

Chair's summary of discussions on the outcomes of mandated activities under the Nairobi work programme

Ms. Helen Plume

With regard to the modalities of mandated activities, which included workshops and expert meetings, submissions, synthesis reports, creating web-based interface:

- *Submissions*: useful input to the workshops and expert meetings, and for an initial analysis especially in the beginning. Further into the work programme, they had less value, due to the limited number of submitted views. Heavy workload, especially for developing countries was noted. It would be useful to reconsider and limit the numbers of submissions and make them more analytical. Need to provide clearer guidelines of what is needed;
- *Synthesis reports*: were useful. They need to have a broader input than just submissions to provide a critical analysis of the baselines for each area of work
- *Workshops and expert meetings*: very useful as they provide a forum for a dialogue between Parties, experts and organizations. Some reservations expressed on the numbers of meetings, call for more informal meetings
- Need to consider new modalities to allow better expert input and more regular guidance from the process on the implementation of the NWP

With regard to the work areas:

- General feeling expressed that initial activities provided information and have helped to identify focus on what needs to be done to achieve the objectives of the areas of work, for example:
 - Focus more on second part of NWP objective: assist Parties in making informed decisions on adaptation, for example through workshop looking at integrating community-based approaches with top-down approaches for adaptation planning
 - NWP could add on the richness of the IPCC through considering information from grey literature, NGOs and practical experience from on-the-ground activities (broaden scope beyond adaptation)
 - Sectoral approach should allow for livelihood considerations as well as for additional sectors such as transportation, infrastructure and industry
 - Need for analysis of impact costs and adaptation practices not only in monetary but also non-monetary terms to showcase the range of adaptation options and avoid maladaptation
 - Work on guidance on national adaptation planning is needed (the recommendation was made during the Rome workshop)
 - Need for more work on economic diversification as a means to increase resilience to climate change
 - The work of the NWP can provide more input on the focus and priorities to the EGTT on technologies for adaptation and activities under the research SBSTA agenda
 - In considering the outcomes and potential next steps need to keep in mind the subthemes from decision 2/CP.11 which include a richness of action items in the areas of impacts and vulnerability as well as adaptation planning, measures and actions
 - Need to review recommendations and consider which could be taken up by the SBSTA, other UNFCCC bodies, and other organizations
 - Outputs should be more policy-relevant, link with SBI