

Anthony Cox, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 29 October 2014

Thank you for the opportunity to provide input on relevant information/case studies that may be relevant to item 2: Working paper on coherence and coordination for financing for forests. Please find below links to OECD work that may be relevant in the context of resources/payments for results-based approaches and for alternative approaches.

OECD (2010) Paying for Biodiversity: Enhancing the Cost-Effectiveness of Payments for Ecosystem Services (http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/paying-for-biodiversity_9789264090279-en)

A number of these case studies focus on forests in particular (see Annex A for a case study overview – e.g. Costa Rica, Kenya, Mexico, Nepal, Scotland, Tanzania, etc). In general, PES are instruments whereby payment should be made conditional to results achieved. Chapter 7 (Australia: The Tasmanian Forest Conservation Fund) provides an in-depth country case study of payments targeted to forests.

OECD (2009) Promoting Biodiversity Co-Benefits in REDD. (http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/promoting-biodiversity-co-benefits-in-redd_220188577008)

The report examines how biodiversity co-benefits in REDD can be enhanced, both at the design and implementation level, paying attention to how this can be done in the most environmentally and cost-effective manner.

OECD (2013) Scaling Up Finance Mechanisms for Biodiversity (www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/scaling-up-finance-mechanisms-for-biodiversity_9789264193833-en)

Chapter 7 here (Biodiversity in climate change funding) may also be of relevance.