



Annual report of the Compliance Committee to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol

Note by the secretariat

1. Under section XII(b) of the “Procedures and mechanisms relating to compliance under the Kyoto Protocol” (annex to decision 27/CMP.1), the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol is to consider the reports of the plenary of the Compliance Committee on the progress of its work.
2. The attached draft is presented to the plenary for its consideration. The plenary will note that some paragraphs may be added or modified based on discussions at its thirteenth meeting.



United Nations

FCCC/KP/CMP/2013/3



Framework Convention on
Climate Change

Distr.: General
X September 2013

Original: English

DRAFT

**Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting
of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol**

Ninth session

Warsaw, 11–22 November 2013

Item X of the provisional agenda

Report of the Compliance Committee

**Annual report of the Compliance Committee to the
Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of
the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol***

Summary

The eighth annual report of the Compliance Committee to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol covers activities undertaken from 26 October 2012 to 18 September 2013. The report provides a summary of the further consideration by the enforcement branch of the questions of implementation with respect to Slovakia. It also contains information on discussions by the facilitative branch on provisions relating to facilitation, and discussions of the plenary of the Compliance Committee on: proposed amendments to its rules of procedure; consistency of reviews under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol; legal arrangements for privileges and immunities for individuals serving on constituted bodies under the Kyoto Protocol; the establishment of a dialogue with compliance bodies under other treaties; and [*to be completed after adoption by the plenary of the report*].

* This document was submitted after the due date in order to take into account the outcomes of the thirteenth meeting of the plenary of the Compliance Committee, which took place from 17 to 18 September 2013.

Contents

(Table of contents to be added upon completion of the body of the report)

Annexes

(List of annexes to be added after the final reading of the draft report)

I. Introduction

A. Mandate

1. Under section III, paragraph 2(a), of the “Procedures and mechanisms relating to compliance under the Kyoto Protocol” (annex to decision 27/CMP.1; hereinafter referred to as the procedures and mechanisms), the plenary of the Compliance Committee (plenary) is to report on the activities of the Committee to each ordinary session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP).

B. Scope of the report

2. The eighth annual report of the Compliance Committee covers the period from 26 October 2012 to 18 September 2013. It summarizes the work of and matters addressed by the Committee during that period.

C. Action to be taken by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol

3. In accordance with section XII of the procedures and mechanisms, the CMP is to consider the annual report of the Compliance Committee.

4. The CMP may also wish to:

(a) [*text on the adoption of amendments to the rules of procedure, in the event that the plenary agrees to propose amendments to the Committee’s rules of procedure for consideration by the CMP at its ninth session*];

(b) Invite the President of the CMP to undertake consultations on the nominations of members and alternate members of the Compliance Committee, as necessary;

(c) Invite Parties to make contributions to the Trust Fund for Supplementary Activities to support the work of the Compliance Committee in the biennium 2014–2015 and express its thanks to Parties that made contributions during the reporting period (see paras. 53 and 54 below);

(d) Adopt legal arrangements for privileges and immunities and ensure that such arrangements cover the members and alternate members of the Compliance Committee (see para. X below);

(e) [*others*].

II. Organizational matters

5. The plenary held two meetings during the reporting period. The twelfth meeting of the plenary was held from 22 to 23 March 2013 and the thirteenth meeting from 17 to 18 September 2013, both in Bonn, Germany.

6. The facilitative branch met twice in Bonn (on 23 March 2013 and on 16 September 2013) and the enforcement branch met two times in Bonn (from 22 to 23 March 2013 and from 3 to 4 July 2013). The enforcement branch also used electronic means for decision-making during the reporting period (see chapter II.E below).

7. In addition to these meetings, at the request of the plenary,¹ a joint workshop of inventory lead reviewers and the Compliance Committee on consistency of reviews under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol (the joint workshop) was held in Bonn, Germany, on 21 March 2013. The joint workshop was held in conjunction with the tenth meeting of inventory lead reviewers and the twelfth meeting of the plenary, the twenty-second meeting of the enforcement branch, and the thirteenth meeting of the facilitative branch.

8. The agenda and annotations, documentation supporting agenda items and the chairpersons' report on each meeting of the plenary and of the facilitative and enforcement branches are available on the UNFCCC website.²

A. Election of the replacement vice-chairperson of the facilitative branch

9. In accordance with section II, paragraph 4, of the procedures and mechanisms, on 6 February 2012, at its eleventh meeting, the facilitative branch elected Mr. Adrian Roberts as vice-chairperson by acclamation. On 21 March 2013, Mr. Roberts informed the Compliance Committee through the secretariat of his resignation from the Committee effective 22 April 2013. In accordance with section II, paragraph 4, and rule 6, paragraph 3, the "Rules of procedure of the Compliance Committee of the Kyoto Protocol" (annex to decision 4/CMP.2, as amended by decision 4/CMP.4; hereinafter referred to as the rules of procedure), at the thirteenth meeting of the facilitative branch, on 23 March 2013, Mr. Delano Ruben Verwey was elected as vice-chairperson of the facilitative branch effective from 22 April 2013. Mr. Verwey will serve for the unexpired term of Mr. Roberts. At its twelfth meeting, the plenary expressed appreciation for the work of Mr. Roberts.

B. Membership in the Compliance Committee

10. In accordance with rule 3, paragraph 1, of the rules of procedure, the term of office of each member and alternate member starts on 1 January of the calendar year immediately following his or her election and ends on 31 December four years thereafter. The list of members and alternate members whose terms expire on 31 December 2013 is contained in annex I to this report.

11. In accordance with rule 3, paragraph 5, of the rules of procedure, when a member or alternate member resigns or is otherwise unable to complete the assigned term or the functions of a member or alternate member, the Compliance Committee is to request the CMP to elect a new member or alternate member for the remainder of the term at its next session.

12. Mr. Adrian Roberts, a member nominated by the Western Europe and Others Group (WEOG) and elected to serve in the facilitative branch until 31 December 2015, resigned from the Committee as of 22 April 2013. Since the resignation of Mr. Roberts, Mr. Per Hallström, elected as an alternate member, has been serving as a member of the Committee, ad interim, in accordance with rule 3, paragraph 4, of the rules of procedure. Mr. Mirza Salman Babar Beg, an alternate member nominated by Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention (non-Annex I Parties) and elected to serve in the enforcement branch until 31 December 2015, resigned from the Committee as of 28 May 2013.

¹ See paragraph 28 of the seventh annual report of the Compliance Committee to the CMP, FCCC/KP/CMP/2012/6.

² <http://unfccc.int/kyoto_protocol/compliance/items/2875.php>.

13. In accordance with section IV, paragraph 2, section V, paragraph 2, and section II, paragraph 5, of the procedures and mechanisms, the plenary requests the CMP to elect five new members to serve in the facilitative branch, five new members to serve in the enforcement branch, and an alternate member for each new member, respectively, all for a term of four years. In addition, in accordance with section II, paragraph 5, and section IV, paragraph 2, of the procedures and mechanisms, and rule 3, paragraph 5, of the rules of procedure, the plenary requests the CMP to fill the vacancy in the facilitative branch by electing a member from WEOG to serve for the remaining period of Mr. Roberts' term and to fill the vacancy in the enforcement branch by electing an alternate member from non-Annex I Parties to serve for the remaining period of Mr. Babar Beg's term.

C. Transparency, communication and information

14. In accordance with rule 9, paragraph 1, of the rules of procedure, meetings of the plenary and the branches held in the reporting period were recorded and broadcast on the Internet through the UNFCCC website, with the exception of parts of these meetings that were held in private in accordance with the same rule.

15. In view of the fact that meetings of inventory lead reviewers are held in private, the joint workshop referred to in paragraph 7 above was held in private. The main conclusions of the joint workshop, as summarized in the report on the twelfth meeting of the plenary,³ are set out in paragraph 33 below.

16. In accordance with rule 12, paragraph 2, of the rules of procedure, all documents of the plenary and of the enforcement and facilitative branches have been made available to the public through the UNFCCC website.⁴

17. At the twelfth meeting of the plenary, the co-chairpersons provided an update on further communication with the Chair of the Committee for Administering the Mechanism for Promoting Implementation and Compliance of the Basel Convention (Basel Compliance Committee) with regard to the informal dialogue between the Compliance Committee of the Kyoto Protocol and the Basel Compliance Committee, carried out in accordance with decision 12/CMP.8. [*To be completed based on discussions at the thirteenth meeting of the plenary.*]

D. Privileges and immunities for members and alternate members of the Compliance Committee

18. At its twelfth meeting, the plenary discussed a communication from Japan regarding privileges and immunities for individuals serving on constituted bodies established under the Kyoto Protocol.⁵ In this communication, Japan invited the Committee to inform it of any specific reasons for and the scope of the privileges and immunities which, in the view of the Committee, its members and alternate members may require.

³ CC/12/2013/3, paragraph 7.

⁴ Documents relating to the plenary are available at <http://unfccc.int/kyoto_protocol/compliance/plenary/items/3788.php>; documents relating to the facilitative branch are available at <http://unfccc.int/kyoto_protocol/compliance/facilitative_branch/items/3786.php>; and documents relating to the enforcement branch are available at <http://unfccc.int/kyoto_protocol/compliance/enforcement_branch/items/3785.php>.

⁵ CC/12/2013/2.

19. Taking into account the on-going negotiations on the issue of privileges and immunities,⁶ the plenary agreed that it should make any considerations on the matter available to all Parties on an equal basis. On 2 June 2013, after consultations with members and alternate members conducted by electronic means, the co-chairpersons wrote to Japan to inform it of the results of the plenary's consideration of the latter's communication.

20. At its thirteenth meeting, the plenary noted the oral report by the secretariat on the current state of negotiations on legal arrangements for privileges and immunities for individuals serving on constituted bodies under the Kyoto Protocol as well as the compilation of views expressed on the matter by the plenary in earlier meetings prepared by the secretariat at the request of the plenary.

21. The plenary [*to be completed based on discussions at the thirteenth meeting of plenary*]

E. Use of electronic means of decision-making

22. Pursuant to rule 11, paragraph 2, of the rules of procedure, the Compliance Committee may elaborate and take decisions using electronic means. During the reporting period, the enforcement branch used electronic means to take a decision on expert advice with respect to Slovakia.

23. Electronic means of decision-making were also used to facilitate decision-making due to the lack of quorum at the following meetings of the enforcement branch:

(a) At the twenty-second and twenty-third meetings of the enforcement branch, in conjunction with those members and alternate members present and voting, electronic means were used to collect additional votes to enable the branch to adopt the agenda for each respective meeting;

(b) At the twenty-third meeting of the enforcement branch, in conjunction with those members and alternate members present and voting, electronic means were used to collect additional votes to enable the enforcement branch to take a decision that there no longer continues to be a question of implementation with respect to Slovakia and to agree to forward proposed draft amendments to the rules of procedure relating to consideration by the enforcement branch of disagreements whether to apply adjustments to inventories under Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol pursuant to section X, paragraph 5, to the plenary of the Compliance Committee for consideration at its next meeting.

24. The use of electronic means of decision-making on the occasions listed in paragraph 23 above enabled the meetings of the enforcement branch to proceed when urgent and unforeseeable circumstances resulted in the late withdrawal of members and alternate members from attendance at scheduled meetings.

25. At its eleventh meeting, the plenary agreed that the branches further consider a draft working arrangement forwarded to it by the enforcement branch⁷ that would provide that decision-making by electronic means under rule 11, paragraph 2, of the rules of procedure with respect to a Party may only take place by voting.⁸ The facilitative branch, at its thirteenth meeting, considered the draft working arrangement and made a number of comments.⁹ The enforcement branch, at its twenty-third meeting, taking into account comments by the facilitative branch, concluded, taking into account the comments of the

⁶ FCCC/KP/CMP/2012/13, paragraphs 105–109.

⁷ CC/EB/21/2012/2, annex.

⁸ CC/11/2012/4, paragraph 11.

⁹ CC/FB/13/2013/2, paragraph 10.

facilitative branch, that it would not be opportune to pursue its proposal. At the same meeting, the enforcement branch agreed to continue its practice pursuant to which decision-making by electronic means under rule 11, paragraph 2, of the rules of procedure with respect to a Party will only take place by voting.¹⁰

26. At its thirteenth meeting, the plenary [*to be completed based on discussions at the thirteenth meeting of plenary*]

III. Work undertaken in the reporting period

A. Activities of the plenary

1. Reports of expert review teams under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol and other information received by the plenary of the Compliance Committee

27. In accordance with section VI, paragraph 3, of the procedures and mechanisms, the secretariat forwarded to the Compliance Committee the report of the individual review of the annual submission submitted in 2011 by the Russian Federation.

28. Similarly, in accordance with section VI, paragraph 3, of the procedures and mechanisms, the secretariat forwarded to the Compliance Committee the reports of the individual reviews of the annual submissions submitted in 2012 by Australia, Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, European Union, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Monaco, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine and United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

29. Also in accordance with section VI, paragraph 3, of the procedures and mechanisms and paragraph 49 of the annex to decision 22/CMP.1, the secretariat forwarded to the Compliance Committee the annual status reports of annual inventories submitted in 2013 of Australia, Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, European Union, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Monaco, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine and United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

30. In accordance with paragraph 4 of decision 13/CMP.1, the secretariat forwarded to the Compliance Committee the fifth annual compilation and accounting report for Parties to the Convention with commitments inscribed in Annex B to the Kyoto Protocol and the addendum to this report (CC/2012/1).

31. At its thirteenth meeting, the plenary noted the information provided to it by the secretariat on the status of submission and review of reports under the Kyoto Protocol.

32. At its twelfth meeting, the plenary decided to convene a closed session to hear a report from Ms. Rueanna Haynes, the vice-chairperson of the enforcement branch, on her participation and the participation of Mr. René Lefeber, chairperson of the enforcement branch, in the tenth meeting of inventory lead reviewers, which was held in Bonn from 18 to 20 March 2013. The overriding reason for holding this part of the meeting in private was the fact that the vice-chairperson was reporting back on a closed meeting.

33. After the plenary had heard the report referred to in paragraph 32 above, the plenary discussed the outcomes of the joint workshop referred to in paragraph 7 above, which was

¹⁰ CC/EB/23/2013/3, paragraph 14.

attended by inventory lead reviewers and members and alternate members of the Committee. The co-chairperson summarized in an open session some of the conclusions reached at the workshop, including: the intention to organize further joint workshops, subject to the availability of financial resources, and possibly on an annual basis in conjunction with the respective meetings of the lead reviewers and the Committee; the intention of the Committee to continue inviting expert advice from members of expert review teams, in particular, in relation to the consideration of questions of implementation; and the extended invitation to the lead reviewers to attend Committee meetings, in particular where issues related to the review process might be discussed.

34. At its thirteenth meeting, [*to be completed based on discussions at the thirteenth meeting of the plenary*]

2. Amendments to the rules of procedure: Consideration by the enforcement branch of disagreements whether to apply adjustments to inventories under Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol pursuant to section X, paragraph 5

35. The plenary considered the proposed draft text for amendments to the rules of procedure with respect to the consideration by the enforcement branch of disagreements whether to apply adjustments to inventories under Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol pursuant to section X, paragraph 5, which had been forwarded to it by the enforcement branch (see paras. 46 and 47 below). The plenary [*to be completed based on the discussions at the thirteenth meeting of the plenary*]

3. Outcomes of the eighth session of the CMP and the thirty-seventh sessions of the subsidiary bodies

36. At its twelfth and thirteenth meetings, the plenary discussed the outcomes of the eighth session of the CMP and the thirty-seventh sessions of the subsidiary bodies. At its thirteenth meeting, the plenary considered possible implications for its work in the second commitment period arising from decision 1/CMP.8 and the provisions of the annex to decision 27/CMP.1 related to the first commitment period.

37. Pursuant to decision 12/CMP.8, at its thirteenth meeting, the plenary considered an update on recent developments in the review of the joint implementation guidelines being carried out pursuant to decision 6/CMP.8.

38. [*text on outcomes of discussions at the thirteenth meeting of the plenary*]

4. Participation of members and alternate members

39. At its thirteenth meeting, the plenary took up the concern expressed by the enforcement branch over the repeated failure to meet quorum requirements at its meetings. The plenary [*to be completed based on the discussions at the thirteenth meeting of the plenary*]

B. Activities of the enforcement branch

1. Consideration of questions of implementation with respect to Slovakia

40. In the previous reporting period, the enforcement branch considered questions of implementation with respect to Slovakia and a disagreement whether to apply adjustments.¹¹ At its twentieth meeting, on 14 July 2012, the enforcement branch adopted a

¹¹ Details of the consideration that occurred in the previous reporting period can be found in chapter III.B.5 of the seventh annual report of the Compliance Committee to the CMP

decision on the disagreement whether to apply adjustments under Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol.

41. On 17 August 2012, the enforcement branch adopted a final decision, by electronic means, confirming its preliminary finding with respect to Slovakia, in which it found that Slovakia had in place a national system in accordance with Article 5, paragraph 1, of the Kyoto Protocol and the requirements in the guidelines decided thereunder. The enforcement branch considered that the partial operational impairment of the performance of some of the specific functions of Slovakia's national system during the review of Slovakia's 2011 annual submission resulted in non-compliance with Article 5, paragraph 1, of the Kyoto Protocol and the guidelines decided thereunder, but did not result in non-compliance with the eligibility requirements under Articles 6, 12 and 17 of the Kyoto Protocol. On 23 October 2012, at its twenty-first meeting, upon receipt of an additional vote by electronic means, the enforcement branch adopted a decision on the review and assessment of the plan submitted by Slovakia pursuant to the final decision of the enforcement branch.

42. On 18 March 2013, the enforcement branch received the second progress report on the plan referred to paragraph 41 above (CC-2012-1-13/Slovakia/EB). In this report, Slovakia requested the branch to conclude that "the Slovak national system is in full compliance with the Guidelines for national systems"¹² and the "[p]lan and two progress reports has already sufficiently remedied the non-compliance of the Slovak Republic".

43. At its twenty-second meeting, the branch indicated that it could not yet come to a conclusion on whether the questions of implementation with respect to Slovakia had been resolved. The branch reiterated its conclusion, contained in its decision on the review and assessment of Slovakia's plan, that receipt of the report of the review of the annual submission of Slovakia submitted in 2012 is required for it to determine whether the questions of implementation have been resolved.

44. On 6 June 2013, the report of the individual review of the annual submission of Slovakia submitted in 2012 (FCCC/ARR/2012/SVK) was published. On 19 June 2013, using electronic means, the enforcement branch adopted a decision on expert advice (CC-2012-1-14/Slovakia/EB). On 4 July 2013, at its twenty-third meeting, upon receipt of four additional votes by electronic means, the enforcement branch adopted a decision on the resolution of the questions of implementation (CC-2012-1-15/Slovakia/EB). In that decision, the enforcement branch concluded that there no longer continued to be a question of implementation with respect to Slovakia.

45. In accordance with section III, paragraph 2(a), of the procedures and mechanisms, the decisions taken by the enforcement branch with respect to Slovakia during the reporting period are listed in annex II to this report.

2. Proposed amendments to the rules of procedure with respect to consideration by the enforcement branch of disagreements whether to apply adjustments to inventories under Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol pursuant to section X, paragraph 5, of the procedures and mechanisms

46. At its twenty-second meeting, the enforcement branch considered draft working arrangements relating to the consideration by the branch of disagreements whether to apply adjustments under Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol pursuant to section X, paragraph 5, of the procedures and mechanisms. The branch agreed that the provisions of

(FCCC/KP/CMP/2012/6).

¹² These guidelines are the "Guidelines for national systems for the estimation of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions by sources and removals by sinks under Article 5, paragraph 1, of the Kyoto Protocol" (annex to decision 19/CMP.1).

the draft working arrangements would be more appropriately adopted as amendments to the rules of procedure.

47. At its twenty-third meeting, the enforcement branch considered possible amendments to the rules of procedure with respect to consideration by the enforcement branch of disagreements whether to apply adjustments (CC/EB/23/2013/2). The enforcement branch agreed to forward the proposed draft amendments to the rules of procedure, as contained in the annex to the report on that meeting (CC/EB/23/2013/3), to the plenary for consideration at its next meeting.

48. *[text on outcomes of discussions at the thirteenth meeting of the plenary]*

C. Activities of the facilitative branch

1. Provisions related to facilitation: Advice and facilitation

49. At its thirteenth meeting, the facilitative branch decided to include its role in providing advice and facilitation as a standing item on its agenda. It also considered whether, as part of its function to provide advice and facilitation, the branch could request expert advice. The branch noted that it did not find it necessary to seek expert advice during its previous consideration of matters under its indicative working arrangements,¹³ but that it may wish to seek such advice in the future if it considers it necessary to do so, acting in accordance with the procedures and mechanisms and rule 22 of the rules of procedure.

50. At its fourteenth meeting *[to be completed based on discussions at the fourteenth meeting of the facilitative branch]*

2. Consistency of reviews under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol

51. At its thirteenth meeting, in the context of considering the joint workshop referred to in paragraph 7 above, the facilitative branch considered the expert review teams' role in assisting Parties in improving their reporting of information and its mandate relating to its early warning function. It also explored ways to continue a dialogue with inventory lead reviewers and suggested that the interaction between the review process and the facilitative branch could be an issue for a future workshop. It requested the secretariat to explore how to invite some lead reviewers to attend facilitative branch meetings as observers.

3. Stocktaking exercise

52. At its fourteenth meeting, the facilitative branch took stock of its work and *[to be completed based on discussions at the fourteenth meeting of the facilitative branch]*.

IV. Availability of resources

A. Budget for the work of the Compliance Committee

53. For the biennium 2012–2013, EUR 741,153¹⁴ was approved in the core budget of the UNFCCC for activities related to the Compliance Committee. In addition, EUR 417,700 was approved under the item "Support to the Compliance Committee" of the resource requirements of the Trust Fund for Supplementary Activities. As of 31 July 2013,

¹³ CC/FB/11/2012/2, annex I

¹⁴ This amount does not include secretariat-wide operating costs, programme support costs (overheads) or working capital reserve as defined in decision 18/CP.17.

contributions of USD 171,667 were received for the biennium. The CMP may wish to express its sincere thanks to the following Parties that made contributions to the Trust Fund for Supplementary Activities to support the work of the Compliance Committee in the biennium 2012–2013: Belgium, Japan and the United Kingdom.

B. Resources required for the biennium 2014–2015

54. For the biennium 2014–2015, it is envisaged that approximately 42 per cent of the core budget of the Legal Affairs Programme of the UNFCCC that has been proposed for approval by the CMP at its ninth session would be used for activities related to the Compliance Committee.¹⁵ In addition, EUR 515,079¹⁶ is to be provided from the Trust Fund for Supplementary Activities.

¹⁵ See FCCC/SBI/2013/6 and FCCC/SBI/2013/6/Add.2.

¹⁶ This amount includes programme support costs but does not include secretariat-wide operating costs or working capital reserve.

Annex I

Members and alternate members of the Compliance Committee whose terms expire on 31 December 2013

Enforcement branch

<i>Member</i>	<i>Alternate member</i>	<i>Group</i>
Ms. Sandea JGS De Wet	Mr. Joseph A. Amougou	Africa
Mr. Su Wei	Mr. Mohammad S. Alam	Asia-Pacific
Mr. Raúl Estrada Oyuela	Mr. Antonio J. Gonzalez Norris	Latin America and the Caribbean
Mr. Sebastian Oberthür	Mr. Tuomas Kuokkanen	Annex I Parties
Mr. Victor Fodeke	Mr. Balisi J. Gopolang	Non-Annex I Parties

Facilitative branch

<i>Member</i>	<i>Alternate member</i>	<i>Group</i>
Mr. Ladislaus Kyaruzi	Mr. Mohamed I. Nasr	Africa
Mr. Khalid M. Abuleif	Mr. Yeon-Chul Yoo	Asia-Pacific
Ms. Janine E. Coye-Felson	Mr. Teddy St. Louis	Latin America and the Caribbean
Ms. Kunihiro Shimada	Mr. Marcus Henry	Annex I Parties
Mr. Javad Aghazadeh Khoei	Ms. Jadranka Ivanova	Non-Annex I Parties

Annex II

Decisions taken by the enforcement branch of the Compliance Committee during the reporting period

SLOVAKIA (CC-2012-1/Slovakia/EB)*

<i>Title</i>	<i>Document no.</i>	<i>Date</i>
Decision on expert advice	CC-2012-1-14/Slovakia/EB	19 June 2013
Decision on resolution of the questions of implementation with respect to Slovakia	CC-2012-1-15/Slovakia/EB	4 July 2013

* Decisions with respect to Slovakia are available at http://unfccc.int/kyoto_protocol/compliance/questions_of_implementation/items/6920.php. [The decisions are available in all six official languages of the United Nations.]