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**Status: AWG-KP before Doha**

- Significant progress in Durban in 2011
  - 2nd commitment period (CP2) to start on 1 Jan. 2013
  - Many CP2 rules agreed
  - **Follow-up work at SBSTA and SBI: outcomes expected in Doha**

- Challenges for Doha
  - Adopt CP2 amendments, including CP2 length and CP2 QELROs
  - Address “legal” and “operational” continuity from 1 Jan. 2013
  - **Complete the work of AWG-KP (started in 2005)**

- AWG-KP negotiating text published on 9 October
  - Based on proposals from Parties up-to-date
  - Contains clear options for most (not yet all) issues
  - **Agreement on key AWG-KP issues appears “within reach”**
Remaining issues (all important)

1) Text from Durban (1/CMP.7) or also post-Durban inputs?
   - Parties’ views differ on **what should be basis for Doha outcome**

2) CP2 length ~ QELROs ~ ambition
   - QELROs = Annex I objectives/commitments
   - Options for CP2 length: 5 years (AOSIS, LDCs, Africa) or 8 years (Annex I Parties)
   - These are not separate issues but **one issue with several facets**
   - Not all Annex I Parties have submitted QELROs yet

3) Ensuring “legal continuity” from 1 January 2013
   - To prevent **“gap” from 1 January 2013 until CP2 entry into force**

4) Ensuring “operational continuity” from CP1 to CP2
   - **“Eligibility”**: key to maintain mechanisms from 1 January 2013
   - **“Eligibility”**: two facets – for CP2 Parties and non-CP2 Parties
   - **Decisions will have implications on the carbon market**

5) “Carry-over”&“surplus” of tradable units from CP1 to CP2
   - Most relevant to AAUs (assigned amount units) from CP1 to CP2
   - Consolidated G77&China proposal is on the table
   - Still a **difficult issue (option of “no change” also on the table)**
## Options for solutions

### General: Basis for Doha: texts from Durban only or also post-Durban?

### CP2 length ~ QELROs ~ ambition in mitigation efforts

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Length = 8 years, QELROs from Annex I Parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Length = 5 years, QELROs from AOSIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Length = 8 years, QELROs from Annex I Parties plus “review” or “forfeit” in CP2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ensuring “legal continuity”: discussions are advancing well

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How to enable “toolbox”: provisional application / unilateral declarations / decisions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Eligibility for CP2 Parties: major issue (informal consultations in Nov.)

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How to ensure continuation of mechanisms before CP2 entry into force?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How to link AWG-KP decisions with ongoing technical work under SBSTA/SBI?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Eligibility for non-CP2 Parties: divergent views

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“No” to any access to KP mechanisms? If “yes”: all mechanisms or CDM only?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Carry-over/surplus: unlimited or limited (if limited, how?)