

## Module 5: Assessment of the NAPA

# 5. Progress on implementation, quality of the NAPA, and revision and update

LEG training workshops for 2012-2013  
- Pacific LDCs workshop



## In this module

---

Where are we?

**Module 1:** Setting the stage

**Module 2:** Mainstreaming adaptation into development

**Module 3:** Designing implementation strategies

**Module 4:** Accessing financial resources

**Module 5:** Assessment of the NAPA

 **5. Assessment of the NAPA**

**Module 6:** Tracking progress, M&E

**Module 7:** Best practices and lessons learned

=====

**CASE STUDIES + REGIONAL INPUTS**

### Learning points:

- Understanding the status of implementation and quality of the NAPA;
- Understanding the steps and rationale for revision and update of NAPA.

### Guiding questions:

- What are the key lessons learnt in the NAPA process?



## Assessing the status of implementation of the NAPA

---

NAPA priorities being implemented (status, approaches, funding)

Existing plans for further/full implementation;

Priorities that have not been implemented at all;

Subsequent local and national plans that have integrated NAPA;

Barriers to implementation that were identified in the NAPA, and the ones emerging during implementation process: have they been addressed, and how;

Impact of adaptation measures resulting from the implementation of NAPA projects;

Capturing experiences, best practices and lessons learned and strategies for integrating them into future implementation;

Results from the assessment of the quality of the NAPA may also provide good information on the need to update and revise the NAPA.



## Assessing the quality of the NAPA

Risks and vulnerabilities:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• How best the NAPA covers climate change threats for the country, regions, sectors and themes;</li><li>• Diversity and depth of vulnerability, impacts, and adaptation assessments;</li></ul>
Adaptation options/priorities:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Robustness of methodologies and procedures for ranking, prioritization and costing of priorities;</li><li>• The costs of NAPA priorities, and information provided;</li></ul>
Implementation strategy:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Presentation of a clear and elaborate implementation strategy;</li></ul>
NAPA in the development context:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Relevance/synergy of NAPA to/with national development plans;</li><li>• Utility of NAPA in subsequent national development plans and processes.</li></ul>
Stakeholder engagement / inclusiveness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Key sectors;</li><li>• Vulnerable groups;</li><li>• Etc.</li></ul>



## Assessing the need for the revision and update of the NAPA

---

### Steps for the revision and update of NAPA<sup>1</sup>

#### 1. Establishing the rationale

- Assess the need for the revision and update;
- Identify benchmarks and entry point

#### 2. Performing the revision and update

- Reconvene multi-stakeholder steering group to lead the process;
- Revise risks, vulnerabilities, adaptation options, priorities, costs, etc...

#### 3. National endorsement & submission

- Endorsement by the Government, or national committee;
- Submission of revision to UNFCCC Secretariat

#### 4. Post processing of the revision

- Update of the priorities on databases;
- Sharing of the NAPA to interested partners as appropriate (e.g. the GEF).

---

<sup>1</sup> LEG technical paper 2009, available at <[http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/publications/ldc\\_tp2009.pdf](http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/publications/ldc_tp2009.pdf)>. or Step-by-Step Guide, available at <[http://unfccc.int/essential\\_background/library/items/3599.php?such=j&symbol=FCCC/GEN/250%20E#beg](http://unfccc.int/essential_background/library/items/3599.php?such=j&symbol=FCCC/GEN/250%20E#beg)>.

---



## Assessing the need for the revision and update of the NAPA

---

### Establishing the rationale for revision and update of NAPA - *the need for the update and revision could arise from:*

---

- Emergence of **new risks** and **vulnerabilities**, that have become evident since development of NAPA;
- Emergence of **new information, scientific data** or **knowledge** that changes the order of priorities;
- Some of the stated **priorities would have been implemented** through bilateral or other sources of funding;
- Including **changes in costs** of projects, or additional information;
- The need to address **new information requirements** to satisfy new project development guidelines and requirements;
- Incorporating **lessons learned** from the implementation of the NAPA



## Assessing the need for the revision and update of the NAPA

---

### Additional aspects:

- a) Revisions limited to project costs can be undertaken during project development;
- b) More comprehensive revisions to the NAPA can help accommodate:
  - Programmatic approaches to implementation;
  - Strengthening gender considerations and considerations regarding vulnerable communities;
- c) There may be a costs in revising the NAPA:
  - Costs for revising the NAPA could be integrated in a current project development phase (vulnerability studies) or project implementation (institutional reforms)
- d) Opportunity to Integrate medium and long-term adaptation, and link to the NAP process;



## Experiences from other LDCs on the revision and update of the NAPA

---

### Bhutan:

During the vulnerability assessment in preparation of Bhutan's Second National Communication, new climate hazards previously not considered a threat were found to be reported in increasing numbers through official disaster reports and media stories. Based on this new information, Bhutan felt a revision of its NAPA was warranted and initiated the process for the review and revision of the NAPA.

