



27.08.2010 № 02-01-20/1818-и

Астана қаласы

город Астана

Ms. Christiana Figueres
Executive Secretary
UNFCCC Secretariat
Haus Carstanjen
Martin-Luther-King-Strasse 8
P.O. Box 260 124,
53153 Bonn, Germany
Fax: +49 228 815 1999
E-mail: secretariat@unfccc.int

Dear Ms. Christiana Figueres,

In accordance with the outcomes of the thirteenth session of the Ad Hoc Working Group on Further Commitments for Annex I Parties under the Kyoto Protocol and taking into consideration the UNFCCC Secretariat's Message to Parties (ref.:ODES/AWGLCA11/AWGKP13/10) of 10 August 2010 on early submission of information and views in order to facilitate actions for successful outcomes to be achieved in Cancun, Ministry of Environment Protection of the Republic of Kazakhstan hereby submits its views in relation to the draft proposal FCCC/KP/AWG/2010/CRP.2 by the AWG-KP Chair.

Selected options with comments and additions that Kazakhstan envisages to be further negotiated in Tianjin and could be agreed as the texts for adoption in decisions at the COP and CMP plenary sessions are in the attachment.

Attachment: draft proposal FCCC/KP/AWG/2010/CRP.2 with comments and additions made by Kazakhstan (marked with green color) – 34p.

Sincerely yours,

Vice-minister

E. Sadvakassova

015686

In Chapter I to the line starting with “*Having considered...*” after the words “ the Kyoto Protocol” add “and its Annexes”. Among the enlisted documents in footnote 1 the following document FCCC/KP/CMP/2009/L.3 should be mentioned as well.

Option 2 is proposed to be discussed further by Parties.

As for the tables in Annex the option 1 of the table is suggested to be considered and Kazakhstan is inclined for the next quantified emission limitation or reduction commitment period to negotiate the timeframe from 2013 to 2020.

It is suggested in Option B to take into consideration Option 1.4; Option 1 of G; Option 3 of H; Option 2 of I; Option 2 of J.

The paragraph 13 of L suggested completely repeats the paragraph already set in the Kyoto Protocol.

In the proposed text of M the figure 12 should be replaced to 13.

Option 2 of P; Option 2 of T; and Option 1 of Annex A could be taken into consideration.

In Chapter III for A Clean development mechanism the following options could be taken into consideration: Option 2 for Carbon dioxide capture and storage; Option 3 for Nuclear; Option 2 for Standardized baselines; Option 2 for Use of CERs from project activities in certain host Parties; Option 2 for Co-benefits; Option 2 for Discount factors. As for B Joint implementation – Option 3 for Nuclear; Option 2 for Co-benefits. In C section – Option 1 for carry-over (banking); Option 1 for Share of proceeds for AAU/RMU issuance.

In Option 2 for Share of proceeds the name of units to the relevant Kyoto mechanism should be adjusted.

Option 2 for Emissions trading; Option 2 for New market-based mechanism; Option 2 for Supplementarity are envisioned for further negotiations.

In Chapter IV – Option 1 for A; and in Chapter V – Option 2 could be further considered.