

## Report by

H.E. Ms. Åsa Romson, Minister for Climate and the Environment, Sweden and  
H.E. Mr. René Orellana, Minister for Planning for Development, Bolivia

**To the second meeting of the Comité de Paris held on 8 December 2015**

### **On their informal consultations undertaken Adaptation and Loss and Damage**

Excellency, Minister Laurent Fabius,  
Heads of delegations,

- My co-facilitator and I would like to **thank you for the opportunity** to facilitate the process of **finding agreement and consensus on adaptation and loss and damage**.
- Over the course of today and yesterday, we held a **number of bilateral consultations as well as an open meeting** in which Parties laid down their views on how adaptation and loss and damage should be reflected in the Paris outcome. We are aware that we have received additional requests for meetings and we are trying to accommodate them.
- Parties made it clear that **most of the provisions contained in Article 4 represent a broad landing ground**. They also expressed their willingness to be flexible and find compromise solutions on: the global goal on adaptation, the links between adaptation and mitigation and communications of adaptation efforts.
- **Possible landing grounds** in these areas could be a clear goal for adaptation with links with Art.2; a recognition of the links between adaptation and mitigation and a communication process that is flexible and does not create additional burdens for developing countries.
- However, there are still **a number of open issues that we need to resolve**, in particular, the way in which **support for adaptation** is reflected, including the assessment of its adequacy;
- In terms of **Art.5 loss and damage**, there is no clear landing ground yet, as liability and compensation are red lines for Parties. How to strengthen institutional arrangements for addressing loss and damage is also being deliberated.
- In addition, there are a number of cross-cutting outstanding issues where we believe **resolution in other parts of the agreement could facilitate finding consensus**, including for example, references to a temperature goal, vulnerability, or to common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities.
- Thank you, Mr. President.