

Review practice guidance: substantive topics

Guidance for discussions in parallel groups

3rd BRs and NCs lead reviewers meeting

4 March, 2016



Katia Simeonova, Mitigation Data Analysis programme, UNFCCC secretariat

Bonn, 3-4 March 2016

Organization of work: 3 break out discussion groups

Group A: Mitigation actions, GHG emissions trends, projections and supplementary information reported under the Kyoto Protocol

Facilitated by:

Brian Mantlana, lead reviewer, South Africa and

Dylan Muggeridge, reviewer, New Zealand

Supported by:

Sylvie Marchand,
Xuehong Wang,
Kyoko Miwa

Group B: Emissions reduction target

Facilitated by:

Christoph Streissler, lead reviewer, Austria and

Vute Wangwacharakul, lead reviewer, Thailand

Supported by:

Barbara Muik,
Bernd Hackman

Group C: Provision of financial, technological and capacity building support

Facilitated by:

Ana Maria Danila, reviewer, EU,

Gao Xiang, reviewer, China

Supported by:

Veronica Colerio,
Daniel Hooper



Organization of work: guidance for the discussions

- Review the solutions reflected in the RPG and suggest **how to improve** them;
- **Fine-tune approach** rather than fine-tune the wording.
- Note, discuss and agree on solution of **any new common issue**, raised by LRs;
- In case where the solution proposed in RPG/or fine-tuned solution by LRs is not acceptable by all LRs, the issue will be **“put on hold“**. The issue will be subject to further analysis and reflection at the next LRs meeting.
- Facilitators of the groups are **to report back to the plenary 12:00 - 13:00** on the outcomes of the discussions and the substance of the suggested improvements; The reports by the facilitators (with fine-tuned issues and “put on hold” issues”) will be included **as the Annex to the LRs conclusions**;
- The secretariat incorporates in the RPG the suggestions proposed by LRs and publishes the revised version of RPG in due time.

