

# Outcome of the discussion group C on Provision of financial, technological and capacity building support

3<sup>rd</sup> BRs and NCs lead reviewers meeting

3-4 March, 2016



***Co-facilitators:***

Bonn, 4 March 2016

# The approaches supported by the LRs as they are in the RPG

---

- **Issue 2** - Information on financial support provided by non-Annex II Parties;  
Agreed
- **Issue 4** - Review indicators, delivery mechanisms and allocation channels tracked;  
Agreed.
- **Issue 5** - Success and failure stories with regard to technology transfer;  
Agreed



# The improved by the LRs

---

- **Issue 1** - Party does not report on its contribution to the Adaptation Fund - **Agreed with minor change (clarify this only applies to NC KP)**
- **Issue 3** – Using external sources to fill in the gaps in reporting of financial information; should be both domestic and USD currency – **Agreed with minor change (on capacity building and mention of both currency types/exchange rates)**
- **Issue 6** - The correspondence of capacity-building needs to the support provided - **Agreed with minor change (on transparency vs. completeness guidance).**
- **Issue 7** - Effectiveness of the provision of resources - **Agreed with minor change (on transparency vs. completeness guidance).**



## New issues and solutions

---

- BR CTF table 7 (b) includes Annex I Parties. **Solution:** Experts to check if in table 7(b) Annex I parties are listed and if yes, the ERT to note in the TRR that Annex I Parties were included in table 7(b);
- BR CTF table 7 (a) (Status, Financial Instrument, Sector) – Potential transparency issues experienced by the ERT. **Secretariat to provide analysis for next meeting;**
- Interpretation of “as appropriate” (para 17 BR guidelines) on the status of the funds. **Secretariat to provide analysis for next meeting.**

