Nations Unies

Secrétariat sur les changements climatiques

Common Operational Procedure Independent assessment reporting for registry systems

True-up period assessment report

First commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol

TRUE_UP/EE/1/1 Reference:

Version 1.0 State: Final

number:

Prepared by: Mark Looman / BE **Date:** 15/02/2016

Markwin Pieters / UNFCCC Reviewed by:

Document change record

	90 . 0 0 0		
Version	Date	Description	
0.1	28/01/2016	Prefilled draft	
0.2	09/02/2016	Draft 0.2	
1.0	15/02/2016	Final report	

Table of Contents

Reference Information	. 2
True-up period report assessment	. 4
Key findings	16

Reference Information

Ref Nr	Description	Value		
P1.0.1	Party name	Estonia		
P1.0.2	Reporting period	 1 January 2015 00:00:00 through 18 November 2015 24:00:00 UTC 2014 		
P1.0.3	Submission under review	Files provided by Estonia: [TUP Report] True-up report submitted by EE, including all documents attached to that report. • true-up_period_report_by_ee.pdf • rreg1_ee_2015_20151118.xlsx • ee_retire_sn_en.xlsx • ee_holdings_sn_en.xlsx • ee_carryover_sn_en.xlsx	Files provided by the ITL administrator: [2014 SEFCR] SEF comparison report for 2014. CR_RREG1_EE_2014.xlsx [2014 R2-R5] RITL2 - RITL5 reports for 2014. RITL2_EE_2014.xlsx RITL3_EE_2014.xlsx RITL4_EE_2014.xlsx RITL5_EE_2014.xlsx RITL5_EE_2014.xlsx RITL5_EE_2014.xlsx CR_RREG1_BE_2015_20 RITL8_REG1_BE_2015_20 RITL8_RITL2 - RITL5 reports for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015. RITL2_EE_2015.xlsx RITL3_EE_2015.xlsx RITL4_EE_2015.xlsx RITL4_EE_2015.xlsx RITL4_EE_2015.xlsx RITL5_EE_2015.xlsx RITL5_EE_	

RITL4 provides information on the frequency of reconciliation for the registry
RITL5 provides information on conversion of units provides a basis for determining whether or not a Party needs to provide Article 6 (JI) project information to meeting paragraph 46 of the annex to Decision 13/CMP.1

True-up period report assessment

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment	
True-Up	rue-Up Standard electronic format (SEF),			
including	g ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMl	Js valid for the current cale	ndar year until the end of the additional period for fulfilling commitments	
T1	Decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 89(a)	[x]Yes []No	Sub-items T1.1 - T1.3 have all been assessed as Yes.	
T1.1	Decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 49(a)	[x]Yes []No	Sub-items T1.1.1 - T1.1.10 have all been assessed as Yes.	
T1.1.1	Has the Party submitted the total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs in each account at the beginning of the year in its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in accordance with decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(a)?	[x]Yes []No	 Estonia has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 1) in the file rreg1_ee_2015_20151118.xlsx. [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 1, as submitted by EE, is consistent with SEF Table 1, as extracted from the ITL. 	
T1.1.2	Has the Party submitted the total quantity of AAUs issued on the basis of the assigned amount in its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in accordance with decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(b)?	[x]Yes []No	 Estonia has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 5(a)). [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 5(a), as submitted by EE, is consistent with SEF Table 5(a), as extracted from the ITL. 	

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
T1.1.3	Has the Party submitted the total quantity of ERUs issued on the basis of Article 6 projects in its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in accordance with decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(c)?	[x]Yes []No	 Estonia has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 2 (a) and additional information of Table 2(b)). [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 2(a) and additional information of Table 2(b), as submitted by EE, is consistent with SEF Table 2(a) and additional information of Table 2(b), as extracted from the ITL.
T1.1.4	Has the Party submitted the total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs acquired from other registries and the identity of the transferring accounts and registries in its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in accordance with decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(d)?	[x]Yes []No	 Estonia has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 2(b)). [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 2(b), as submitted by EE, is consistent with SEF Table 2(b), as extracted from the ITL.
T1.1.5	Has the Party submitted the total quantity of RMUs issued on the basis of each activity under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4 in its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in accordance with decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(e)?	[x]Yes []No	 Estonia has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 2(a)). [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 2(a), as submitted by EE, is consistent with SEF Table 2(a), as extracted from the ITL.

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
T1.1.6	Has the Party submitted the total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs transferred to other registries and the identity of the acquiring accounts and registries in its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in accordance with decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(f)?	[x]Yes []No	 Estonia has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 2(b)). [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 2(b), as submitted by EE, is consistent with SEF Table 2(b), as extracted from the ITL.
T1.1.7	Has the Party submitted the total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs cancelled on the basis of activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4 in its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in accordance with decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(g)?	[x]Yes []No	 Estonia has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 2(a)). [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 2(a), as submitted by EE, is consistent with SEF Table 2(a), as extracted from the ITL.
T1.1.8	Decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(h)		Left here for completeness purpose. As this is the assessment for the first commitment period, there are no non-compliance cancellations that occurred.
T1.1.9	Has the Party submitted the total quantity of other ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs cancelled in its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in accordance with decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(i)?	[x]Yes []No	 Estonia has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 2(a)). [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 2(a), as submitted by EE, is consistent with SEF Table 2(a), as extracted from the ITL.

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
T1.1.10	Has the Party submitted the total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs retired in its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in accordance with decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(j)?	[x]Yes []No	 Estonia has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 4). [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 4, as submitted by EE, is consistent with SEF Table 4, as extracted from the ITL.
TUP Rep		ers of ERUs, CERs, AAUs a	nd RMUs in its retirement account
T1.2	Decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 49(b)	[x]Yes []No	Sub-items T1.2.1 - T1.2.2 have all been assessed as Yes
T1.2.1	Quantity of units retired	[x]Yes[]No	 Estonia has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 4). [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 4, as submitted by EE, is consistent with SEF Table 4, as extracted from the ITL, and that the quantity of units retired by EE is consistent with SEF Table 4, as extracted from the ITL.
T1.2.2	Serial numbers of units retired	[x]Yes []No	Estonia has reported the serial numbers for the units contained in the retirement account in [TUP Report].
TUP Reprincluding period		mbers of ERUs, CERs and	AAUs which the Party requests to be carried over to the subsequent commitment
T1.3	Decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 49(c)	[x]Yes []No	Sub-items T1.3.1 - T1.3.6 have all been assessed as Yes
T1.3.1	Quantity of AAUs requested to be carried-over	[x]Yes []No	EE has indicated the number of AAUs it requests to carry-over in [TUP Report 15.]
T.1.3.2	Serial numbers of AAUs requested to be carried-over	[x]Yes []No	EE has reported the serial numbers of the AAUs it requests to carry-over in [TUP Report] in the annex file ee_holdings_sn_en.xlsx

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
T1.3.3	Quantity of CERs requested to be carried-over	[x]Yes []No	EE has indicated the number of CERs it requests to carry-over in [TUP Report].
T.1.3.4	Serial numbers of CERs requested to be carried-over	[x]Yes []No	EE has reported (or referred to) the serial numbers of the CERs it requests to carry-over in [TUP Report] in the annex file ee_holdings_sn_en.xlsx
T1.3.5	Quantity of ERUs requested to be carried-over	[x]Yes []No	EE has indicated the number of ERUs it requests to carry-over in [TUP Report].
T1.3.6	Serial numbers of ERUs requested to be carried-over	[x]Yes []No	EE has reported (or referred to) the serial numbers of the ERUs it requests to carry- over in [TUP Report] in the annex file ee_holdings_sn_en.xlsx
T2	Decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 89(b)		Assessed by ERTs. Kept here for completeness.
Т3	Decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 89(c)	[x]Yes []No	Sub-items T3.1 through T3.7 have all been assessed as Yes. Sub-items T3.10 through T3.11 have been assessed as NA since no discrepancies or non-replacements occurred.
T3.1	Decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(a)	[x]Yes []No	Completeness of the submission is assessed through all other items in this report.
Informat	ion relating to issuance, cancell	ations, retirement, transfers	, acquisitions, replacement and carry-over
T3.2	Is the information relating to issuance, cancellations, retirement, transfers, acquisitions, replacement and carry-over consistent with information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transactions log according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(b)?	[x]Yes []No	The SEF comparison report for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF tables reported by EE are consistent with the SEF tables extracted from the ITL.

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment	
Informat	nformation relating to transfers and acquisitions between national registries			
T3.3	Is the information relating to transfers and acquisitions between national registries consistent with the information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transaction log, and with information reported by the other Parties involved in the transactions according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(c)?	[x]Yes []No	The SEF comparison report for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 [TUP SEFCR] indicates in table 2B that SEF tables reported by EE are consistent with the SEF tables extracted from the ITL.	
Informat	ion relating to acquisitions of Cl	ERs, tCERs, and ICERs fror	n the CDM Registry	
T3.4	Is the information relating to acquisitions of CERs, tCERs, and ICERs from the CDM registry consistent with the information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transaction log, and with the clean development mechanism (CDM) registry according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(d)	[x]Yes []No	The SEF comparison report for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 [TUP SEFCR] indicates in table 2B that SEF tables reported by EE are consistent with the SEF tables extracted from the ITL.	

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
Issuance	e, acquirement, transfer, cancel	lation, retirement, or carry-o	ver of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs
T3.5	Have ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs been issued, acquired, transferred, cancelled, retired, or carried over to the subsequent or from the previous commitment period in accordance with the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(e)	[x]Yes []No	The SEF comparison report for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF tables reported by EE are consistent with the SEF tables extracted from the ITL.
Issuance	e, acquirement, transfer, cancel	lation, retirement, or replace	ement of tCERs and ICERs
T3.6	Have tCERs and ICERs been issued, acquired, transferred, cancelled, retired and replaced, in accordance with the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 and the annex to decision 5/CMP.1, according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(f)?	[x]Yes []No	The SEF comparison report for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF tables reported by EE are consistent with the SEF tables extracted from the ITL.

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
Quantiti	es of units in accounts at the beg	ginning of the year	
T3.7	Is the information reported under paragraph 11 (a) of section I.E. in the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 on the quantities of units in accounts at the beginning of the year consistent with information submitted the previous year, taking into account any corrections made to such information, on the quantities of units in accounts at the end of the previous year according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(g)?	[x]Yes []No	The SEF comparison report for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 [TUP SEFCR] indicates in table 1 that SEF tables reported by EE are consistent with the SEF tables extracted from the ITL.
T3.8	Decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(h)		Assessed by the ERTs. Kept here for completeness.
T3.9	Decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(i)		Assessed by the ERTs. Kept here for completeness.
Discrepa	ancies		
T3.10	Has any discrepancy been identified by the transaction log relating to transactions initiated by the Party according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)?	[]Yes [x]NA	The R2 report for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 [TUP R2-R5] identifies no DES response codes. EE reported that there were no discrepancies. Consequently, sub-items T3.10.1 - T3.10.5 have all been assessed as NA.

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
T3.10.1	If Yes to T3.10, has the discrepancy been correctly identified by the transaction log according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)(i)?	[]Yes[]No[x]NA	No discrepancies have been reported and/or identified by the ITL.
T3.10.2	If Yes to T3.10, has the same type of discrepancy occurred previously for that Party according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)(ii)?	[]Yes[]No[x]NA	No discrepancies have been reported and/or identified by the ITL.
T3.10.3	If Yes to T3.10, has the transaction been completed or terminated according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)(iii)?	[]Yes[]No[x]NA	No discrepancies have been reported and/or identified by the ITL.
T3.10.4	If Yes to T3.10, has or have the Party or Parties corrected the problem that caused the discrepancy according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)(iv)?	[]Yes[]No[x]NA	No discrepancies have been reported and/or identified by the ITL.
T3.10.5	If Yes to T3.10, does the problem that caused the discrepancy relate to the capacity of the national registry according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)(v)?	[]Yes[]No[x]NA	No discrepancies have been reported and/or identified by the ITL.

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment		
Non-repl	Non-replacements				
T3.11	Has any record of non- replacement been sent to the Party by the transaction log in relation to tCERs or ICERs held by the Party according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(k)?	[]Yes [x]NA	The R4 report for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 indicates that no non-replacements occurred during the period 1 January 2015 to 18 November 2015. EE informs in [TUP report] that they have no separate R2-R5 reports included since they are empty. Consequently, sub-items T3.11.1 - T3.11.5 have all been assessed as NA.		
T3.11.1	If Yes to T3.11, has the non- replacement occurred and been correctly identified by the transaction log according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(k)(i)?	[]Yes []No [x]NA	No non-replacements have been identified in R3 of [TUP R2-R5] and/or in R4.		
T3.11.2	If Yes to T3.11, has non- replacement occurred previously for that Party according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(k)(ii)?	[]Yes []No [x]NA	No non-replacements have been identified in R3 of [TUP R2-R5] and/or in R4.		
T3.11.3	If Yes to T3.11, has the replacement been subsequently undertaken according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(k)(iii)?	[]Yes []No [x]NA	No non-replacements have been identified in R3 of [TUP R2-R5] and/or in R4.		

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
T3.11.4	If Yes to T3.1, has the Party corrected the problem that caused the non-replacement according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(k)(iv)?	[]Yes []No[x]NA	No non-replacements have been identified in R3 of [TUP R2-R5] and/or in R4.
T3.11.5	If Yes to T3.1, does the problem that caused the non-replacement relate to the capacity of the national registry according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(k)(v)?	[]Yes []No [x]NA	No non-replacements have been identified in R3 of [TUP R2-R5] and/or in R4.
The quar	ntity of AAUs, CERs, tCERs, E	RUs and RMUs transferred i	nto the tCER replacement account
Т4	Is the quantity of AAUs, CERs, tCERs, ERUs and RMUs transferred into the tCER replacement account for the commitment period equal to the quantity of tCERs in the retirement account, and in the tCER replacement account, that expired at the end of the commitment period according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 89(d)?	[x]Yes []No	The quantity of AAUs, CERs, tCERs, ERUs and RMUs transferred into the tCER replacement account for the first commitment period is equal to the quantity of tCERs in the retirement account, and in the tCER replacement account, that expired at the end of the commitment period. There were no quantities to expire, cancel or replace.

The qu	antity of AAUs, CERs, ICERs, ER	Us and RMUs transferred	d into the ICER replacement account
Т5	Is the quantity of AAUs, CERs, ICERs, ERUs and RMUs transferred into the ICER replacement account for the commitment period equal to the sum of the quantity of ICERs in the retirement account, and the quantity of ICERs in the ICER replacement account, that expired at the end of the commitment period, and the quantity of ICERs identified by the Executive Board of the CDM as requiring replacement within the registry for the commitment period according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 89(e)?	[x]Yes []No	The quantity of AAUs, CERs, ICERs, ERUs and RMUs transferred into the ICER replacement account for the commitment period is equal to the sum of the quantity of ICERs in the retirement account, and the quantity of ICERs in the ICER replacement account, that expired at the end of the commitment period, and the quantity of ICERs identified by the Executive Board of the CDM as requiring replacement within the registry for the commitment period. There were no quantities to expire, cancel or replace.
2014 S	EF		
Т6	Decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 90	[x]Yes []NA	The reports R2–R5 covering the period 1 January to 31 December 2014 are not a part of the report, because Estonia has no discrepant transactions, no CDM notifications, no non replacements and no invalid units occurred in that period.
T6.1	SEF Report for 2014	[x]Yes []No	EE has submitted SEF tables for 2014 consistent with the ITL records.
T6.2	R2 - R5 Reports for 2014	[]Yes [x]NA	The reports R2–R5 covering the period 1 January to 31 December 2014 are not a part of the report, because Estonia has no discrepant transactions, no CDM notifications, no non replacements and no invalid units occurred in that period.
T7	Decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 91		Assessed by the ERTs. Kept here for completeness.

Key findings

Ref	Description
	Completeness of the submission is assessed through all items in this report. Estonia [EE] included all required reports in the True-Up report. No irregularities have been identified by the assessor.