

INFORMAL SUMMARY OF THE AWG-LCA WORKSHOP

Workshop on nationally appropriate mitigation actions submitted by developing country Parties, underlying assumptions, and any support needed for implementation of these actions, as requested by decision 1/CP.16, paragraph 51

Summary by co-chairs of issues raised by participants

version of 13 June 2011 @ 12:00

Presentations made by:

Chile, Ethiopia, Alliance of Small island States, Vietnam, Kenya, European Union, Bolivia, Norway, United States of America, Climate Action Network, international

Substantive points

- Illustrative presentations presenting a wide variety of actions and confirming that significant mitigation measures are being taken.
- Co-benefits associated with mitigation action, e.g. in relation to health, jobs, and balance of payments.
- Significant interest in sharing experience on the development of NAMAs, including the use of templates and formats, while recognizing the limitations due to the diversity of national circumstances and types of actions.
- The significant potential for emission reductions in developing countries, in the context of support.
- Needs and approaches to capacity building and other support, including the role of the Financial Mechanism.
- Calls for regional balance in the support provided for NAMAs.
- Consideration of growing developing country emissions and the link to a global "emissions gap".
- The fact that a significant number of developing countries have not submitted NAMAs and the need to support and encourage that this happens.
- The importance of sharing of information on assumptions and approaches, including with regard to objectives related to "business as usual" and "intensity targets".
- Benefits in national mitigation planning of information provided through MRV systems.
- The role of ICA and IAR in the international conversation on mitigation.
- The significance of the diversity of both NAMAs and support, inter alia in relation to the design and operation of the registry.
- The relationship between supported NAMAs and the CDM, and the need to avoid "double counting".

Workshop on nationally appropriate mitigation actions submitted by developing country Parties, underlying assumptions, and any support needed for implementation of these actions, as requested by decision 1/CP.16, paragraph 51

Way forward

- Calls for continued work in a workshop format. However need to consider time constraints and the balance with formal negotiations.
 - Requests for more structure to be added to future presentations and discussions, possibly through a thematic approach.
 - Suggestions for a technical paper by the Secretariat based on the existing INF document with submitted NAMAs, with reminders that parameters should be distinct from the paper done for developed countries.
 - Proposals for new submissions to clarify the information submitted on NAMAs.
 - Request for written report at this session.
-