

INPUT BY THE GOVERNMENTS OF CHILE AND COLOMBIA

ON THE ORGANIZATION OF WORK FOR THE AD HOC WORKING GROUP ON THE DURBAN PLATFORM (ADP)

Pursuant to the message circulated on the 25th of June 2012 by the two co-chairs of the ADP, Mr. Jayant Moreshver Mauskar and Mr. Harald Dovland, inviting the Parties to provide inputs on how to advance the work under the two workstreams of the ADP, the Governments of Chile and Colombia would like to contribute to this effort with the following ideas on the possible structure and organization of the work.

In Bonn, Parties adopted an agenda for the work of the ADP that includes two workstreams: one on matters related to the 2015 long-term agreement, and one on the workplan on enhancing mitigation ambition pre-2020. Below is our proposal for how the ADP can advance its work under both of these workstreams.

The work of the **first workstream**, related to the long term agreement, should be organized around the three following elements:

The *first element* should deal with **legal matters** stemming from paragraph 2 of decision 1/CP.17. Specifically, Parties need to address at least the following three issues related to the architecture of the new regime: i) the legal form of the instrument to be agreed upon; ii) the nature of commitments within that instrument; and iii) the enforcement procedures contained in the instrument. These three issues are interlinked with the second two elements described below: the operationalization of mitigation, and adaptation. Therefore, as the negotiation process moves forward, legal and substantive issues could converge as needed to facilitate a cohesive process.

The *second element* will address the **operationalization of mitigation**. Under this element, four main issues should be taken on board: i) the method of defining mitigation commitments in the context of the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities with a specific target or commitment for each country as a result; ii) accounting and transparency of action; iii) support, including finance for mitigation, technology transfer, capacity building and the MRV of support for mitigation; and iv) market mechanisms, including the use of existing mechanisms and the creation of new ones, if necessary.

The *third element* will address the **operationalization of adaptation**. Under this element two issues are to be addressed: i) support, including finance for adaptation, technology transfer, capacity building and the MRV of support for adaptation; and ii) measuring and

reporting of climate change impacts and national adaptation responses.

The workstream on the 2015 long-term agreement is critical to achieving a paradigm shift that will allow all Parties to participate in achieving the ultimate goal of the Convention. Therefore, this workstream should be structured in an innovative manner that allows Parties to work more efficiently and effectively than in the past.

The work of the **second workstream** on the workplan on enhancing mitigation ambition pre-2020 should include the following elements:

The *first element* should address the **implementation of current commitments and actions** pledged to the UNFCCC. The extent of this element will be informed by the decisions taken at the 18th Conference of the Parties in Doha, specifically the termination of the AWG-LCA.

The *second element* would explore the possibilities for **developed countries to move to the upper end of their pledged commitments** or actions, as well as possibilities for **developing countries that have not yet submitted information on nationally appropriate mitigation actions, to do so.**

The *third element* would explore a number of **complementary initiatives in key policy areas** that could serve to complement the first and second elements above and to narrow the emissions gap.

In simplified terms, the overall structure would look like this:

- 2015 Long-term agreement (item 3a of the ADP agenda)
 - Issues related to the architecture of the new regime
 - Operationalization of mitigation
 - Operationalization of adaptation
- Workplan on enhancing mitigation ambition pre-2020 (item 3b of the ADP agenda)
 - Implementation of current commitments and actions pledged
 - Possibilities for updated or new pledges of commitments or actions
 - Exploration of complementary initiatives

On the basis of this proposed structure for the organization of work under the ADP, we would like to see two main contact groups, one per workstream, starting their work immediately in Bangkok.

The Governments of Chile and Colombia are of the view that significant progress in the definition of the structure and organization of the work is urgent, and trust the co-chairs to take this work forward without delay in Bangkok, leading the Parties to a meaningful outcome at COP18.