - E.6.2 Verification
 - E.6.3 Certification
- E.7 Cost (to the extent possible)**

- F. Financing**
 - F.1 Financial additionality**
 - F.2 Project development**
 - F.3 Project implementation / operation**

- G. Contribution to capacity-building, transfer of environmentally sound technologies and know-how**
 - G.1 Identification of environmentally sound technology and know-how**
 - G.2 Characteristics of environmentally sound technology**
 - G.3 Impact of the AIJ project on capacity-building and transfer of environmentally sound technology and know-how**

- H. Additional comments**

Annexes

Annex 1 - PARTICIPANTS' CONTACT INFORMATION

Annex 2 - PROJECT TYPE DESCRIPTORS

Annex 3 - 1995 IPCC GLOBAL WARMING POTENTIAL (GWP) VALUES BASED ON THE EFFECTS OF GREENHOUSE GASES OVER A 100-YEAR TIME HORIZON

Annex 4 - DECISION X/CP.[5] (decision adopting the revised URF and requesting Parties to use this format)

Annex 5 - DECISION 5/CP.1

A. Governmental acceptance, approval or endorsement

* Date of this report:

* This report is a (*please underline*):

- First report
- Interim report
- Final report

* In the case of an interim or final report, please indicate which sections were modified since the last report (*e.g. B.2, E.2.4, F.2*)

* Please attach letters of concurrence, on official letterhead of **all** designated national authorities (DNA) of participating Parties. (*See "Instructions for submission" above*)

B. Summary of AIJ project

B.1 Title of project

B.2 Participants

Please describe briefly role(s) of the main participating organization(s) and provide detailed contact information in annex 1:

B.3 Activity summary

B.3.1 General description

Please provide a brief description of the project including brief information on the GHG impact as well as the type and scale of the technology deployed, such as installed capacity, through-put, etc. (up to half a page):

B.3.2 Type of activity

Please use project descriptors contained in annex 2.

B.3.3 Location (e.g. city, region, state):

B.3.4 Stage of activity (*Please underline the appropriate option*):

- * Pre-feasibility study completed
- * Feasibility study completed
- * In start-up phase
(*e.g. ensuring financing, construction of site, purchase of land, etc.*)
- * In operation
(*e.g. new windmill plant is connected, converted boiler reconnected, etc. and real, measurable and long-term GHG emission reductions or removals by sinks are generated*)
- * Completed
(*project no longer generates GHG reductions or removals by sinks /has been terminated*)
- * Suspended
(*Please indicate date when project activities are expected to resume, and give brief explanation of reasons (up to half a page)*):

B.3.5 Lifetime:

Please provide the following dates, as applicable, in the format DD/MM/YYYY:

- * Approval date:
(*Date at which the AIJ was mutually approved by designated national authorities of all Parties involved.*)
- * Starting date:
(*Date at which real, measurable and long-term GHG reductions or removals by sinks begin/began to be generated.*)
- * Ending date (expected):
(*Date at which AIJ project is expected to no longer generate GHG reductions or removals by sinks.*)
- * Ending date (actual):
(*Date at which AIJ project is no longer generating GHG reductions or removals by sinks / has been terminated.*)

C. General compatibility with and supportiveness of national economic development and socio-economic and environment priorities and strategies

Describe briefly (up to one page) and refer to documents, decisions and laws, as appropriate:

D. Environmental, economic, social and cultural impacts

Whenever possible, quantitative information should be provided. Failing that, a qualitative description should be given.

D.1 Environmental impact (positive and/or negative)

Please provide qualitative and quantitative information reflecting environmental impact assessment standards (attach copies of reports or provide details on information sources) (up to one page):

D.2 Economic impact (positive and/or negative)

Please provide qualitative and quantitative information on key economic indicators (attach copies of reports or provide details on sources of information) (up to 1 page):

D.3 Social and cultural impact (positive and/or negative)

Please provide qualitative and quantitative information (attach copies of a report or provide details on sources) (up to 1 page):

E. Calculation of real, measurable and long-term environmental benefits, related to the mitigation of climate change, that would not have occurred otherwise

Section E.1 should describe the baseline/reference scenario, i.e. what would have occurred in the absence of the AIJ project, including methodologies applied. Section E.2 provides the opportunity to report on baseline revisions as applicable. In Section E.3, the AIJ project scenario should be presented including the methods applied to calculate the levels of emissions and removals by sinks. Section E.4 should provide information on the actual project. Section E.5 shows the data in tabular format. Section E.6 provides for the reporting of monitoring, verification and, if applicable, certification arrangements. Information on cost is to be reported in section E.7.

If this project has several sub-activities, please reproduce sections E.1 to E.5 for each sub-activity, as appropriate.

E.1 The baseline/reference scenario for the project

E.1.1 * Date of baseline study: (DD/MM/YYYY)

* Carried out by (name) (Please provide detailed contact information in annex I):

E.1.2 Baseline methodology applied (*Please underline the appropriate option(s)*):

- * Project-specific:
 - simulating a likely situation that would have existed without the project
 - taking an actual reference case project
 - other (*Please specify (insert lines as needed)*):
- * 'Benchmark' / 'technology matrix'
- * Economic or energy modeling ('top-down')

E.1.3 Reasons for selecting a baseline (*Describe briefly (up to 1 page)*):

E.1.4 Details on the methodology applied:

- * System boundary/degree of aggregation (*Please underline and describe briefly below the system boundary (up to half a page)*):
 - global
 - national
 - sectoral (*please specify*): _____
 - project
 - other (*please specify*): _____
- * Discount rates applied (*in per cent*):
 - investor country: _____
 - host country: _____
- * Risk factors (*quantify if possible*):
 - investor country: _____
 - host country: _____
- * Reasons for the choice of lifetime (*Describe briefly (up to half a page)*):
- * Calculation of values reported in 'Baseline scenario' in table E.5.1 column (A):
Please describe also assumptions and factors underlying the calculations (such as activity data, load factors), as well as effects occurring outside the system boundary covering:
 - (i) positive effects (e.g. technology spillovers; awareness building; cost reduction of technology due to scale effects; attraction of demand for clean, reliable services); and
 - (ii) negative effects (e.g. displacing activities that cause emissions in another location; purchasing or contracting out of services and commodities that were previously produced or provided on-site and now lead to emissions elsewhere; emission increases due to higher demand for services and commodities whose market price has been reduced through the project; changes in emissions during a life-cycle of a product so that emissions arise in other stages of the life-cycle that are not subject to constraints). If you use an overall leakage correction factor, please explain your choice.*(up to 2 pages):*

E.2 Revision of the baseline/reference scenario for the project

E.2.1 Baseline revisions are planned (please underline): Yes/ No

If yes, please complete the remainder of section E.2.

E.2.2 Revisions are planned at regular intervals (please underline): Yes/ No

* If yes, please specify date of first revision and the length of the intervals:

* If no, please explain revision schedule (*up to half a page*):

E.2.3 If a baseline revision is covered with this report, indicate:

* List parameters changed in the revision(s) (*e.g. Revision 1 - energy demand, Revision 2: energy mix of the host grid, etc.*):

* Date of last baseline revision: (*DD/MM/YYYY*)

* Date of the next baseline revision: (*DD/MM/YYYY*)

E.2.4 Describe briefly the nature of each revision including the calculation of the new set of values in 'Baseline scenario' in column (A) of the table in section E.5.2 (*Please be sure to take into account, to the extent possible, effects occurring outside the system boundary. Please ensure consistent references*) (*up to one page for each revision*)

E.3 The project scenario

E.3.1 Assumptions for the activity/project scenario and system boundary (*Indicate to which extent these assumptions and boundaries differ from the baseline scenario*):

E.3.2 Describe the project scenario (*up to 2 pages*):

E.3.3 Calculation of values reported in 'Project scenario' in table E.5.1 column (B)

Please describe also assumptions and factors underlying the calculations (such as activity data, load factors), as well as effects occurring outside the system boundary covering:

(i) positive effects (e.g. technology spillovers; awareness building; cost reduction of technology due to scale effects; attraction of demand for clean, reliable services); and

(ii) negative effects (e.g. displacing activities that cause emissions in another location; purchasing or contracting out of services and commodities that were previously produced or provided on-site and now lead to emissions elsewhere; emission increases due to higher demand for services and commodities whose market price has been reduced through the project; changes in emissions during a life-cycle of a product so that emissions arise in other stages of the life-cycle that are not subject to constraints). If you use an overall leakage correction factor, please explain your choice.

(up to 2 pages):

E.4 Scope and performance of the actual project

Describe changes with regard to the project scenario (See section E.3 above):

E.5 Tables on real, measurable long-term GHG emission reductions or removals by sinks (in CO₂ equivalent)

E.5.1 Projected real, measurable and long-term GHG reductions or removals by sinks

Summary table prior to the lifetime of the project
(in metric tons of CO₂ equivalent ^(a))

Insert rows as needed

| Year | Baseline scenario ^(b) (A) | | | | Project scenario ^(b) (B) | | | | Projected real, measurable and long-term GHG reductions (-) and/or removals by sinks (+) ((B)-(A)) | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------|------------------|-------|
| | CO ₂ | CH ₄ ^(a) | N ₂ O ^(a) | other ^(a) | CO ₂ | CH ₄ ^(a) | N ₂ O ^(a) | other ^(a) | CO ₂ | CH ₄ | N ₂ O | other |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^(a) Please convert values into global warming potentials, referring to annex 3 for conversion factors.

^(b) Including effects occurring outside the system boundary.

E.5.2 Revised projected real, measurable and long-term GHG reductions or removals by sinks
Please prepare for each revision a table starting with the year of the baseline revision

Summary table for: Revision number____ (Please fill in as appropriate)
(in metric tons of CO₂ equivalent^(a))

Insert rows as needed

| Year | Baseline scenario ^(b) (A) | | | | Project scenario ^(b) (B) | | | | Revised real, measurable and long-term GHG reductions (-) and/or removals by sinks (+) ((B)-(A)) | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------|------------------|-------|
| | CO ₂ | CH ₄ ^(a) | N ₂ O ^(a) | other ^(a) | CO ₂ | CH ₄ ^(a) | N ₂ O ^(a) | other ^(a) | CO ₂ | CH ₄ | N ₂ O | other |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^(a) Please convert values into global warming potentials, referring to annex 3 for conversion factors.

^(b) Including effects occurring outside the system boundary.

E.5.3 Actual real, measurable and long-term GHG reductions or removals by sinks

Summary table
(in metric tons of CO₂ equivalent ^(a))

Please insert values assessed ex post i.e. after measurement. Insert rows as needed

| Year | Baseline scenario ^{(b)(c)} (A) | | | | Actual project ^{(b)(c)} (B) | | | | Actual real, measurable and long-term GHG reductions (-) and/or removals by sinks (+) ((B)-(A)) | | | | Values indicated are: * verified (V) * certified (C) |
|--------------|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------|------------------|-------|--|
| | CO ₂ | CH ₄ ^(a) | N ₂ O ^(a) | other ^(a) | CO ₂ | CH ₄ ^(a) | N ₂ O ^(a) | other ^(a) | CO ₂ | CH ₄ | N ₂ O | other | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^(a) Please convert values into global warming potentials, referring to annex 3 for conversion factors.

^(b) Including effects occurring outside the system boundary.

^(c) Values that differ from those in the table E.5.1 should be marked in **bold**.

E.6 Mutually-agreed assessment procedures

E.6.1 Monitoring

- * Does the project have a monitoring plan?(Please underline): Yes / No
- * Summarize briefly the key elements of the monitoring plan (i.e. which parameters are being monitored, with what frequency, providing sampling intensities if appropriate, associated uncertainties, etc.) (not more than 1 page):
- * Is the monitoring conducted by project proponents?(Please underline): Yes / No
- * If no, which organization(s) is/are involved: Kindly indicate the type of organization(s): consultancy, accredited certification body, government body, university etc. and provide their detailed contact information in annex 1 to this report:

E.6.2 Verification

- * Is the activity subject to independent verification (Please underline): Yes / No
- * If no, independent verification intended?(Please underline): Yes / No
- * If yes, what organization(s) is/are involved: Please indicate the type of organization(s) (consultancy, accredited certification body, government body, university, etc.), and provide their detailed contact information in annex 1 to this report. Indicate the frequency of the assessments, how many assessments have taken place to date, and whether the assessment report(s) is/are publicly available if requested:
- * Summarize briefly the key elements of the verification activities: (Please describe issues such as the project sign; project implementation; assessment of the baseline; key project parameters being verified; the frequency of assessment/surveillance; sampling approach applied by the assessing organization; etc.) (up to one page):

E.6.3 Certification

Certification is not a formal requirement under the AIJ pilot phase. If the project has made provisions for third Party certification, please indicate the certification body, the frequency of certification, and attach copies of the certification agreement / protocol(s):

E. 7 Cost (to the extent possible)

E.7.1 The cost information is (Please underline):

- * provided below
- * not provided because the data is (Please underline):
 - not yet available
 - classified as confidential

E.7.2 Project costs and revenues

Please list cost/revenue figures per year (insert rows as needed):

| Year | Cost/revenue description | incurred/ projected ^(a) | Amount in US\$ | NPV ^(b) in US\$ |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| Project development costs | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| (1) Subtotal project development costs | | | | |
| Capital costs | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| (2) Subtotal capital costs | | | | |
| Installation costs | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| (3) Subtotal installation costs | | | | |
| Operational/maintenance costs | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| (4) Subtotal operational/maintenance costs | | | | |
| Other costs | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| (5) Subtotal other costs | | | | |
| Transaction costs | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| (6) Subtotal transaction costs | | | | |
| Revenues | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| (7) Subtotal revenues | | | | |
| (8) Gross AIJ project costs (sum subtotals (1) to (5) above) | | | | |
| (9) Gross AIJ transaction costs (repeat value (6) above) | | | | |
| (10) Gross AIJ project revenues (repeat value (7) above) | | | | |

^(a) Enter I=incurred, P=projected

^(b) Use NPV method to calculate values. Please indicate hereafter relevant assumptions on, inter alia, exchange rates, discount and interest rates:

F. Financing

F.1 Financial additionality

The financing of AIJ shall be **additional** to financial obligations of Parties included in Annex II to the Convention within the framework of the financial mechanism, as well as to current official development assistance (ODA) flows (decision 5/CP.1). Please explain additionality in the context of this project (up to half a page).

F.2 Project development

* Total financing required (in thousand US\$): _____

Insert rows as necessary

| Source of project funding including pre-feasibility phase (For each source one line) | Origin (1) | Category (2) | Amount (in thousand US\$) | |
|--|---------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------|
| | | | sought | secured |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

(1) Enter: H = host country, I= investor country, O=other

(2) Enter: 1 = Private sector contribution; 2 = Private sector loan; 3 = Public sector contribution; 4 = Public sector loan; 5 = NGO contribution; 6 = NGO loan; 7= IGO contribution; 8 = IGO loan; 9 = GEF funding; 10 = ODA funding. Contribution may refer to grants or in-kind contributions (please specify):

F.3 Project implementation/operation

* Total financing required (in thousand US\$): _____

Insert rows as necessary

| Source of project funding (For each source one line) | Origin (1) | Category (2) | Amount (in thousand US\$) | |
|---|---------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------|
| | | | sought | secured |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

(1) Enter: H = host country, I= investor country, O=other

(2) Enter: 1 = Private sector contribution; 2 = Private sector loan; 3 = Public sector contribution; 4 = Public sector loan; 5 = NGO contribution; 6 = NGO loan; 7 = IGO contribution; 8 = IGO loan; 9 = GEF funding; 10 = ODA funding. Contribution may refer to grants or in-kind contributions (please specify):

G. Contribution to capacity-building, and the transfer of environmentally sound technologies and know-how

Note: Such contribution to other Parties, particularly developing country Parties, is to enable them to implement the provisions of the Convention. In this process, developed country Parties shall support the development and enhancement of endogenous capacities and technologies of developing country Parties

G.1 Identification of environmentally sound technology and know-how

Please identify the specific technologies transferred by providing, for each technology:

- * name of manufacturer:
- * place of manufacture (*Country*):
- * model names/numbers of equipment (*where appropriate*):
- * as well as any other relevant key specific technology characteristics:
- * where applicable, name and location of provider and nature of training:

G.2 Characteristics of environmentally sound technology

The technology is (underline the option):

- * in a research and development stage
- * being tested or demonstrated in similar conditions outside host country
- * is at the initial stage of introduction into world market
- * is at the initial stage of introduction into host market
- * is commercially available and deployed in the world market
- * is commercially available and deployed in the host market
- * is not characterized by above options. *Please describe:*

G.3 Impact of the AIJ project on capacity-building and transfer of environmentally sound technology and know-how

Please provide information on whether the project has had an impact regarding indicators, such as:

- * *information dissemination*
 - * *centres and networks*
 - * *effect on market development (e.g. relative changes in installed capacities, number of systems installed, investment volumes, sales volumes)*
 - * *specific barriers overcome (informational, financial, legal, institutional)*
 - * *institutions strengthened*
 - * *new financing schemes/models introduced*
 - * *new legal/institutional arrangements*
 - * *other*
- (up to two pages):*

H. Additional comments

Complete as appropriate:

Annex 1 to the uniform reporting format**PARTICIPANTS CONTACT INFORMATION**

Please provide contact information for each organization. Add rows as required (by copying and pasting)

| Name | Address ^(a) | Voice/Fax/E-mail |
|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Organization(s) ^(b) : | | |
| Function(s) within activity ^(c) : | | |
| Officer responsible: | | Tel: Fax: E-mail: |
| Contact person, if different from above: | | Voice: Fax: E-mail: |

^(a) Address should include: Department; Street; Postal code; City; Country and an Internet address of the organization (if available).

^(b) Organization includes: institutions, ministries, government agency closely following the activity, companies, non-governmental organizations, etc. involved in the activity, i.e. research institutes associated with the project, auditors, etc.

^(c) Function within activity: Please use the following categories:

| Function | Description of function |
|--|---|
| <i>Project development</i> | <i>Designing/developing the AIJ project and/or submitting the AIJ project proposal</i> |
| <i>Project administration</i> | <i>Implementing and administering the AIJ project activities</i> |
| <i>Government regulation/oversight</i> | <i>Ensuring compliance of the project with laws and regulations</i> |
| <i>Technical assistance</i> | <i>Providing scientific or other technical guidance for the purposes of project development and/or project administration</i> |
| <i>Financing</i> | <i>Serving as a source of funding for the AIJ project</i> |
| <i>Monitoring</i> | <i>Monitoring the environmental and/or socio-economic results of the project in accordance with a monitoring protocol</i> |
| <i>Verification</i> | <i>Verifying results (environmental and/or socio-economic) achieved by a project against preset criteria</i> |
| <i>Certification</i> | <i>Providing written assurance that a performance is achieved and/or a set of criteria is met by an activity</i> |
| <i>Other (please specify)</i> | |

Annex 2 to the uniform reporting format**PROJECT TYPE DESCRIPTORS**

To describe the type of project activity, please specify the sector(s) and activity(ies). Use a combination from the first column and one option from the second column.

| Sector | Activity |
|--|--|
| Energy | Fuel-switching, alternative energy generation, improving energy efficiency, improving fuel handling, fugitive methane utilization, other (please specify) |
| Industrial processes (Excluding GHG emissions from energy production) | Material substitution, process/equipment change, waste treatment/recovery/recycling, other (please specify) |
| Solvent and other product use | Material substitution, process/equipment change, waste treatment/recovery/recycling, other (please specify) |
| Agriculture | Livestock productivity management, livestock manure management, crop management, crop-switching, fertilizer management, fertilizer substitution, other (please specify) |
| Land-use change and forestry | Forest preservation, afforestation, reforestation, agroforestry, silviculture (forest management), fire management, sustainable harvesting, reduced impact logging, manufacture of durable wood products, other (please specify) |
| Waste | Solid waste management, landfill methane recovery, wastewater management, other (please specify) |

Annex 3 to the uniform reporting format

1995 IPCC GLOBAL WARMING POTENTIAL (GWP) VALUES¹ BASED ON THE EFFECTS OF GREENHOUSE GASES OVER A 100-YEAR TIME HORIZON

| Greenhouse gas | Chemical formula | 1995 IPCC GWP |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Carbon dioxide | CO ₂ | 1 |
| Methane | CH ₄ | 21 |
| Nitrous oxide | N ₂ O | 310 |

¹ As provided by the IPCC in its Second Assessment Report. Please refer to conclusions of the SBSTA at its fourth session (FCCC/SBSTA/1996/20) and decision 2/CP.3 (FCCC/CP/1997/7/Add.1).

| Greenhouse gas | Chemical formula | 1995 IPCC GWP |
|---------------------------|---|---------------|
| Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) | | |
| HFC-23 | CHF ₃ | 11700 |
| HFC-32 | CH ₂ F ₂ | 650 |
| HFC-41 | CH ₃ F | 150 |
| HFC-43-10mee | C ₅ H ₂ F ₁₀ | 1300 |
| HFC-125 | C ₂ HF ₅ | 2800 |
| HFC-134 | C ₂ H ₂ F ₄ (CHF ₂ CHF ₂) | 1000 |
| HFC-134a | C ₂ H ₂ F ₄ (CH ₂ FCF ₃) | 1300 |
| HFC-152a | C ₂ H ₄ F ₂ (CH ₃ CHF ₂) | 140 |
| HFC-143 | C ₂ H ₃ F ₃ (CHF ₂ CH ₂ F) | 300 |
| HFC-143a | C ₂ H ₃ F ₃ (CF ₃ CH ₃) | 3800 |
| HFC-227ea | C ₃ HF ₇ | 2900 |
| HFC-236fa | C ₃ H ₂ F ₆ | 6300 |
| HFC-245ca | C ₃ H ₃ F ₅ | 560 |
| Perfluorocarbons | | |
| Perfluoromethane | CF ₄ | 6500 |
| Perfluoroethane | C ₂ F ₆ | 9200 |
| Perfluoropropane | C ₃ F ₈ | 7000 |
| Perfluorobutane | C ₄ F ₁₀ | 7000 |
| Perfluorocyclobutane | c-C ₄ F ₈ | 8700 |
| Perfluoropentane | C ₅ F ₁₂ | 7500 |
| Perfluorohexane | C ₆ F ₁₄ | 7400 |
| Sulphur hexafluoride | SF ₆ | 23900 |

Annex 4 to the uniform reporting format

[Decision adopting the revised URF]

Annex 5 to the uniform reporting format

[Decision 5/CP.1]
