



UNFCCC ITL Administrator

Standard Independent Assessment Report
Assessment Report
Part 2 - Substance

Reference:	IAR/2009/LIE/2/1	State:	Final
Version number:	2.0	Date:	03/08/2010
Prepared by:	Nadezhda Uledova/Russian Federation		
Reviewed by:	Bryan Eckstein/SRA International, Inc.		
Approved by:	Vitaly Matsarski/UNFCCC		

Circulation list

Name/Role	Organization	Info/Action
Nadezhda Uledova/Assessor	Russian Federation	Action
Bryan Eckstein/Assessor Coordinator	SRA International, Inc.	Action
Patrick Insinna/ RSA Main Contact	Office for Environmental Protection (OEP)	Action

Document change record

Version	Date	Description
0.1	26/05/2010	Initial Draft
0.2	01/06/2010	Review
1.0	09/06/2010	Harmonized formatting in P2.2.1
2.0	03/08/2010	Finalized party reported no comments

Summary

Ref Nr	Description	Value	Comments
P2.0.1	Party name	Liechtenstein	
P2.0.2	Reporting period	2009	
P2.0.3	Submission under review	Files submitted: - [SEF] SEF_LI_2010_2_14-12-51+1-4-2010.xls - [NIR] NIR_LIE_Submission_2010.pdf - [SIAR-R2] SIAR_Report_R-2_2009-LI.xls - [SIAR-R3] SIAR_Report_R-3_2009-LI.xls - [SIAR-R4] SIAR_Report_R-4_2009-LI.xls - [SIAR-R5] SIAR_Report_R-5_2009-LI.xls - [RESPONSE1] LI_SIAR+Consultation+Form+on+Draft+Part+1+v4.3.doc	Information from the ITL Administrator:- [SEFCR] LIE_SEF_2010_2_14-12-51+1-4-2010_CR.xls -[RRITL] SIAR_Reports_2009_LI_v1.1.xls
P2.0.4	Previous annual review report reference	FCCC/ARR/2009/LIE (19/03/2010)	

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction..... 4**
 - 1.1. Overall assessment4**
 - 1.2. Summary of findings.....5**
- 2. Identification of Problems..... 6**
- 3. Identification of Significant Changes.....10**
- 4. Recommendations.....13**
 - 4.1. Previous Expert Review Team recommendations13**
 - 4.2. Recommendations to address identified problems.....14**

1. Introduction

The SIAR Part 2 report assesses the substance of a Party's annual submission with regard to its national registry. Each section contains questions related to the specific items to be assessed.

1.1. Overall assessment

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment
P2.1.1	Is the information submitted by Party, in relation to its national registry, complete?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
P2.1.2	Problem found with Party's national registry?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
P2.1.3	Any unresolved problem with Party's national registry?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
P2.1.4	Problems identified with the significant changes to the Party's national registry?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
P2.1.5	National registry related recommendations from previous annual review were fully addressed?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
P2.1.6	Is there any recommendation that needs to be addressed by the Party?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

1.2. Summary of findings

Ref Nr	Summary of findings
P2.2.1	<p>1. The information on Kyoto Protocol units has been reported in accordance with section I.E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 and is accurate. The national registry continues to fulfill the requirements related to its reporting and accounting of information on Kyoto Protocol units, transaction procedures, conformance to the technical standards, security, data integrity and recovery measures.</p> <p>2. Liechtenstein has reported information on its accounting of Kyoto Protocol units in the required SEF tables, as required by decisions 15/CMP.1 and 14/CMP.1. The SIAR assessor finds the findings included in the SIAR on the SEF and the SEF comparison report. The SIAR was forwarded to the ERT prior to the review, pursuant to decision 16/CP.10.</p> <p>3. Information on the accounting of Kyoto units has been prepared and reported in accordance with section I E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1, and reported in accordance with decision 14/CMP.1 using the SEF tables. This information is consistent with that contained in the national registry and with the records of the international transaction log (ITL) and the CDM registry and meets the requirements set out in paragraphs 88(a) to (j) of the annex to decision 22/CMP.1.</p> <p>4. The SIAR assessor found that the national registry continues to perform the functions set out in the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 and the annex to decision 5/CMP.1, and continues to adhere to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems in accordance with relevant Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties (CMP) to the Kyoto Protocol decisions. Liechtenstein reported no change in its national registry compared with the previous annual submission. The SIAR assessor concluded that the Party's national registry continues to perform the functions set out in the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 and the annex to decision 5/CMP.1, and continues to adhere to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems in accordance with relevant CMP decisions.</p> <p>5. Liechtenstein has reported its commitment period reserve in its 2009 annual submission.</p> <p>6. The national registry has not fulfilled the requirements regarding the public availability of information in accordance with section II.E of the annex to decisions 13/CMP.1. The SIAR assessor found that Liechtenstein did not address recommendations of the ERT included in the previous annual review report with regards to requirements for publicly available information required by paragraph 44 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 as outlined in section 4 of this SIAR.</p> <p><u>Recommendations</u></p> <p>7. The SIAR reiterates the recommendation of the previous ERT that Liechtenstein should enhance, as detailed in section 4.2 of this SIAR, the availability of public information referred to in paragraphs 44 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1, and report, in its next annual submission, on any changes to that public information.</p>

2. Identification of Problems

The purpose of this section is to identify any problems with the national registry based on the Party's annual submission and transaction log records that may affect the performance of the functions of the national registry pursuant to paragraph 88 of the annex to decision 22/CMP.1.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(a) The information is complete and submitted in accordance with section I.E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	Assessed in SIAR Part 1. Kept here for completeness	
P2.2.2	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(b) The information relating to issuance, cancellations, retirement, transfers, acquisitions, replacement and carry-over is consistent with information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transactions log;	Problem Identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records.
P2.2.3	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(c) The information relating to transfers and acquisitions between national registries is consistent with the information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transaction log, and with information reported by the other Parties involved in the transactions;	Problem Identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records.
P2.2.4	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(d) The information relating to acquisitions of CERs, tCERs, and ICERs from the CDM registry is consistent with the information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transaction log, and with the clean development mechanism (CDM) registry;	Problem Identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
P2.2.5	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(e) ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs have been issued, acquired, transferred, cancelled, retired, or carried over to the subsequent or from the previous commitment period in accordance with the annex to decision 13/CMP.1;	Problem Identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	No discrepancies occurred for the Party and no problem has been identified with regard to its transaction procedures related to ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs.
P2.2.6	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(f) tCERs and ICERs have been issued, acquired, transferred, cancelled, retired and replaced, in accordance with the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 and the annex to decision 5/CMP.1;	Problem Identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	No discrepancies occurred for the Party and no problem has been identified with regard to its transaction procedures related to tCERs and ICERS.
P2.2.7	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(g) The information reported under paragraph 11 (a) of section I.E. in the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 on the quantities of units in accounts at the beginning of the year is consistent with information submitted the previous year, taking into account any corrections made to such information, on the quantities of units in accounts at the end of the previous year;	Problem Identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records and with information submitted in the year prior to the reported year.
P2.2.8	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(h) The required level of the commitment period reserve, as reported, is calculated in accordance with paragraph 6 of the annex to decision 18/CP.7;	Only assessed by the Expert Review Team. Kept here for completeness	
P2.2.9	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(i) The assigned amount is calculated to avoid double accounting in accordance with paragraph 9 of the annex to decision 16/CMP.1;	Only assessed by the Expert Review Team. Kept here for completeness	

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment	
P2.2.10	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j) A discrepancy has been identified by the transaction log relating to transactions initiated by the Party, and if so the expert review team shall:	Has the discrepancy been identified by the transaction log? [] Yes [X] No	No discrepancies occurred for the Party	
Repeat for each discrepancy type (include Type Number)	P2.2.10.1	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(i) Verify that the discrepancy has occurred and been correctly identified by the transaction log;	Has the discrepancy been identified by the transaction log? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party
	P2.2.10.2	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(ii) Assess whether the same type of discrepancy has occurred previously for that Party;	Has the same type of discrepancy occurred previously for that Party? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party
	P2.2.10.3	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(iii) Assess whether the transaction was completed or terminated;	Was the transaction completed or terminated? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party
	P2.2.10.4	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(iv) Has the Party corrected the problem that caused the discrepancy?	Problem that caused the discrepancy corrected? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party
	P2.2.10.5	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(v) Assess whether the problem that caused the discrepancy relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting of Kyoto Protocol units, issuance, holding, transfer, acquisition, cancellation and retirement of ERUs, CERs, tCERs, ICERs, AAs and RMs, the replacement of tCERs and ICERs, and the carry-over of ERUs, CERs and AAs	Discrepancy relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment	
P2.2.11	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k) Any record of non-replacement has been sent to the Party by the transaction log in relation to tCERs or ICERs held by the Party, and if so the expert review team shall:	Any tCERs or ICERs subject to non-replacement held by Party? [] Yes [X] No	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.	
Repeat for each non-replacement type (incl Type number	P2.2.11.1	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(i) Verify that the non-replacement has occurred and been correctly identified by the transaction log;	Has the transaction log identified the non-replacement? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.11.2	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(ii) Assess whether non-replacement has occurred previously for that Party;	Has this type of non-replacement previously occurred for that Party? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.11.3	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(iii) Assess whether the replacement was subsequently undertaken;	Was the replacement subsequently undertaken? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.11.4	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(iv) Examine the cause of the non-replacement and whether the Party has corrected the problem that caused the non-replacement;	Has the Party corrected the problem that caused the non-replacement? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.11.5	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(v) Assess whether the problem that caused the non-replacement relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting of Kyoto Protocol units, holding, transfer, acquisition, cancellation, and retirement of ERUs, CERs, tCERs, ICERs, AAUs and RMUs, and the replacement of tCERs and ICERs, and if so, initiate a thorough review of the registry system in accordance with part V of these guidelines.	Non-replacement relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.

3. Identification of Significant Changes

The purpose of this section is to identify any **significant changes** in the national registry reported by the Party that may affect the performance of the functions contained in the annex to decision 13/CMP.1, the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 and the adherence to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems in accordance with relevant COP/MOP decisions.

If a change to a Party's national registry has been identified under paragraph 22 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 then information relating to this change should be submitted by the Party in accordance with paragraph 32 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1. This section assesses the submitted changes reported by Party in accordance with paragraph 32 of decision 15/CMP.1, and the further guidance elaborated in the Independent Assessment Report common operational procedure.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Has the Party reported a change?	Problem Identified with the Change?	Comment
P2.3.1	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(a) The name and contact information of the registry administrator designated by the Party to maintain the national registry	Not a significant change, left here for completeness		
P2.3.2	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(b) The names of the other Parties with which the Party cooperates by maintaining their national registries in a consolidated system	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.
P2.3.3	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(c) A description of the database structure and capacity of the national registry.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Has the Party reported a change?	Problem Identified with the Change?	Comment
P2.3.4	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(d) A description of how the national registry conforms to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems for the purpose of ensuring the accurate, transparent and efficient exchange of data between national registries, the clean development mechanism registry and the transaction log (decision 19/CP.7, paragraph 1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.
P2.3.5	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(e) A description of the procedures employed in the national registry to minimize discrepancies in the issuance, transfer, acquisition, cancellation and retirement of ERUs, CERs, tCERs, ICERs, AAUs and/or RMUs, and replacement of tCERs and ICERs, and of the steps taken to terminate transactions where a discrepancy is notified and to correct problems in the event of a failure to terminate the transactions	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.
P2.3.6	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(f) An overview of security measures employed in the national registry to prevent unauthorized manipulations and to prevent operator error and of how these measures are kept up to date	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Has the Party reported a change?	Problem Identified with the Change?	Comment
P2.3.7	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(g) A list of the information publicly accessible by means of the user interface to the national registry	Not a significant change, left here for completeness		
P2.3.8	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(h) The Internet address of the interface to its national registry	Not a significant change, left here for completeness		
P2.3.9	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(i) A description of measures taken to safeguard, maintain and recover data in order to ensure the integrity of data storage and the recovery of registry services in the event of a disaster	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.
P2.3.10	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(j) The results of any test procedures that might be available or developed with the aim of testing the performance, procedures and security measures of the national registry undertaken pursuant to the provisions of decision 19/CP.7 relating to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.

4. Recommendations

4.1. Previous Expert Review Team recommendations

This section assesses Party's response to the previous annual review recommendations.

Ref Nr	Recommendation from previous Annual Review report (with ref)	Has Party acted on recommendation? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Comment
P2.4.1.1	In FCCC/ARR/2009/LIE there is recommendation in paragraph 94 that "The ERT reiterates the recommendations contained in the SIAR that Liechtenstein should provide, in its next annual submission, more detailed information with regard to paragraph 32 (d), (i) and (j) of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 on changes made to the conformance of the registry to the technical standards for data exchange and measures taken to safeguard, maintain and recover data in order to ensure the integrity of data storage and recovery of national registry services in the event of a disaster, including the relevant test plans and procedures and test reports available, with the aim of testing the performance, procedures and security measures of the national registry."	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	There is no explicit statement on the fulfillment of the recommendation in the [NIR], but the required information is provided in the very detailed format. It is stated in [RESPONSE1] that an explanation of how Liechtenstein has addressed the previous Annual Review recommendations will be included in the NIR for submission 2011.
P2.4.1.2	In FCCC/ARR/2009/LIE there is recommendation in paragraph 94 that "... the ERT considers that Liechtenstein should enhance, the availability of public information referred to in paragraph 47 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1, and report, in its next annual submission, on any changes to that public information. In addition, reporting of Article 6 projects information could be strengthened by indicating clearly that no such projects exist instead of providing no information through the user interface of the registry." The same recommendation in paragraph 106 "the	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	There is no information on the fulfillment of the recommendation in the [NIR] and the Information on Article 6 projects hosted by other Parties is provided by the Party; however, the Party does not provide a statement on the user interface of the registry that it does not host Article 6 projects but the Party does state in [RESPONSE1] that Article 6 project information on the public user interface of the registry will be updated. It is stated in [RESPONSE1] that "parts of public information referred to in paragraph 47 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 has now been declared as confidential and can not be published" but the public user interface of

	ERT considers that Liechtenstein should enhance the availability of public information referred to in paragraph 47 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1.”		the registry does not include a clear statement declaring specific elements of the public information referred to in paragraph 47 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 as confidential. The Party also states in [RESPONSE1] that an explanation of how Liechtenstein has addressed the previous Annual Review recommendations will be included in the NIR for submission in 2011.
--	--	--	--

4.2. Recommendations to address identified problems

If a problem has been identified earlier in section 2 and 3 or a previous recommendation listed in section 4.1 has not been taken into account, then this section of the report lists a recommendation for each problem to be brought to the attention to the Expert Review Team.

Ref Nr	Recommendation Ref	Recommendation description	Comment
P2.4.2.1	P1.4.1.4	The SIAR assessor identified that the representative identifier defined as the Party identifier (the two-letter country code defined by ISO 3166) and a number unique to that representative within the Party’s registry is not available on the public user interface of the national registry as required in paragraph 45 (d) of 13/CMP.1. Therefore, it is recommended that Liechtenstein should make this information available.	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR], paragraph 12.4.1 (page 216). But necessary information on representative identifier was not found in the reports published on the URL indicated in [NIR]. In [RESPONSE1] there is a comment that “Representative identifiers (Installation ID) have been updated according to the requirements in para 45 (d) of 13/CMP.1 and are displayed in the report ‘List of legal entities holding an account in the national registry’”, but the installation ID is not the representative identifier . Due to the SIAR Publicly Available Information Guidance “Representative identifier” is the unique identifier of the person in the registry, defined as the Party identifier (the two-letter country code defined by ISO 3166) and a number unique to that representative within the Party’s registry. If more than one representative exists, then the Party should list the first or primary representative.
P2.4.2.2,	P1.4.2, P1.5.2, P2.4.1.2	Liechtenstein indicated in its response to the SIAR process that the Article 6 project information publicly available on its website pertains to ERUs issued abroad and the SIAR	It is stated in [RESPONSE1] that an explanation of how Liechtenstein has addressed the previous Annual Review recommendations will be included in the NIR for

		<p>assessor noted that the website of the Party does not included a clear statement it has not issued any ERUs; therefore, reporting of Article 6 projects information referred to in paragraph 46 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 should be strengthened by indicating clearly that no such projects exist in Liechtenstein.</p>	<p>submission 2011.</p>
P2.4.2.3	P1.4.3 (incl. 1.4.3.1, 1.4.3.4 – 1.4.3.12), P1.5.2, P2.4.1.2	<p>The SIAR assessor identified the public information for holding and transaction information as required in paragraph 47 of 13/CMP.1 was not available on the public user interface of the national registry. Although partial information was provided, the following information was not available on the website of the Party:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs in each account at the beginning of the year (13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (a)); • The total quantity of AAUs and RMUs acquired from other registries (13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (d)); • The identity of the accounts and registries transferring ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs transferred from other registries (13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (d)); • The total quantity of RMUs issued on the basis of each activity under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4 or an indication no RMUs were issued (13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (e)); • The total quantity of AAUs and RMUs transferred to other registries (13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (f)); • The identity of the accounts and registries acquiring ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs transferred to other 	<p>The previous annual review report includes a recommendation that Liechtenstein should enhance the availability of public information referred to in paragraph 47 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 and report, in its next annual submission, on any changes to that public information. Liechtenstein did not report any changes to its public information but, in response to the SIAR process, states in [RESPONSE1] that an explanation of how Liechtenstein has addressed the previous Annual Review recommendations will be included in the NIR for submission in 2011.</p> <p>The Party provides reference to publicly available information related to paragraph 47 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 in [NIR], paragraph 12.4.3 (page 216). But not all required holding and transaction information was not found in the reports published on the URL indicated in [NIR].</p> <p>The quantity of ERUs and CERs to and from other registries is provided on the webpage at the internet address (URL) provided in [NIR].</p> <p>Liechtenstein states in [RESPONSE1] that “data of personal holding accounts are considered as business secrets and the disclosure may prejudice their competitiveness. Information on acquiring and transferring accounts of legal entities (companies) is therefore regarded as personal data. According to article 20 of the national Act on Data Protection (Datenschutzgesetz vom 14. März 2002, LGBl Nr.55) enacts that public authorities may disclose personal data if there is a legal basis or if there is an overriding public interest. Neither case is</p>

		<p>registries (13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (f));</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The total quantity of AAUs and RMUs cancelled on the basis of activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4 (13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (g)); • The total quantity of AAUs and RMUs cancelled following determination by the Compliance Committee that the Party is not in compliance with its commitment under Article 3, paragraph 1 (13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (h)); • The total quantity of other AAUs and RMUs cancelled (13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (i)); • The total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs retired (13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (j)); • The total quantity of AAUs carried over from the previous commitment period (13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (k)); and • Current holdings of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs in each account (13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (l)). <p>Therefore, Liechtenstein should enhance the availability of public information referred to in paragraph 47 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 and report, in its next annual submission, on any changes to that public information. If such information is deemed confidential by Liechtenstein or if the underlying business event did not occur, clear statements should be included on the public user interface of the national registry identifying the information as confidential or as not occurring in the national registry.</p>	<p>fulfilled and therefore the registry of Liechtenstein can not make the information on acquiring and / or transferring accounts publicly available. All related information is considered as confidential.” The Party states this paragraph will be added to chapter 12.4 in the NIR for submission in 2011. However, the public information part of the user interface of the national registry does not include a statement that such information is confidential (see requirement ID 44.10 in “SIAR Publicly Available Information Guidance v4.3”)</p> <p>Liechtenstein states in [RESPONSE1] that neither RMUs nor AAUs were cancelled so far in the registry of Liechtenstein and that a corresponding indication will be added for submission 2011.</p> <p>Liechtenstein also states in [RESPONSE1] that the required information is given in the SEF tables (5A line 3). However, the SEF tables are not part of the user interface of the national registry as public information.</p>
--	--	--	---

