Workshop on a framework for various approaches Summary of technical paper

Bangkok, Thailand, 31 August 2012



UNFCCC Secretariat, Sustainable Development Mechanisms Programme

Status of technical paper

- Durban 2011: 2/CP.17 para 79-86, request the AWG-LCA to conduct two work programmes to:
 - a) Consider a framework for various approaches (FVA)
 - b) Elaborate modalities and procedures for the new marketbased mechanism (NMM)
- Bonn 2012: (AWG-LCA 15); AWG-LCA requested the secretariat to prepare a technical paper based on submissions (old and new), the workshops, and discussions in meetings of the informal group on various approaches.
- Aim to facilitate focused discussions



Basis for technical paper

Durban decision 2/CP.17, para 79-86, incl. preamble

Pre- Bonn

- 34 total submissions received
- 14 from Parties
- 20 from admitted observer organizations

In Bonn (AWG-LCA 15)

2 workshops + 2 meetings of the informal group

Post-Bonn

- 10 total submissions received
- 8 from Parties
- 3 from admitted observer organizations



Context (1)

1. Introduction (Mandate, scope, purpose)

2. Context

- a) The term "Various Approaches" \rightarrow FVA & NMM
- b) Relation to KP mechanisms
 - Demand-supply
 - Overlap of activities
 - Potential use of KP infrastructure
- c) Relation to other sources of support & finance (NAMA, Green Climate Fund, Tech mech...)
 - Mutually supportive
 - Double counting



Context (2)

c) Double counting

- Accounting unit in more than one mechanism
- Accounting for the same mitigation unit in both the host country and in the buyer country
- Investments under mechanism also counted for commitment for financing or tech transf.
- d) Net mitigation
 - Size of "net"?
 - Reference point for net decrease
- e) Other issues
 - Focus on international approaches
 - Supplementarity.
 - Sustainable development



Common interest in coherence

- Enable recognition of (international) mitigation approaches developed outside UNFCCC, so as to:
 - Allow a wider selection of approaches for countries to meet pledges
 - Improve fungibility of units for efficiency, reduced costs, transparency and consistency in markets
 - Reduce fragmentation of markets \rightarrow Comparability



Common interest in coherence:

- Example of approaches:
 - Connected national ETS
 - Internationally connected sub-national schemes
 - Bilateral offset mechanisms
 - Voluntary schemes
 - Non-market based approaches



Models for FVA

- Centralized approval based model
- Decentralized transparency oriented model





Standards for real, permanent, additional, verified mitigation (Approval model)

- Eligibility criteria
- Environmental quality procedures
- Principles for baseline and monitoring methodologies, validation & verification procedures.
- Documented analysis
- \rightarrow Approval authority
 - Aligned with NMM
 - Based on CDM/JI infrastructure?



Standards for real, permanent, additional, verified mitigation (Transparency model)

- Declare approaches used, methodologies employed, and explain how units are viewed as representing real additional (etc) mitigation.
- Environmental integrity assurance through e.g.
 - Automatic
 - Third party verification
 - Independent review process w. public results
 - To use IAR/ICA processes to support
- Potential use of ICA/IAR process for reporting purpose



Other issues

- Role of UNFCCC, COP and secretariat
- Role of existing CDM infrastructure, national communications review teams, and CDM Executive Board
- Unit tracking
- Need to better understand 1) the intended purpose of the framework and 2) the nature of potential approaches that may be proposed to the framework



United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change



