United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

Adaptation Committee

Expert meeting on promoting livelihoods and economic diversification to build resilience in the context of planning, prioritizing and implementing adaptation

Report to plenary

Session "Livelihoods and economic diversification to build resilience in the context of planning, prioritizing and implementing climate change adaptation"

Group B

Bonn, Germany, 7 to 8 September 2015



Falilitator: Anna Colom

Rapporteur: Srilata Kammila

Successful processes and tools and lessons learned to promote diversification in adaptation planning, policy and action, particularly in developing countries

Examples:

- Cambodia: Climate resilient practices inform rural development planning
- Bhutan: Water resource inventory, forest fire and other disaster management experiences informed policy and planning

Successes:

- Stakeholder engagement from design through implementation engage policy makers and planning officials at national and sub-national level; community-buy in
- Vulnerability and risk assessments help communities identify adaptation measures that development planning should prioritize
- Cross-sectoral coordination with risk assessments to support
- Climate-risk screening at sectoral level including with identifying what risks have already been identified by communities, to promote practices
- Cost-benefit analysis tools can also help identify and appraise options
- M&E tools to assess and measure progress towards resilience to support planning/policy
- Climate scenario modelling, EWS/Climate information but need actionable information awareness and messaging and formatting of information appropriate for policy/planning/communities:
 - ✓ Tools for knowledge exchange/lessons learned
 - ✓ Risk instruments: risk removal/reduction; policy and regulatory tools to mitigate risk for livelihoods



Barriers, constraints and limitations in promoting livelihood and economic diversification in adaptation efforts and the NAPs process

- Lack of engagement with communities identify priorities they provided
- ❖Coordination issues e.g. Met. agencies don't have access to farmers etc.
- ❖Lack of capacity to integrate diversification into the processes, including in the NAPs
 - Need to strengthen existing capacities, incl. those developed through NAPA implementation
- Linkages are difficult between local and national level in integrating livelihood aspects into policy/planning
 - Need for channels of transmitting local priorities to decision makers
- Limited availability of financing for implementation
- Focus on process might be a constraint, esp.in countries with low capacities; they should be able to contextualize and adapt, focus should on objectives/targets
- ❖Governance can be a challenge, including
 - Lack of mainstreaming
 - > Do the planning ministries take into account climate variability and change?
 - Weaker capacities in institutions can create risks of inequities as economic diversification is undertaken



Key issues to be addressed in NAPs in order to promote livelihoods and economic diversification for the purpose of greater resilience

- Need for buy-in from Ministry of finance/ economic planning
- Financing burden of the various elements of formulation of NAPs
- Need to clearly focus/highlight livelihoods diversification as a part of the NAP process
- Need to ensure that existing local level risk assessments are incorporated into the 'Risk and Vulnerability' assessments
- Need to ensure that the process identifies entry points into existing sectoral planning

