

Delivering Public Climate Finance - Challenges and Enablers

Robert Douglas,

Head International Climate Change

UK International Climate Fund (ICF)

- £3.87bn (c.\$6bn out to 2015)
- Includes £969mn (c.\$1.5bn) for 2015/2016
- Split between adaptation (c.50%); low carbon development (c.30%) and forests (c.20%)
- Jointly delivered by UK's:
 - Department for International Development (DFID) (c.60%)
 - Department for Energy and Climate Change (DECC) (c.35%)
 - Department for Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) (c. 5%)
- All counted as Overseas Development Aid making up c. 8% of UK ODA
- Annual size of ICF has increased steadily:

	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16
£Mil (nom)	425	670	875	930	969



Scaling up Public Finance towards 2020

- Public Finance has a clear and key role to play through to 2020
- But challenges exist to scaling up:
 - "Developed" countries budgets under significant pressure in an increasingly resource constrained world
 - Challenges to providing longer term certainty of public finance provisions
 - Need for Value for Money assurances
 - Absence of "Fair Burden Share"
 - Need for effective Enabling Environments



Providing Long Term Certainty

- UK's Spending Round in June 2013 set out Departmental spending plans for 2015/16. Followed Spending Review in October 2010 that set spending plans out to 2014/15.
- Facilitated by centralised system of Government and strong commitment to deficit reduction
- Multi annual spending envelopes improves efficiency, facilitates reform
 & supports credibility of fiscal plans
- But challenges around foresight and flexibility
- Possible to give even longer term certainty on individual capital projects (e.g. Spending Round 2013 set out plans to 2020) – but to do so requires certainty on VFM and clear commensurate increased benefits
- Also possible for Spending Departments and Centre to make some inyear adjustments



VFM for Public Finance Expenditure

- Public Climate Finance not a "special case" subject to same rules and approaches governing other Government expenditure
- Projects will be considered on a VFM basis
- Need to be able to demonstrate
 - A case for public expenditure i.e. Market failure
 - Effectiveness currently our bilateral funding initiatives architecture includes KPIs and Results Frameworks
 - Efficiency not crowding out other spend
- Contribution towards 2 Degree goal Ensure that our finance commitments are 'in the context of meaningful mitigation' and therefore parallel to the 'own action' offered by developing countries.
- Need Developing Countries to be bringing forward a "VFM Project Pipeline" of plans, programmes and projects.



Enabling Environments

- Own experience of inward investment into the UK, plus outputs of the UK's Capital Markets Climate Initiative (CMCI) – number of principles:
- 1. An early and ongoing managed **dialogue** with the private sector (local and international, institutional investors)should be set up
- 2. A clear, long term and coherent **policy and regulatory framework** should be implemented
- 3. **Price signals** in the market should support the deployment of low carbon alternatives ensuring that any social costs associated with a transition are well managed.
- 4. **Underpinning economic drivers** should be realigned to support sustainable growth.
- 5. National governments should have active programmes of public (climate) finance to support, underpin and develop investment grade projects that mobilise private capital.



UK's ICF Spending Focused on:

- Delivering Transformational Change
- Priorities are to:
 - Demonstrate that building low carbon, climate resilient growth at scale is feasible – i.e. Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions (NAMA) Facility
 - Help poor developing countries adapt to climate change i.e. The Adaption Smallholder Agriculture Programme (ASAP)
 - Drive innovation and new ideas for action and create new partnerships with the private sector to support low carbon, climate resiliant, growth – i.e. Climate Public Private Partnership (CP3)