

## Working document

### [Section G - Technology development and transfer]

Version of 2 September at 23:35

#### *Main observations:*

- Parties recognized the importance of technology development and transfer in the draft Agreement and that the current section could be strengthened.
- Parties agreed that cooperative action is key to facilitate and promote technology development and transfer, and some Parties were of the view that the current provisions of the text could be enhanced to include other aspects.
- Most Parties expressed the view that the institutional arrangement on technology development and transfer is an important element to serve the draft Agreement, but there are different views on how to strengthen the institutional arrangement.
- Parties discussed the following possible elements of the narrative of the draft Agreement for the technology section:
  - A group of Parties proposed the possible elements as follows:
    - Aspirational (long-term) aspects to enhance technology development and transfer;
    - Cooperative actions;
    - Specific actions by Parties (many elements contained in Part III of the Tool could be used as the basis for further discussion);
    - Institutional arrangement and resource support (funding) to support these enhanced actions;
  - Another Party proposed other possible elements as follows:
    - The role of technology;
    - Recognition of positive developments;
    - Cooperative action, which could include other provisions in the text;
    - Institutional arrangements;
  - Another Party proposed other possible elements as follows:
    - A section on the importance of technology;
    - Developing and strengthening institutions and mechanisms;
    - Reviews and how to update them over time;
    - Restatement of commitment to address barriers and commitment to enhance the deployment of technology.
- Parties discussed the proposed framework on enhanced action on technology development and transfer, trying to identify ‘what to do’ (in the draft Agreement) and ‘how to do it’ (in the draft Decision). The discussion clarified that the framework:
  - Is not intended to create new institutions;

- Would provide direction and overarching guidance to the work of the existing institutions in the medium and long terms and strengthen them.

*Notes by the Co-Facilitators and next steps:*

- Going forward, the Co-Facilitators encouraged Parties to continue to undertake consultations among themselves to bridge differences of views.
  - Parties agreed to establish an informal meeting (spin-off group) to further discuss the framework on enhanced action on technology development and transfer, to be facilitated by Ms. Sonja Djukic. Two informal meetings were scheduled to take place on Wednesday, 2 September (from 11.30 a.m. to 1 p.m. and from 7 p.m. to 9 p.m.). The facilitator will report back to the facilitated group meeting on Thursday, 3 September, at 10 a.m.
  - The Co-Facilitators noted that some Parties expressed concerns about the mode of work (the discussion circles) and would prefer working on text, while other Parties said that a better understanding between Parties on concepts is needed before textual work can be undertaken. Some Parties also requested the Co-Facilitators to clarify on how progress at the end of the week will be captured.
-