

<b>Mitigation</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Differentiated approach across all mitigation elements (form of commitment, counting, accounting, adequacy and fairness, compliance) as per relevant Convention principles, provisions</li> <li>• Sequencing in the consideration of INDC after their presentation, especially for an adequacy and fairness process</li> <li>• Facilitative compliance with the option of redressing mitigation and means of implementation “numbers”</li> </ul>	
<b>Relevant Convention Articles</b>	
<b><u>Annex I (Art 3.1, 4.1 and 4.2)</u></b>	<b><u>Non-Annex I Art 4.1 and 4.7</u></b>
<b>On Form of commitment</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Absolute and Economy wide emission reduction commitment (covering all sectors and gases)</li> <li>• Zero carbon emission pathways</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Relative emission reduction, including through Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions</li> </ul>
<b>On Counting methodologies</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use of the latest IPCC GHG Inventory Guidelines</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IPCC GHG Inventory Guidelines as appropriate for developing countries</li> </ul>
<b>On Accounting approach</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Market, how is double counting treated, avoiding both of tons being counted twice; and tons and finance for NAMAs being counted twice</li> <li>• Non-market options for delivery on contributions</li> <li>• Applicable LULUCF rules under the Convention, improving on KP rules</li> <li>• Framework for accounting and assessment of ICIs, consistent with targets and rules under Convention</li> <li>• Rules pertaining to offset and joint implementation mechanism</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Market, how is double counting of tons avoided</li> <li>• Non-market options for delivery on contributions</li> <li>• Applicable LULUCF rules, drawing on REDD</li> <li>• Framework for accounting and assessment of ICIs</li> <li>• Rules pertaining to offset and joint implementation mechanism</li> </ul>
<b>On Adequacy and Fairness</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Quantification of global carbon budget at the start of any commitment period to meet the agreed global goal</li> <li>• Individual consideration of contributions (individual assessment of each AI Party contribution in meeting the goal)</li> <li>• Party submission of its proposals for fairness indicators as part of a principle-based reference framework</li> <li>• Ex ante assessment for adequacy and fairness consideration, including minimum threshold for mitigation towards its Required Fair Effort</li> <li>• Assumptions made of level of contribution</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Aggregate consideration of contributions (aggregate assessment of Total NAI Parties contribution)</li> <li>• Consideration of available support from All Parties to NAI Parties</li> <li>• Consideration of NAI Parties additional ambition after ex-ante consideration of All Parties level of available support</li> </ul>
<b>Compliance arrangements</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Facilitative compliance as in KP, IAR with the possibility to redress both finance and mitigation numbers in case of non compliance</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ICA, and a summary document</li> </ul>