A Guidebook on Cross-Border Impact Assessment of Implemented Response Measures: Subsidies, Carbon Trading and Green Tariffs

IISD 16 May 2017



### **Overview**

Purpose of the Guidebook – Help Countries to...

- Frame their analysis (Guidebook sets out a broad analytical approach)
- Select Appropriate Tools
- Structure Impact Assessments

...for cross-border impacts of 3 key response measures to climate change

- Subsidy reform (focusing on **removal of energy subsidies**)
- Carbon cap-and-trade schemes
- Other trade-related measures
  - Border Carbon Adjustment (BCA)
  - Reduced trade tariffs for clean energy products ("green tariffs")

Little experience to date with assessing cross-border impacts

- Many response measures prospective/in pilot form only
- Existing analysis focused on literature, implementing countries

## Approach for Cross-Border Impact Assessment

#### Conceptual Framework for how response measures confer crossborder impacts – *Trade Route*



## Non Trade-Market Transmission Pathways for Cross-Border Impacts

Guidebook recommends a focus on trade markets as principal interconnection between countries

But a range of other interconnections including

- Flows of finance (capital investment)
- Earnings (remittances)
- People (migration)
- Exernalities (pollution of air and water, natural environment degradation)

These have little short- to medium-term importance for impact assessment

Recommendation: identify any important non-trade-market transmission pathway

## Methods for Assessing Impacts O

Impacts on trade markets likely to be significant when

- Implementing country a large source of supply and/or demand
- Implementing country supply hard for other countries to substitute
- Cross-border economy is highly reliant on the good likely to be affected

| Tools: | Focus                            | Approach/Model                       |                          |  |  |  |
|--------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
|        |                                  | Delphi analysis                      | Sectoral                 | Numerous models<br>exist, e.g.<br>MARKAL, ORDENA<br>in energy sector |  |  |
|        | Mapping<br>policy<br>outcomes    | Tree diagrams                        | (e.g. energy<br>supply)  |  |  |  |
|        |                                  | Causal Loop<br>Diagrams              |                          | Macro-<br>econometric (e.g.<br>E3MG)                                 |  |  |
|        | Households<br>and the<br>economy | Income and<br>expenditure<br>surveys | economic<br>and regional | Computable<br>General<br>Equilibrium (CGE)                           |  |  |
|        |                                  | Input-Output<br>tables (IO)          | performance              | System Dynamics<br>(SD)  |  |  |
|        |                                  | Social Accounting<br>Matrix (SAM)    |                          |  |  |  |

## 5-Step Approach to Response-



## **Subsidies**

## Defining Subsidies: recommend () use of WTO ASCM, Article 1.1

Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures binding on all WTO's Members (164 at present)

- Direct transfer of funds (e.g. grants, loans, equity infusion) and potential direct transfers of funds or liabilities
- Government revenue is foregone or not collected (e.g. tax credits, exemptions)
- Government provides goods or services other than general infrastructure, or purchases goods
- Government makes payments out of a funding mechanism on entrusts a private body to carry out any of the functions above

Not necessary to apply ASCM conditions around whether the subsidy is trade-distorting

Other definitions are very similar – differences come more from what scope is applied and how benchmarks are defined and measured

• Not recommended to include Externalities in the scope

## **Data Sources**

Energy consumer subsidies are estimated annually by the IEA (40 developing and emerging economies)

- <u>http://www.worldenergyoutlook.org/resources/energysubsidies/</u>
- extended to more countries by the IMF

Range of assumptions made around benchmark prices (based on deviation from normal tax practice), cost of generating electricity, etc.

OECD inventory of support measures includes support to producers as well

- For its members and major non-member economies
- <u>http://www.oecd.org/site/tadffss/data/</u>

Other country-specific or more detailed data sometimes available in specific studies (e.g. Global Subsidies Initiative (<u>www.iisd.org/gsi</u>), World Bank, etc.

Note that a 2-part indicator is part of the SDG12 indicators – first report 2018

## Most important subsidies from () Climate Change Perspective

#### **CONSUMER** Subsidies

- Fossil fuel subsidies, e.g. for transport fuels, industrial fuels
- Biofuel subsidies (which can be introduced or reformed)
- Retail consumer renewable energy and energy efficiency subsidies

#### **PRODUCER Subsidies**

Energy

- Producer subsidies for fossil fuels (dampen process, lead to lock-in)
- Producer subsidies for low carbon energy (stimulate investment in Renewables, Nuclear)
- Producer subsidies for energy efficiency

#### **Agriculture and Forestry**

- Carbon-intensive inputs and outputs, land-use changes
  - Biofuel subsidies can be positive or negative for the climate

### Key Impacts from Introduction of subsidy for domestic production of a low-carbon good or service\*

|                     | Macroeconomy   | Businesses   |  |  |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
|                     | Ļ  | Ļ  |  |  |
| Direct<br>impacts   | <ul> <li>Fiscal costs (if gov. pays<br/>for subsidy)</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Businesses start or increase<br/>production; or make<br/>investments in reducing<br/>structural costs of production</li> <li>Likely increase in profits</li> </ul>            |  |  |
|                     |  | t  |  |  |
| Indirect<br>impacts | <ul> <li>Less fiscal space; may be<br/>short- or long-term</li> <li>Short-term GDP boost if<br/>subsidy incentivizes<br/>investment and<br/>consumption</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>If good is a production input,<br/>increased supply may:</li> <li>Increase domestic production<br/>and supply of linked goods</li> <li>Lower price of linked goods</li> </ul> |  |  |

\*Partial excerpt of table: also includes Cross-Border Impacts (row); Households and Environment (Columns)

## Subsidy Reform: Assessment of the adequacy of typical methods

|                            |  | PRICE EFFECTS CAPTURED   |   |                                     | MODEL DISAGGREGRATION                              |   |             |  |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|-------------|--|
|                            |  | 1st order  | 2nd and 3rd order   |                                     |  | Economic sectors  |             |  |
| Focus                      | Method                                   | Direct<br>Impact (of<br>price rise)  | Indirect<br>impact  | Change in<br>demand                 | Dynamic<br>impacts                                 | Household   | Business    | Key sectors  |
| Households and the economy | Income<br>and<br>expenditu<br>re survey  | Yes  | No. Can if<br>used with<br>IO table<br>data   | No                                  | No   | Disagg. by<br>income &<br>location. May<br>include<br>informal. | No          | No   |
|                            | Input-<br>output<br>(IO) table           | Yes  | Yes   | Yes, and<br>can<br>calibrate        | No, static<br>answer for<br>base data<br>year only | Included, but<br>no disagg. by<br>income or<br>location         | Included    |  |
|                            | Social<br>Accountin<br>g Matrix<br>(SAM) | Exp. on goods<br>or services not<br>generally<br>explicit. Extra<br>calculations<br>required | Calculated,<br>but exp. on<br>goods or<br>services is<br>generally<br>not explicit. | to<br>maintain<br>overall<br>demand | No, static<br>answer for<br>base data<br>year only | Included, but<br>no disagg. by<br>income or<br>location         | included    | Included<br>but very<br>simple,<br>economi<br>c rather<br>than |
| nal<br>nce                 | IO table                                 | Yes, but as  | Yes, but as part of   |                                     | Typically  | Included, but<br>generally not                                  | le alcada d | physical   |

\*Partial excerpt of table: also includes macro-, sectoral models; SD impacts

## Case Study: Multilateral Fossil () Fuel Subsidy Reform (1)

OECD *(reported in Burniaux, Chateau and Sauvage [2011])* performed a multilateral impact assessment of fossil-fuel consumer subsidy reform - in support of 2009 FFSR commitments by G20 and APEC Economies in 2009

STEP 1: Identify Causal Relations, Stakeholders and Cross-Border Impacts

- Hypothesis: FFSR would lead to significant impacts on trade of energy
- Assumed that trade markets' import:export ratios would remain constant
- "Carbon leakage" would occur as FFSR reduced global energy prices

#### **STEP 2: Identify Indicators of Relevance**

- Limited set, medium- and long-term (to 2050). Focused on:
  - Traded energy prices and product volumes (aggregated)
  - Trade balance, import and export volumes of aggregated products
  - Real exchange rates, GDP, GHG emissions (global, by country)

## Case Study: Multilateral Fossil () Fuel Subsidy Reform (2)

**STEP 3: Identify suitable impact assessment methodologies** 

- Used a global macro-economic model (only technique possible)
- OECD's ENV-Linkages model (CGE, disaggregated by sectors and countries/regions)
- Includes recursive dynamics to allow for behaviour change (to 2050)
- STEP 4: Carry out data collection & customize the model
  - Used IEA database of 40 countries' consumer fossil fuel subsidies
    - Energy disaggregated into 4 wedges: coal, gas, oil products, electricity
    - IEA: this covers 95% of consumer FFSR
  - Data collected on price elasticities for each energy type
    - Coal price elasticity of demand = 10, crude oil = 1.0, gas = 0.8

## Case Study: Multilateral Fossil () Fuel Subsidy Reform (3)

STEP 5: Define scenarios, simulate the model and analyse results Scenarios modelled

- Unilateral subsidy reforms
- All countries reformed gradually and in unison 2013-2020
- Extension of scenario to 2050

#### Results

- Unilateral reform created national welfare gains 0.3%-4%
  - Highest in oil-exporting countries (where exchange rates fell)
- Multilateral scenarios showed slight welfare gains globally, with net welfare losses from energy exporters more than offset by gains in importing countries (e.g. India)
- Long-term analysis showed 3% GHG emission reductions in 2020 increasing to 10% reductions by 2050

## Carbon Trading and Border Carbon Adjustment (BCA)

## Must account for Design Specifics

Carbon trading impacts have much in common with Energy Subsidy Reform and are not considered further in this presentation

• Need to understand production costs, volumes and prices before tax introduction; carbon embedded and how calculated; etc.

#### Border Carbon Adjustment policy design variables (after Cosbey, 2012):

- Levy on imports, rebate on exports, or both?
- Is the BCA enacted on a historical carbon trading system or are the two implemented together (more likely)?
- Will actual data on carbon embedded in goods be needed?
- How much of the value chain is included (upstream, transport, disposal, etc.)?
- Are there exemptions for certain countries? What are the rules?
- How will the revenues be used? (notably whether some/all goes back to the regulated sectors in some form, e.g. investment in energy efficiency)?

# Case Study: Shipping & Aviation (1)

Anger et al (2013) looked at how a global ETS for shipping and aviation would influence a range of case study countries:

• Mexico, China, India, Trinidad and Tobago, Togo, Kenya, Maldives, Samoa, the Cook Islands, Chile

#### **STEP 1: Identify Causal Relations, Stakeholders and Cross-Border Impacts**

- Authors assumed carbon trading would increase transport costs
- Leading to increased trade and tourism costs and increased energy efficiency in these sectors
- Weren't sure exactly how carbon costs would increase these costs

#### **STEP 2: Identify Indicators of Relevance**

- Key first stage of analysis: how Carbon costs affect Transport costs
- Countries include: highly dependent on transport or air tourism; remote

# Case Study: Shipping & Aviation (2)

#### **STEP 3: Identify suitable impact assessment methodologies**

- Authors used 3 sectoral models:
  - Marginal Abatement Cost Curve (MACC) model, to project efficiency improvements in the shipping sector
  - Ship Freight Costs and Emissions model (linked to MACC model)
  - AERO model (changes in efficiency, costs and demand)
- Used a global macro-econometric model (E3MG) for large economies
  - Direct, indirect impacts and feedback loops
- New macro-econometric model for smaller economies
  - direct impacts only

#### **STEP 4: Carry out data collection & customize the model**

 International sources: IEA, IMO, ICAO, CONTRADE; W. Bank, UN Statistics Division

# Case Study: Shipping & Aviation (3)

**STEP 5: Define scenarios, simulate the model and analyse results** 

Scenarios modelled

- International shipping emissions capped 20% below 2005 between 2015-25, international aviation capped 10% below
- CDM \$30/tCO<sub>2</sub> 2025, 15% auctioning, revenue lowers social security payments in participating countries, 100% carbon cost pass-through
- Sensitivity for carbon trading at \$10, \$30 and \$50/tCO<sub>2</sub>

#### Results

- Efficiency reductions v low (0.2% of reduction in shipping, 1.5% aviation)
- Global GDP impact very small but significant variation by economy
  - Samoa (-0.91%), the Cook Islands (-0.45%), Trinidad & Tobago (-0.16%) [N.B. slightly higher reduction without revenue recycling]
  - Driven primarily by reductions in airborne transport (1.9-4.5% down)
- Authors recommended policies to reduce impacts for low income economies