

Module 3: Accessing financial resources for the implementation of NAPAs

3.5. Latest developments on the GEF-LDCF procedures

LEG training workshops for 2012-2013

Asian LDCs workshop

20 – 24 August 2013, Siem Reap, Cambodia



In this module

Where are we?

Module 1: Setting the stage

Module 2: Implementation strategies for the NAPA

Module 3: Accessing financial resources for implementation of NAPAs

3.1. Introduction to the GEF and the LDCF

3.2. Formulating funding proposals

3.3. PIF, PPG, and CEO endorsement processes

3.4. Adaptation cost and co-financing

3.5. Latest development on the GEF-LDCF procedures

3.6 Accessing resources under the AF

Module 4: Tracking progress, monitoring and evaluation

Module 5: Best practices and lessons learned

Module 6: Mainstreaming adaptation into development

Module 7: The NAP process

=====

CASE STUDIES

Learning points:

- Introduction to latest developments on GEF-LDCF procedures.

Guiding questions:

- How to receive information on these latest developments?



Programmatic approach for NAPAs

- Funding under the LDCF has largely focused on pilot projects to demonstrate how adaptation can be addressed practically on the ground in LDCs;
- With the growing financing levels, and the shift in funding structure for adaptation under the GEF, countries can now pursue programmatic approaches in implementing their NAPAs;
- **Programmatic Approach (PA)** – a program of projects with common objectives, aiming to achieve economies of scale and sustainability, improved horizontal and vertical integration, and greater opportunities to leverage partnerships and co-financing. Delegation of project approvals to eligible Agencies, such as the MDBs
- In addition to the elements to be considered in a PIF, Program Framework Documents should provide adequate information of the following:
 - Added value of PA: does the program present opportunities to address the enabling environment, local investments and cross-cutting elements in a more comprehensive way than is currently possible through individual projects? What are the economies of scale?
 - Partnerships: does the PFD identify relevant partners? How will they contribute to the objectives of the program?
 - Knowledge management: how will best practices and lessons be shared among partners/countries/projects within the program and beyond?



Synergies with other GEF funds

- GEF-5 projects may seek funding under several trust funds, including the LDCF and the SCCF.
- Multi-trust fund projects may allow projects to capture economies of scale, and to foster integration across sectors, across national strategies and policies, and across environmental conventions.
- While a single project may utilize resources from different trust funds, it should do so in line with the mandate and eligibility criteria of each fund and funding window.
- To date, the LDCF/SCCF Council has approved some **USD 46.7 million** in LDCF grants towards eight multi-trust fund projects and programs (in Chad, Djibouti, Ethiopia, Malawi, Mali, Haiti, Rwanda and Togo).



New ceiling for implementing NAPA projects

- The LDCF applies the principle of equitable access to the Least Developed Countries (LDC) Parties to funding for the implementation of NAPAs.
- The principle of equitable access has led to the concept of balanced access, assuring that funding for NAPA implementation will be available to all LDCs, and not be awarded on a first-come first-served basis (which could favor countries with higher institutional capacity for project development, leaving out the most vulnerable).
- The ceiling of funding under the LDCF is established at \$20 million (for example: an LDC that has already accessed \$12 million, may have access to \$8 million more).



References

- GEF, 2011. Accessing resources under the LDCF. Available at <http://www.thegef.org/gef/sites/thegef.org/files/publication/23469_LDCF.pdf>;
- GEF, 2011. Strategy on adaptation to climate change for the LDCF and the SCCF. Available at <<http://www.thegef.org/gef/sites/thegef.org/files/publication/GEF-ADAPTION%20STRATEGIES.pdf>>;

