# Cumulative carbon emissions and their implications

#### **Myles Allen**

School of Geography and the Environment and Department of Physics, University of Oxford myles.allen@ouce.ox.ac.uk

thanks to Niel Bowerman, David Frame & many others DECC/Defra AVOID programme and Smith School of Enterprise and the Environment

trillionthtonne.org



#### Key science message and policy implications

- To avoid dangerous climate change, we need to limit the total amount of CO<sub>2</sub> released into the atmosphere: to a total that is substantially less than fossil carbon reserves.
  - Long-term vision must address the fate of fossil carbon that cannot be released into the atmosphere: measures to slow emission rate by 20% or 50% can buy time, but we also need a plan to reduce emissions to zero.
  - Emissions of short-lived agents only affect peak warming under conditions in which CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are already falling rapidly or close to zero.



trillionthtonne.org

## Cumulative emissions of carbon dioxide are the principal determinant of dangerous climate change



### Most likely peak warming is strongly determined by cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> emissions to 2200



### Most likely peak warming is only weakly determined by the CO<sub>2</sub> budget 2010-2050



trillionthtonne.org

#### Does it matter? Who cares what happens after 2050?

"If governments are serious ... they will find ways to keep emissions within "safe" bounds. If they are not serious, it doesn't matter how you slice up the problem - it won't be solved." Richard Black, BBC

#### Cumulative limits matter for:

- How we cut carbon emissions: the technologies we need to cut by 20 or 50% may not help cut emissions to zero.
- How we balance effort on CO<sub>2</sub> with effort on short-lived climate forcing agents.
- If we want to avoid dangerous climate change, we have only two options for the 2<sup>nd</sup> trillion tonnes:
  - Ban it: prevent that fossil carbon being used at all, ever.
  - Bury it: accept it will be used & sequester the resulting CO<sub>2</sub>.



trillionthtonne.org

#### How "atmospheric space" relates to fossil fuel reserves



### Complex ESMs including non-CO<sub>2</sub> forcing suggest an even smaller CO<sub>2</sub> budget



- Including non-CO<sub>2</sub> forcing suggests fossil carbon emissions have to fall immediately and become negative after 2060
  - Arora et al, 2011
- Preventing >2°C warming cannot be achieved by cutting fossil carbon emissions alone.



trillionthtonne.org

### But is action on short-lived climate forcing agents even a temporary alternative?



- "near-term emission control measures (on CH4 and BC), together with measures to reduce CO2 emissions, would greatly improve the chances of keeping Earth's temperature increase to less than 2°C."
- But emissions of short-lived agents over the coming decades have relatively little impact on peak warming around or after 2100.



#### trillionthtonne.org

### Contributions of emissions in individual decades to peak warming: "Two-degrees scenario"



trillionthtonne.org

### Contributions of emissions in individual decades to peak warming: "Three-degrees scenario"





trillionthtonne.org

#### Key science message and policy implications

- "We urge the participants ... to acknowledge the need to limit cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> emissions as one element of their vision for long-term cooperative action to avoid dangerous climate change."
  - Allen, Archer, Frame, Matthews, Meinshausen, Schneider, Weaver, Zickfeld, Open Letter to SBSTA participants, 2009
- It's not just about reducing emission rates:
  - Burning carbon slower does not solve the problem.
  - Exclusive focus on short-term targets may lead to underinvestment in technologies, like CCS, that will be needed to get emissions to zero.
- Emissions of short-lived agents only affect peak warming under conditions in which CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are already falling rapidly or close to zero.
  trillionthtonne.org

